Wright State University

CORE Scholar

Mathematics and Statistics Faculty
Publications

Mathematics and Statistics

5-1986

Orthogonal Polynomials, Measures and Recurrence Relations

Joanne Dombrowski

Wright State University - Main Campus, joanne.dombrowski@wright.edu

Paul Nevai

Follow this and additional works at: <https://corescholar.libraries.wright.edu/math>

Part of the [Applied Mathematics Commons](#), [Applied Statistics Commons](#), and the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Repository Citation

Dombrowski, J., & Nevai, P. (1986). Orthogonal Polynomials, Measures and Recurrence Relations. *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, 17 (8), 752-759.

<https://corescholar.libraries.wright.edu/math/54>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Mathematics and Statistics department at CORE Scholar. It has been accepted for inclusion in Mathematics and Statistics Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of CORE Scholar. For more information, please contact library-corescholar@wright.edu.

ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS, MEASURES AND RECURRENCE RELATIONS*

JOANNE DOMBROWSKI[†] AND PAUL NEVAI[‡]

Abstract. Properties of measures associated with orthogonal polynomials are investigated in terms of the coefficients of the three term recurrence formula satisfied by the orthogonal polynomials.

AMS(MOS) subject classification. Primary 43C05

Key words. orthogonal polynomials, recurrence relations, Szegő's theory

1. Introduction. Let $d\alpha$ be a positive measure on the real line with finite moments and infinite support, and let $\{p_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$, $p_n(x) = \gamma_n x^n + \dots$, $\gamma_n > 0$, be the system of orthonormal polynomials associated with $d\alpha$. The polynomials p_n satisfy the recurrence formula

$$(1) \quad xp_n = a_{n+1}p_{n+1} + b_n p_n + a_n p_{n-1}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots,$$

where $p_{-1} = 0$, $p_0 = \gamma_0$, $a_0 = 0$, $a_n = \gamma_{n-1}/\gamma_n$ and

$$b_n = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x p_n^2(x) d\alpha(x).$$

By J. Favard's theorem [10, p. 60] every system of polynomials generated by (1) where $a_n > 0$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) and $b_n \in \mathbb{R}$ is in fact a system of orthonormal polynomials. The corresponding measure $d\alpha$ is uniquely determined if and only if the associated moment problem has a unique solution, and the latter holds if, say, both sequences $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ are bounded. Recently there has been an upsurge in research activity concerning the determination of the relationship between orthogonal polynomials, recurrence relations and measures. Several such papers are listed in the references. In particular, R. Askey and M. Ismail [1, p. 102] asked whether it is true that if

$$(2) \quad a_n = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{c}{n} + O(n^{-2}) \quad \text{and} \quad b_n = 0$$

where $c > 0$ then the absolutely continuous portion of the corresponding measure $d\alpha$ is in Szegő's class which means that $\log \alpha'(\text{cost}) \in L^1$. One of the main goals of this paper is to show that the Askey–Ismail problem can essentially be solved. More precisely, it follows from Theorem 3 below that if (2) is replaced by

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{c}{n} + \frac{d}{n^2} + o(n^{-2}) \quad \text{and} \quad b_n = 0$$

where $c > 0$ and $d \in \mathbb{R}$ then $\log \alpha'(\text{cost}) \in L^1$. While we suspect that condition (2) fails to imply the integrability of $\log \alpha'(\text{cost})$, we do not have evidence supporting our claim at the present time. Let us point out that Theorem 2 in fact yields $\alpha'(x) \geq \text{const} \sqrt{1-x^2}$, $|x| < 1$, if only $a_n \downarrow 1/2$ and $b_n = 0$. The latter is quite a surprise if compared with J. Shohat's result [26, p. 50] claiming that if $\text{supp}(d\alpha) = [-1, 1]$ then $\log \alpha'(\text{cost}) \in L^1$ if

* Received by the editors May 8, 1984.

[†] Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Wright State University, Dayton, Ohio 45435. This author's research was supported by the National Science Foundation under grant MCS-83-00882.

[‡] Department of Mathematics, Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210.

and only if

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(a_k - \frac{1}{2} \right) < \infty, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k < \infty$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \left(a_k - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 + b_k^2 \right\} < \infty.$$

The natural way to connect the recursion coefficients with the measure is via Stieltjes transforms (see e.g. [1]). However, it seems that this approach is feasible only when the recursion coefficients are given in terms of explicitly defined expressions such as rational functions of n . The general cases can better be handled by techniques introduced on one side in [5]–[9] and on the other side in [15], [16], [18], [19] and [20].

If p_n is generated by (1), then we define S_n by

$$(3) \quad S_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \{ [a_{k+1}^2 - a_k^2] p_k^2(x) + a_k [b_k - b_{k-1}] p_{k-1}(x) p_k(x) \}.$$

All of our results are based on the formula

$$(4) \quad S_n(x) = a_{n+1}^2 \left[p_n^2(x) - \frac{x - b_n}{a_{n+1}} p_n(x) p_{n+1}(x) + p_{n+1}^2(x) \right]$$

proved in [8]. (Caution: the notation in [8] is somewhat different!) The second of us believes that the significance of (4) cannot be overestimated, and it will play a fundamental role in future research on general orthogonal polynomials (see e.g. [22]). The other ingredient of this paper comes from [16] where the necessary spectral analysis was accomplished.

In order not to interrupt our forthcoming discussion, we first prove the following technical proposition. In what follows a_+ denotes the positive part of a and $\log^+$ and $\log^-$ are also defined in the usual way.

LEMMA 1. *Let $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ satisfy $a_{n+1} \geq \frac{1}{2}(1 + |b_n|)$ for $n > N$ and let p_n and S_n be defined by (1) and (3) respectively. Then*

$$(5) \quad (1 - x^2) p_{n+1}^2(x) \leq 4S_n(x), \quad |x| \leq 1,$$

$$(6) \quad (1 - x^2) p_n^2(x) \leq 4S_n(x), \quad |x| \leq 1,$$

$$(7) \quad \max_{|x| \leq 1} p_{n+1}^2(x) \leq 4(n+2)^2 \max_{|x| \leq 1} |S_n(x)|,$$

$$(8) \quad \max_{|x| \leq 1} p_n^2(x) \leq 4(n+1)^2 \max_{|x| \leq 1} |S_n(x)|,$$

$$(9) \quad 0 \leq S_{n+1}(x) \leq S_n(x) \exp \left\{ 4 \frac{[a_{n+2}^2 - a_{n+1}^2]_+ + a_{n+1} |b_{n+1} - b_n|}{1 - x^2} \right\}, \quad |x| \leq 1,$$

and

$$(10) \quad \max_{|x| \leq 1} S_{n+1}(x) \max_{|x| \leq 1} S_n(x) \cdot \exp \left\{ 4(n+2)^2 ([a_{n+2}^2 - a_{n+1}^2]_+ - a_{n+1} |b_{n+1} - b_n|) \right\}$$

hold for $n > N$.

Proof. By (4)

$$S_n(x) = a_{n+1}^2 \left[p_n(x) - \frac{x - b_n}{2a_{n+1}} p_{n+1}(x) \right]^2 + \frac{1}{4} [4a_{n+1}^2 - (x - b_n)^2] p_{n+1}^2(x)$$

and

$$S_n(x) = a_{n+1}^2 \left[p_{n+1}(x) - \frac{x-b_n}{2a_{n+1}} p_n(x) \right]^2 + \frac{1}{4} [4a_{n+1}^2 - (x-b_n)^2] p_n^2(x).$$

If $2a_{n+1} \geq 1 + |b_n|$ then $4a_{n+1}^2 - (x-b_n)^2 \geq 1 - x^2$ for $|x| \leq 1$. Thus (5) and (6) are satisfied. Inequalities (7) and (8) follow from (5), (6) and Bernstein's theorem [17, p. 139]. Writing

$$S_{n+1} = S_n [a_{n+2}^2 - a_{n+1}^2] p_{n+1}^2 + a_{n+1} [b_{n+1} - b_n] p_n p_{n+1}$$

and applying (5)–(8), inequalities (9) and (10) follow immediately.

THEOREM 1. *If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \frac{1}{2}$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = 0$ and*

$$(11) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \{ |a_{n+1} - a_n| + |b_{n+1} - b_n| \} < \infty$$

then the orthogonal polynomials p_n generated by (1) and the corresponding measure $d\alpha$ satisfy

$$(12) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \{ [a_{k+1}^2 - a_k^2] p_k^2(x) + a_k [b_k - b_{k-1}] p_{k-1}(x) p_k(x) \} = \frac{\sqrt{1-x^2}}{2\pi\alpha'(x)}, \quad -1 < x < 1,$$

and the convergence is uniform on every closed subinterval of $(-1, 1)$.

Proof. Theorem 1 follows immediately from (3), (4) and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[p_n^2(x) - \frac{x-b_n}{a_{n+1}} p_n(x) p_{n+1}(x) + p_{n+1}^2(x) \right] = \frac{2\sqrt{1-x^2}}{\pi\alpha'(x)}$$

which holds uniformly on every closed subinterval of $(-1, 1)$ if (11) is satisfied [16].

THEOREM 2. *Let $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{b_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ satisfy $a_{n+1} \geq \frac{1}{2}(1 + |b_n|)$ for $n > N$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \frac{1}{2}$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = 0$ and*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2 \{ [a_{n+1} - a_n]_+ + |b_{n+1} - b_n| \} < \infty.$$

Then there exist a constant $K > 0$ such that for the orthogonal polynomials p_n defined by (1) and for the associated measure $d\alpha$ we have

$$(13) \quad \sqrt{1-x^2} |p_n(x)| \leq K, \quad -1 \leq x \leq 1,$$

$n = 1, 2, \dots$, and

$$(14) \quad \alpha'(x) \geq K^{-1} \sqrt{1-x^2}, \quad -1 \leq x \leq 1.$$

Proof. Repeated application of (10) shows that the sequence $\{S_n\}$ is uniformly bounded in $[-1, 1]$ and then (13) follows from (6) whereas (14) follows from Theorem 1, (3) and (4).

Remark 1. The sharpness of Theorem 2 may best be illustrated by the ultraspherical polynomials which are orthogonal with respect to $d\alpha(x) = (1-x^2)^\epsilon dx$ in $[-1, 1]$. For these polynomials

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1-4\epsilon^2}{16} \frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{\text{const}}{n^3} + O(n^{-4}) \quad \text{and} \quad b_n = 0$$

so that the conditions of Theorem 2 are satisfied if and only if $|\epsilon| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ whereas (14) holds if and only if $\epsilon \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

THEOREM 3. Let $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and $\{b_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ satisfy $a_{n+1} \geq \frac{1}{2}(1 + |b_n|)$ for $n > N$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \frac{1}{2}$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = 0$ and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} n \{ [a_{n+1} - a_n]_+ + |b_{n+1} - b_n| \} < \infty.$$

Let $d\alpha$ be the measure associated with the orthogonal polynomials defined by (1). Then

$$(15) \quad d\alpha(x) = w(x) dx + \text{mass points outside } (-1, 1)$$

where w is positive and continuous in $(-1, 1)$, w vanishes outside $[-1, 1]$ and w belongs to Szegő's class, that is

$$(16) \quad \int_0^\pi |\log w(\cos t)| dt < \infty.$$

Remark 2. If $\sum n \{ |a_n - \frac{1}{2}| + |b_n| \} < \infty$ then the number of mass points in $d\alpha$ is finite and all such mass points are located outside $[-1, 1]$ (see [4] and [11]).

Proof of Theorem 3. By the conditions $\sum (|a_{n+1} - a_n| + |b_{n+1} - b_n|) < \infty$ holds as well so that by the Theorem in [16] and by Blumenthal's result (see e.g. [18, Thm. 3.3.7, p. 23]) formula (15) holds with $w(> 0) \in C(-1, 1)$ and $\text{supp}(w) = [-1, 1]$. Therefore only (16) needs to be proved. Let δ_n be defined by

$$\delta_n = 4 \{ [a_{n+2}^2 - a_{n+1}^2]_+ + a_{n+1} |b_{n+1} - b_n| \}.$$

Then by the assumptions made

$$(17) \quad \sum_1^\infty n \delta_n < \infty,$$

and applying (9) and (10) with $n > N$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{1-(n+1)^2} \frac{\log^+ S_{n+1}(x)}{\sqrt{1-x}} dx \\ & \leq \int_0^{1-n^{-2}} \frac{\log^+ S_n(x)}{\sqrt{1-x}} dx + \delta_n \int_1^{1-n^{-2}} \frac{dx}{(1-x)^{3/2}} \\ & \quad + \log^+ \left\{ \max_{|x| \leq 1} |S_{n+1}(x)| \right\} \int_{1-n^{-2}}^{1-(n+1)^{-2}} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-x}} \\ & = \int_0^{1-n^{-2}} \frac{\log^+ S_n(s)}{\sqrt{1-x}} dx \\ & \quad + 2(n-1)\delta_n + 2\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \frac{1}{n+1} \log^+ \left\{ \max_{|x| \leq 1} S_{n+1}(x) \right\} \\ & \leq \int_0^{1-n^{-2}} \frac{\log^+ S_n(x)}{\sqrt{1-x}} dx + 2(n-1)\delta_n + \frac{2}{n} \log^+ \left\{ \max_{|x| \leq 1} S_n(x) \right\} \\ & \quad - \frac{2}{n+1} \log^+ \left\{ \max_{|x| \leq 1} S_{n+1}(x) \right\} + \frac{2(n+2)^2}{n} \delta_n. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\int_0^{1-(n+1)^{-2}} \frac{\log^+ S_{n+1}(x)}{\sqrt{1-x}} dx \leq \int_0^{1-n^{-2}} \frac{\log^+ S_n(x)}{\sqrt{1-x}} dx + 18n\delta_n \\ + \frac{2}{n} \log^+ \left\{ \max_{|x| \leq 1} S_n(x) \right\} - \frac{2}{n+1} \log^+ \left\{ \max_{|x| \leq 1} S_{n+1}(x) \right\}$$

from which

$$\int_0^{1-(n+1)^2} \frac{\log^+ S_{n+1}(x)}{\sqrt{1-x}} dx \leq \int_0^{1-(N+1)^2} \frac{\log^+ S_{n+1}(x)}{\sqrt{1-x}} dx + 18 \sum_{k=N+1}^n k\delta_k \\ + \frac{2}{N+1} \log^+ \left\{ \max_{|x| \leq 1} S_{N+1}(x) \right\}$$

follows. Now letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ and applying (3), (17), Theorem 1 and Fatou's lemma, we see that

$$\int_0^{\pi/2} \log^- w(\cos t) dt > -\infty.$$

By similar arguments

$$\int_{\pi/2}^{\pi} \log^- w(\cos t) dt > -\infty$$

holds as well. By Jensen's inequality

$$\int_0^{\pi} \log w(\cos t) dt < \infty$$

and thus Theorem 3 has completely been proved.

2. Applications.

A. Ya. L. Geronimus [12]–[14] raised and solved the following problem. Let $m \geq 0$ be a fixed integer and let $\{b_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ and $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ ($a_k > 0$) be given sequences such that $b_k = 0$ for $k \geq m$ and $a_k = \frac{1}{2}$ for $k > m$. Let $\{p_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be the orthogonal polynomial system generated by (1) and let $d\alpha$ be the corresponding measure. The problem is to find $d\alpha$. We will show that on the basis of our results $d\alpha$ can easily be found. It follows from (3) and (4) that

$$(18) \quad 4S_n = p_n^2 - 2xp_n p_{n+1} + p_{n+1}^2, \quad n \geq m,$$

and

$$(19) \quad S_n = S_m, \quad n \geq m.$$

Thus by Theorem 1 and 3 and by Remark 2,

$$(20) \quad d\alpha(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{\sqrt{1-x^2}}{p_m^2(x) - 2xp_m(x)p_{m+1}(x) + p_{m+1}^2(x)} \chi(x) + \sum_{l=1}^M J_l \delta(x-x_l)$$

where χ is the characteristic function of $[-1, 1]$ and x_l 's are the mass points with mass $J_l > 0$. It is well known [27] that for an arbitrary system of orthogonal polynomials if the associated moment problem has a unique solution then x is a mass point for $d\alpha$ with

mass J if and only if $\sum p_k^2(x) < \infty$ and then

(21)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{J} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k^2(x) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_{k+1} [p'_{k+1}(x) p_k(x) - p_{k+1}(x) p'_k(x)] \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_{k+1} [p_{k+1}(x)/p_k(x)]' p_k^2(x) = - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_{k+1} [p_k(x)/p_{k+1}(x)]' p_{k+1}^2(x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by (18) and (19) the mass points x_l are zeros of S_m and hence $M \leq 2m+2$. However not all zeros of S_m are in fact mass points. Applying the recurrence formula (1) and (3)–(4) we obtain

$$(22) \quad 4S_m = p_{n+1}^2 - p_n p_{n+2}, \quad n \geq m$$

so that

$$(23) \quad \frac{p_{n+1}}{p_n} = \frac{p_{m+1}}{p_m}, \quad n \geq m, \quad \text{if } S_m = 0.$$

On the other hand, by (18)

$$(24) \quad \frac{p_{m+1}}{p_m} = x \pm \sqrt{x^2 - 1} \quad \text{if } S_m = 0$$

(here $\sqrt{x^2 - 1} > 0$ if $x > 1$ and $\sqrt{x^2 - 1} < 0$ if $x < -1$). Thus by (21) x is a mass point if and only if $S_m(x) = 0$ and $|p_{m+1}(x)| < |p_m(x)|$. By (22)

$$4S'_m = -(p_n/p_{n+1})' p_{n+1}^2 \frac{p_{n+2}}{p_{n+1}} - (p_{n+2}/p_{n+1})' p_{n+1}^2 \frac{p_n}{p_{n+1}}, \quad n \geq m,$$

if $S_m = 0$ so that by (21), (23) and (24)

$$2S'_m(x_l) = J_l^{-1} (x_l - \sqrt{x_l^2 - 1}) - J_l^{-1} (x_l + \sqrt{x_l^2 - 1}) = -2J_l^{-1} \sqrt{x_l^2 - 1}.$$

Thus the mass points x_l in (20) are those zeros of $p_m^2 - 2xp_m p_{m+1} + p_{m+1}^2$ for which $|p_{m+1}(x_l)| < |p_m(x_l)|$ and the corresponding mass J_l is given by

$$J_l = \frac{-\sqrt{x_l^2 - 1}}{S'_m(x_l)} = \left| \frac{\sqrt{x_l^2 - 1}}{S'_m(x_l)} \right|.$$

B. The previous analysis can be applied to the case when

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| a_n - \frac{1}{2} \right|^{1/n} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |b_n|^{1/n} = 0.$$

Without going into details we point out that in this case one can prove

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |p_n(x)|^{1/n} < \infty$$

uniformly on every compact set in the complex plane, and thus

$$S(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n(x)$$

is an entire function. The corresponding measure $d\alpha$ can be written as

$$d\alpha(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\sqrt{1-x^2}}{S(x)} \chi(x) dx + \sum_{x_l \in Z} \left| \frac{\sqrt{x_l^2-1}}{S'(x_l)} \right| \delta(x-x_l)$$

where χ is the characteristic function of $[-1, 1]$ and Z is the collection of those zeros x_l of S for which $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |p_{n+1}(x_l)/p_n(x_l)| < 1$. Of course, Z is a finite set.

C. The Pollaczek polynomials satisfy (1) with

$$a_n^2 = \frac{1}{4} \frac{(n+c)(n+2\lambda+c-1)}{(n+\lambda+a+c)(n+\lambda+a+c-1)}, \quad n=1, 2, \dots,$$

and

$$b_n = -\frac{b}{n+\lambda+a+c}, \quad n=0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where the parameters a , b , c and λ are chosen so that $b_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a_n > 0$. Pollaczek [23] (see also [3]) investigated the case when either $a > |b|$, $2\lambda + c > 0$, $c \geq 0$ or $a > |b|$, $2\lambda + c \geq 1$, $c > -1$ and determined that $d\alpha$ is absolutely continuous. Hence $d\alpha$ is completely described by (16). The explicit expression for α' [3, p. 185] shows that α' is not Szegő's class in this case. Since

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{a}{2n} + \frac{\text{const}}{n^2} + O(n^{-3})$$

holds, we see that the conditions of Theorem 2 are satisfied provided that $a < 0$, $b = 0$, and consequently

$$\alpha'(x) \geq K^{-1} \sqrt{1-x^2}, \quad -1 \leq x \leq 1,$$

with a suitably chosen positive constant K if $a < 0$ and $b = 0$. In particular, $\log \alpha'(\text{cost}) \in L^1$ in this case. Examples of Pollaczek polynomials with not necessarily absolutely continuous measures have been investigated in [1], [2], [24], and [29].

D. Let $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ satisfy $0 < a_n \leq \frac{1}{2}$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \frac{1}{2}$ and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{k+1} - a_k| < \infty,$$

and let $b_n = 0$ for every n . Let $d\alpha$ be the measure associated with the orthogonal polynomials p_n which are defined by (1). It is well known that in this case $\text{supp}(d\alpha) = [-1]$ (see e.g. [18, Thm. 3.3.7, p. 23]). Let us show that ± 1 are not mass points of $d\alpha$. If x is a mass point, then by (21) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |p_n(x)| = 0$ so that there exists n_0 such that $|p_n(x)| \leq p_{n_0}(x)$ for every n and $|p_n(x)| < |p_{n_0}(x)|$ for $n < n_0$. By the recurrence formula

$$|xp_{n_0}(x)| \leq a_{n_0+1} |p_{n_0+1}(x)| + a_{n_0} |p_{n_0-1}(x)| < |p_{n_0}(x)|$$

and hence $|x| < 1$, that is $x \neq \pm 1$. It has been shown in both [8] and [16] that $d\alpha$ is absolutely continuous in $(-1, 1)$. Therefore $d\alpha$ is absolutely continuous on the whole real line and by Theorem 1

$$d\alpha(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\sqrt{1-x^2}}{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [a_{k+1}^2 - a_k^2] p_k^2(x)} \chi(x) dx$$

where χ is the characteristic function of $[-1, 1]$. By the previously quoted theorem of Shohat [26, p. 50] $\log \alpha'(\cos t) \in L^1$ if and only if $\sum (a_k - \frac{1}{2}) < \infty$.

E. If there exists N such that $a_n > a_{n+1}$ for $n > N$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \frac{1}{2}$ and if $b_n = 0$ for every n , then the conditions of Theorem 2 are satisfied. Hence $\alpha'(x) \geq K^{-1} \sqrt{1-x^2}$, $|x| \leq 1$, holds in this case.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. ASKEY AND M. ISMAIL, *Recurrence Relations, Continued Fractions and Orthogonal Polynomials*, Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.
- [2] E. BANK AND M. ISMAIL, *The attractive Coulomb potential polynomials*, Constructive Approximation, to appear.
- [3] T. S. CHIHARA, *An Introduction to Orthogonal Polynomials*, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1978.
- [4] T. S. CHIHARA AND P. NEVAI, *Orthogonal polynomials and measures with finitely many point masses*, J. Approx. Theory, 35 (1982), pp. 370–380.
- [5] J. DOMBROWSKI, *Spectral properties of phase operators*, J. Math. Phys., 15 (1974), pp. 576–577.
- [6] ———, *Spectral properties of real parts of weighted shift operators*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 29 (1980), pp. 249–259.
- [7] ———, *Tridiagonal matrix representations of cyclic self-adjoint operators*, Pacific J. Math., to appear.
- [8] ———, *Tridiagonal matrix representations of cyclic self-adjoint operators II*, Pacific J. Math., to appear.
- [9] J. DOMBROWSKI AND G. H. FRICKE, *The absolute continuity of phase operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 213 (1975), pp. 363–372.
- [10] G. FREUD, *Orthogonal Polynomials*, Pergamon Press, New York, 1971.
- [11] J. S. GERONIMO AND K. M. CASE, *Scattering theory and polynomials orthogonal on the real line*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 258 (1980), pp. 467–494.
- [12] JA. L. GERONIMUS, *On some finite-difference equations and the corresponding systems of orthogonal polynomials*, Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR, 29 (1940), pp. 536–538. (In French.)
- [13] ———, *On some finite-difference equations and the corresponding systems of orthogonal polynomials*, Zap. Mat. Otd. Fiz.-Mat. Fak. i. Har'kov. Mat. Obsc. (4) 25 (1957), pp. 87–100. (In Russian.)
- [14] JA. L. GERONIMUS AND G. SZEGÖ, *Two Papers on Special Functions*, Amer. Math. Soc. Translations, Series 2, Vol. 108, 1977.
- [15] A. MÁTÉ AND P. NEVAI, *Sublinear perturbations of the differential equation $y^{(n)} = 0$ and of the analogous difference equation*, J. Differential Equations, to appear.
- [16] ———, *Orthogonal polynomials and absolutely continuous measures*, in Approximation Theory, IV, C. K. Chui et al., eds., Academic Press, New York, 1983, pp. 611–617.
- [17] I. P. NATANSON, *Constructive Function Theory*, Vol. 1, F. Ungar, New York, 1964.
- [18] P. NEVAI, *Orthogonal Polynomials*, Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Soc. 213, 1979.
- [19] ———, *Orthogonal polynomials defined by a recurrence relation*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 250 (1979), pp. 369–384.
- [20] ———, *Orthogonal polynomials defined by a recurrence relation*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 250 (1979), pp. 369–384.
- [21] ———, *Two of my favorite ways of obtaining asymptotics for orthogonal polynomials*, in Functional Analysis and Approximation, P. L. Butzer and B. Sz.-Nagy, eds., ISNM 65, Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, 1984, 417–436.
- [22] ———, *Exact bounds for orthogonal polynomials*, J. Approx. Theory, 44 (1985), pp. 82–85.
- [23] F. POLLACZEK, *On a four parameter family of orthogonal polynomials*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 230 (1950), pp. 2254–2256. (In French.)
- [24] ———, *On a Generalization of Jacobi Polynomials*, Mémoires des Sciences Mathématiques, Vol. 121, Paris, 1956. (In French.)
- [25] D. D. ROGERS, *Spectral properties of some tridiagonal matrices*, manuscript.
- [26] J. A. SHOHAT, *General Theory of Chebyshev's Orthogonal Polynomials*, Mémoires des Sciences Mathématiques, Vol. 66, Paris, 1934. (In French.)
- [27] J. A. SHOHAT AND J. D. TAMARKIN, *The Problem of Moments*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1943.
- [28] G. SZEGÖ, *Orthogonal Polynomials*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1975.
- [29] H. A. YAMANI AND W. P. REINHARDT, *L^2 discretization of the continuum: radical kinetic energy and Coulomb Hamiltonian*, Phys. Rev. A, 11 (1975), pp. 1144–1155.