

3-7-1977

## Academic Council Meeting Agenda and Minutes, March 7, 1977

Glenn T. Graham

Wright State University - Main Campus, glenn.graham@wright.edu

Follow this and additional works at: [http://corescholar.libraries.wright.edu/archives\\_senate\\_minutes](http://corescholar.libraries.wright.edu/archives_senate_minutes)



Part of the [Educational Leadership Commons](#)

---

This Minutes is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty Senate at CORE Scholar. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Senate Minutes and Agendas by an authorized administrator of CORE Scholar. For more information, please contact [corescholar@www.libraries.wright.edu](mailto:corescholar@www.libraries.wright.edu).

# Wright State University



Dayton, Ohio 45431

Campus Communication

date: February 18, 1977

to: Members of Academic Council

from: Glenn Graham, Chairer, Steering Committee

subject: Agenda, Academic Council Meeting, Monday, March 7, 1977

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read 'G. T. G.', written over the 'from' line.

Members of the Academic Council will meet at 3:10 p.m., Monday, March 7, 1977, in Rooms 155 A, B, and C, Main Floor, University Center.

- I. Call to order.
- II. Approval of Minutes of February 7, 1977, meeting.
- III. Report of the President.
- IV. Report of the Steering Committee.
- V. Reports of the Standing Committees:
  - A. Curriculum Committee
  - B. Faculty Affairs Committee
  - C. Library Committee
  - D. Student Affairs Committee
  - E. Undergraduate Petitions Committee
- VI. Old Business:

Approval of Changes in Due Process Mechanism and in Policy on Nonreappointment of Nontenured Faculty in Matters Concerning Academic Freedom and Late Notification. (See Attachment A, Agenda of February 7, 1977.)

Errors in the changes of the Policy on Nonreappointment of Nontenured Faculty in Matters Concerning Academic Freedom and Late Notification which appeared in the Agenda for the Academic Council Meeting of February 7, 1977, distributed on January 18, 1977:

1. Change "administration" to "respondent" in lines 106, 111, 126, and 148.
2. Change: "using the same time limits ....." starting in lines 116, 129, and 142 to: "allowing a maximum of ten minutes for questions by each."

Please bring Faculty Handbook to Academic Council Meeting.

VII. New Business:

- A. Approval of "Wright State University Parking Policies" Recommendations from Student Affairs Committee. (See Attachment A.)
- B. Approval of "Recommendations for Modification of the University Faculty Constitution and Bylaws" from Quadrennial Review Committee. (See Attachment B.)

VIII. Adjournment

ACADEMIC COUNCIL

March 7, 1977

Minutes

- I. The March meeting was called to order by Chairman Pro Tem Vice Provost Murray at 3:14 p.m. in Room 155 of the University Center.

Present:

L. Arlian, J. Baldwin, P. Batra, J. Beljan, H. Brown, T. Bruns, E. Cannon, E. Cantelupe, J. Castellano, M. Cleary, W. Collie, J. Dorn, R. Earl, J. Fortman, I. Fritz, J. George, G. Graham, R. Haber, M. Holtman, B. Hutchings, R. Iddings, A. Kader, R. Kegerreis, K. Kotecha, M. Lewis, J. Lynch, N. Martinez, T. Matczynski, D. Matual, P. Nolan, E. Silver, A. Spiegel, V. Sutch and G. Torres.

Absent:

J. Castellano, G. Pacernick and J. Wright.

- II. The minutes of the February 7, 1977, meeting were approved by voice vote. Mr. Murray introduced the two new student representatives to the Council. They are: Mike Lewis, Education and Tom Bruns, Science and Engineering.
- III. Report of the President, Mr. Kegerreis reporting.

Mr. Kegerreis reported that the budgetary process was underway even though there is not a formally adopted appropriations bill from the legislature. The Ohio Board of Regents recommended a higher education budget in excess of 1.5 billion dollars for the coming biennium. The executive budget reduced that to 1.3 billion. There may be a loss of between 60 and 100 million dollars in the budget of the Governor's after it has gone through the Finance Committee. Mr. Kegerreis reported that the internal budgeting guidelines called for an approximate 5% increase in faculty salaries for the coming year. This 5% is merely a guideline. In the course of discussion, he indicated that it is extremely unlikely that the salaries could be higher than that, but, if the legislature got into the budget to any great significance, the 5% amount would also have to be decreased. He also pointed out that there are bills pending which would put a limit on tuition. The estimate now is that there would be a 5 to 10 dollar per quarter increase in fees.

- IV. Report of the Steering Committee, Mr. Graham reporting.

Mr. Graham pointed out changes in the Parking Policy which are to be considered at today's meeting. The corrections are: 1/ The Chairer of the Committee will be the Executive Assistant to the President and Executive Vice President and Provost and Treasurer and 2/ in part 1 under the paragraph concerning responsibilities, establishment should be changed to recommendation.

Mr. Graham mentioned that Item B includes the first set of recommendations received on the revision of the Faculty Constitution and By-Laws. The Quadrennial Review Committee is still reviewing the Constitution. There will be additional amendments and recommendations from that Committee. Items that are to come to Steering Committee which will be discussed this week will include criteria for professor emeritus, early retirement procedure, and clarification of the outside employment regulations.

V. Reports of the Standing Committees:

A. Curriculum Committee, Mr. Neve reporting.

Mr. Neve reported that the Committee has completed the revision of course change forms and they will be available soon.

The Committee has made a report to the Quadrennial Review Committee regarding the changes in the constitution.

The course UH 101 was approved by the Committee to be included in the general education requirements.

Mr. Neve stated that one of the problems faced by the Curriculum Committee is that there are a number of different areas which are assigned to the Committee by the Constitution for which there are no operating procedures. The Committee will have to address the question of how procedures should be set-up in the the areas of general education requirements, degree and nondegree programs and program review.

B. Faculty Affairs Committee, Mr. Constable reporting.

Mr. Constable reported the the Committee has taken action on the conflict of interest policy, which deals with outside employment. The professor emeritus will be sent to the Steering Committee within the week; and also the Due Process Mechanism and Policy on Nonreappointment of Nontenured Faculty in Matters Concerning Academic Freedom and Late Notification is up for action today. The Committee will be asking for a suspension of the rules so that the language of the due process document may be updated.

The Committee is continuing to review administrative evaluation procedures for faculty leaves. The Committee has sent to the administration their concerns and those of a number of faculty concerning the summer class enrollment limitations which were established last year and the educational benefits for faculty members who retire at Wright State.

C. Library Committee, Mr. White reporting.

Ms. White reported that the Committee continued discussion of two items: faculty status for the librarians and the development of the Friends of the Library Committee. Ms. White also reported that a periodical browsing section is about to open in the future.

D. Student Affairs Committee, Mr. Sayer reporting.

Mr. Sayer reported that the Committee desires to deal with the proposed policies concerning parking at this time and the Committee will be asking for a suspension of the rules at the conclusion of old business.

E. Undergraduate Petitions Committee, no report.

VI. Old Business

Approval of Changes in Due Process Mechanism and in Policy on Nonreappointment of Nontenured Faculty in Matters Concerning Academic Freedom and Late Notification.  
(See Attachment A)

Change: In line 68, insert the phrase "and respondent party may each" after the word violation. In the due process mechanism policy all "division level" should be changed to "college/school level".

Motion was made and seconded for approval of the document as changed.

Mr. Constable reminded the Council of the changes that **were made** in the document.

A roll call vote followed:

Those in favor of the motion to approve the document:

L. Arlian, P. Batra, J. Beljan, H. Brown, T. Bruns, E. Cannon, E. Cantelupe,  
M. Cleary, W. Collie, J. Dorn, R. Earl, J. Fortman, J. George, G. Graham,  
R. Haber, M. Holtman, B. Hutchings, R. Iddings, A. Kader, R. Kegerreis,  
K. Kotecha, M. Lewis, J. Lynch, N. Martínez, T. Matczynski, D. Matual, P. Nolan,  
E. Silver, A. Spiegel, V. Sutch, and G. Torres.

Those opposed to the motion to approve the document:

None

Those abstaining from the motion to approve the document:

J. Baldwin.

The motion was approved by the vote of 31 in favor, none opposed and 1 abstention.

## VII. New Business

The motion was made for the suspension of the rules for action of Item A (Wright State University Parking Policy). The motion was seconded and approved by voice vote.

There was a motion and second for approval of the Parking Policy.

Discussion was called for.

There was a motion made to amend the motion for approval in which the council would only vote on number 1 of the policy and numbers 2-5 would be deleted. The motion for amendment was seconded.

Discussion followed.

There was then a motion to amend the amendment previously made in which the Council would vote on each item separately. The motion was seconded.

Discussion followed.

The motion to amend the original amendment was approved by a vote of 16 to 12.

Mr. Sayer then clarified that the Council just adopted the amendment to change the original amendment.

Discussion followed.

The Council then voted on the amendment which is to act on each item separately.

The motion to amend the motion to approved the Parking Policy was approved by voice vote.

The motion was made and seconded to approve Item 1 of the Parking Policy.

Discussion followed.

The following changes (which are underlined) were made and seconded are: in Item 1: "That a permanent parking services committee be created and maintained; reporting to the Executive Vice President." and also "This committee would be charged with making recommendations for the following responsibilities:"

Discussion followed.

Both amendments were approved by voice vote.

The motion to approve Item 1 as amended was approved by voice vote.

A motion was made and seconded to approve Item 2 of the Parking Policy.

Discussion followed.

The motion to approve Item 2 was approved by voice vote.

The motion was made and seconded to approve Item 3 of the Parking Policy.

Discussion followed.

The motion to approve Item 3 was approved by voice vote.

The motion was made and seconded to approve Item 4 of the Parking Policy.

~~There was no discussion.~~

The motion to approve Item 4 was approved by voice vote.

The motion was made and seconded to approve Item 5 of the Parking Policy.

Discussion followed.

There was a motion to amend Item 5 pertaining to "A" decals to omit "if all such zones are full, then "A" decal-holders are to use Visitors' Parking." The motion was seconded.

Discussion followed.

The amendment to Item 5 was defeated by a vote of 14 in favor and 15 opposed.

The motion to approve Item 5 was defeated by a vote of 9 in favor and 18 opposed.

The Parking Policy, with the exception of Item 5, was approved.

VIII. The meeting was adjourned at 4:40 p.m.