

2002

# On the Regularity of Solutions to Fully Nonlinear Elliptic Equations via the Liouville Property

Qingbo Huang

Wright State University - Main Campus, qingbo.huang@wright.edu

Follow this and additional works at: <https://corescholar.libraries.wright.edu/math>

 Part of the [Applied Mathematics Commons](#), [Applied Statistics Commons](#), and the [Mathematics Commons](#)

---

## Repository Citation

Huang, Q. (2002). On the Regularity of Solutions to Fully Nonlinear Elliptic Equations via the Liouville Property. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 130 (7), 1955-1959.  
<https://corescholar.libraries.wright.edu/math/48>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Mathematics and Statistics department at CORE Scholar. It has been accepted for inclusion in Mathematics and Statistics Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of CORE Scholar. For more information, please contact [corescholar@www.libraries.wright.edu](mailto:corescholar@www.libraries.wright.edu), [library-corescholar@wright.edu](mailto:library-corescholar@wright.edu).

## ON THE REGULARITY OF SOLUTIONS TO FULLY NONLINEAR ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS VIA THE LIOUVILLE PROPERTY

QINGBO HUANG

(Communicated by David S. Tartakoff)

ABSTRACT. We show that any  $C^{1,1}$  solution to the uniformly elliptic equation  $F(D^2u) = 0$  must belong to  $C^{2,\alpha}$ , if the equation has the Liouville property.

### §1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we consider the interior regularity of solutions to the following fully nonlinear elliptic equation:

$$(1) \quad F(D^2u) = 0.$$

We assume that  $F$  is uniformly elliptic, i.e., there exist constants  $0 < \lambda \leq \Lambda$  such that

$$(2) \quad \lambda \|N\| \leq F(M + N) - F(M) \leq \Lambda \|N\|, \quad \text{for } M, N \in \mathcal{S}, N \geq 0,$$

where  $\mathcal{S}$  denotes the space of real  $n \times n$  symmetric matrices and  $\|N\|$  denotes the norm of  $N$ .

For simplicity, we also assume that  $F(0) = 0$ .

There have been a number of works concerning equation (1). For instance, see [CC], [GT], [K] and the references cited there. When  $F$  is a concave or convex functional, it is well known that the Evans-Krylov estimate

$$[D^2u]_{C^\alpha(B_{1/2})} \leq C \|u\|_{C^{1,1}(B_1)}$$

holds, and  $C^{1,1}$  viscosity solutions of (1) are  $C^{2,\alpha}$  for some  $\alpha > 0$ .

On the contrary, in the case when  $F$  is not concave nor convex,  $C^{1,1}$  viscosity solutions of (1) may not be in the  $C^2$  class. This has recently been shown by Nadirashvili in [N] in which he found a  $C^{1,1}$  viscosity solution  $u$  to the equation  $F(D^2u) = 0$  where  $F$  is smooth, uniformly elliptic and  $u$  is not  $C^2$ . Therefore, it would be interesting to know under what condition a  $C^{1,1}$  solution of (1) is actually in the  $C^2$  class.

It is our purpose in this paper to show that any  $C^{1,1}$  viscosity solution of (1) must be  $C^{2,\alpha}$  if the elliptic operator  $F$  has the Liouville property.

A continuous function  $u(x)$  is said to be a viscosity subsolution (*resp.*, supersolution) of (1) in a domain  $\Omega$  if for  $x_0 \in \Omega$  and  $\phi(x) \in C^2$ ,  $u - \phi$  attains the local

---

Received by the editors September 20, 1999.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35J60; Secondary 35B65.

*Key words and phrases.* Fully nonlinear elliptic equation, regularity, Liouville property, VMO.

maximum (*resp.*, minimum) at  $x_0$ , then  $F(D^2\phi(x_0)) \geq 0$  (*resp.*,  $\leq 0$ ). If  $u$  is both a subsolution and a supersolution, then we say  $u$  is a viscosity solution. We mention that if  $u \in C^{1,1}$ , then  $u$  is a viscosity solution of (1) if and only if  $u$  is a strong solution to (1).

Equation (1) or  $F$  is said to satisfy the Liouville property if  $u \in C_{loc}^{1,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$  is an entire viscosity solution of (1) with bounded  $D^2u$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ ,  $|D^2u| \leq C$ , then  $u$  must be a polynomial of degree at most 2.

Let  $B_r(x_0) = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : |x - x_0| < r\}$ .

Now we state the main theorem.

**Theorem.** *Suppose that  $F \in C^1$  satisfies (2) and  $F(0) = 0$ . Let  $u \in C^{1,1}(B_1(0))$  be a viscosity solution of (1) in  $B_1(0)$ . If equation (1) satisfies the Liouville property, then for any  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ,  $u \in C^{2,\alpha}(B_{1/2}(0))$  and  $[D^2u]_{C^\alpha(B_{1/2}(0))} \leq C$ , where  $C$  depends only on  $n, \lambda, \Lambda, \alpha, \|u\|_{C^{1,1}(B_1(0))}, F$ , and the modulus of continuity of  $DF$ .*

§2. THE PROOF OF THE THEOREM

We will use the blow-up technique to prove the Theorem. The tool needed to obtain a subsequence of blow-up solutions converging in  $W_{loc}^{2,2}(\mathbf{R}^n)$  is the  $W^{2,\delta}$  estimate for nondivergent uniform elliptic equations. For the convenience of our readers, let us give a little more preliminary information.

Recall that  $u \in \text{BMO}(\Omega)$  is in  $\text{VMO}(\Omega)$  if

$$\eta_u(R, \Omega) = \sup_{\substack{x_0 \in \Omega \\ 0 < r \leq R}} \int_{B_r(x_0) \cap \Omega} |u(x) - u_{x_0,r}| dx \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } R \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $\int_A f dx$  denotes the average of  $f$  over  $A$  and  $u_{x_0,r}$  the average of  $u$  over  $B_r(x_0) \cap \Omega$ . We will call  $\eta_u$  the VMO modulus of  $u$  in  $\Omega$ .

Now let us recall the class  $\mathcal{S}$  of solutions of uniformly elliptic equations. For more details, see [CC]. Let  $\mathcal{A}_{\lambda,\Lambda}$  denote all symmetric matrices whose eigenvalues belong to  $[\lambda, \Lambda]$ . Define Pucci extremal operators  $M^+(M)$  and  $M^-(M)$  by

$$M^+(M) = \sup_{A \in \mathcal{A}_{\lambda,\Lambda}} \text{trace}(AM),$$

$$M^-(M) = \inf_{A \in \mathcal{A}_{\lambda,\Lambda}} \text{trace}(AM),$$

for  $M \in \mathcal{S}$ . It is easy to check that  $M^+$  and  $M^-$  are uniformly elliptic operators. A continuous function  $u$  is in class  $\mathcal{S}$  if  $M^-(D^2u) \leq 0$  and  $M^+(D^2u) \geq 0$  in the viscosity sense.

The following result on precompact sets in  $L^p$  is a local variant of Theorem 3.44 in [A].

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  a bounded subset of  $L^p(\Omega)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . For any domain  $D \subset \subset \Omega$ , if*

$$\sup_{u \in \mathcal{A}} \int_D |u(x+h) - u(x)|^p dx \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } |h| \rightarrow 0,$$

*then  $\mathcal{A}$  is precompact in  $L^p(D)$ .*

Now let us prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.** *Assume that  $F$  satisfies (2) and  $F(0) = 0$ . Then the following two statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *If  $u \in C^{1,1}(B_1(0))$  is a viscosity solution of (1) in  $B_1(0)$  and  $|D^2u| \leq M$  in  $B_1(0)$ , then  $D^2u \in VMO(B_{1/2}(0))$  and  $\eta_{D^2u}(R) \leq \eta(R)$ , where  $\eta_{D^2u}(R)$  is the VMO modulus of  $D^2u$  in  $B_{1/2}(0)$ ,  $\lim_{R \rightarrow 0^+} \eta(R) = 0$ , and  $\eta$  depends only on  $n, \lambda, \Lambda, F$ , and  $M$ .*
- (ii)  *$F$  satisfies the Liouville property.*

*Proof.* (i) implies (ii). Let  $u \in C^{1,1}_{loc}(\mathbf{R}^n)$  be an entire solution of (1) with  $|D^2u| \leq M$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Consider

$$v_k(y) = \frac{u(ky) - u(0) - Du(0)ky}{k^2}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Obviously  $\|v_k\|_{C^{1,1}(B_1(0))} \leq C_n M$  and

$$F(D^2v_k) = 0 \quad \text{in } B_1(0).$$

Therefore by (i), for  $\rho > 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_\rho(0)} |D^2u - (D^2u)_{0,\rho}| dx \\ &= \int_{B_{\frac{\rho}{k}}(0)} |D^2v_k - (D^2v_k)_{0,\frac{\rho}{k}}| dy \\ &\leq \eta_{D^2v_k}\left(\frac{\rho}{k}\right) \leq \eta\left(\frac{\rho}{k}\right) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $D^2u = \text{const}$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  and hence  $u$  is a polynomial of degree at most 2.

Suppose that  $F$  satisfies the Liouville property. We want to show (i). Let

$$X_M = \{u \in C^{1,1}(B_1(0)) : F(D^2u) = 0 \text{ and } |D^2u| \leq M \text{ in } B_1(0)\}.$$

To prove that (i) holds, it suffices to show the following claim:

$$(3) \quad \sup_{\substack{u \in X_M \\ x_0 \in B_{1/2}(0) \\ r \leq R}} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |D^2u - (D^2u)_{x_0,r}|^2 dx \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } R \rightarrow 0.$$

We will show (3) by contradiction. If (3) is false, then there exist  $\varepsilon_0 > 0, r_k \rightarrow 0, x_k \in B_{1/2}(0), u_k \in X_M$  such that for  $k \geq 1$

$$\int_{B_{r_k}(x_k)} |D^2u_k - (D^2u_k)_{x_k,r_k}|^2 dx \geq \varepsilon_0.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} T_k y &= x_k + r_k y, & \Omega_k &= T_k^{-1} B_1(0); \\ v_k(y) &= \frac{u_k(x_k + r_k y) - u_k(x_k) - Du_k(x_k)r_k y}{r_k^2}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that

$$F(D^2v_k) = 0, \quad \text{in } \Omega_k.$$

$$(4) \quad \int_{B_1(0)} |D^2v_k - (D^2v_k)_{0,1}|^2 dy \geq \varepsilon_0.$$

$$(5) \quad \|v_k\|_{C^{1,1}(B_{2A}(0))} \leq C_{n,A} M, \quad \text{if } B_{2Ar_k}(x_k) \subset B_1(0).$$

Now we want to show  $\{D^2v_k\}$  is precompact in  $L^2$ . By [CC] (see Prop. 5.5)

$$\Delta_{\tau e}v_k(y) = \frac{v_k(y + \tau e) - v_k(y)}{\tau} \in \mathcal{S}, \quad \text{in } B_{3A/2}(0), \quad |e| = 1.$$

By the  $W^{2,\delta}$  estimate ( $\delta > 0$ ) (see Prop. 7.4, [CC]) for functions in  $\mathcal{S}$

$$\int_{B_A(0)} |D^2\Delta_{\tau e}v_k|^\delta(y) dy \leq C_A \|\Delta_{\tau e}v_k\|_{L^\infty(B_{3A/2}(0))}^\delta \leq C_A M M^\delta,$$

where  $\delta$  and  $C_A$  are independent of  $k$ .

Therefore, by (5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_A(0)} |D^2v_k(y + \tau e) - D^2v_k(y)|^2 dy \\ & \leq C \|D^2v_k\|_{L^\infty(B_{2A}(0))}^{2-\delta} \int_{B_A(0)} |D^2v_k(y + \tau e) - D^2v_k(y)|^\delta dy \\ & \leq C\tau^\delta. \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 1, this fact together with

$$\|D^2v_k\|_{L^2(B_{2A}(0))} \leq C_A$$

implies that  $\{D^2v_k\}$  is precompact in  $L^2(B_A(0))$ . Since  $v_k(0) = 0, Dv_k(0) = 0$ , and  $\|v_k\|_{C^{1,1}(B_{2A}(0))} \leq C_A$ , we may assume that by the diagonalizing process

$$\begin{aligned} v_k & \longrightarrow v, & \text{in } W_{loc}^{2,2}(\mathbf{R}^n) \cap C_{loc}^1(\mathbf{R}^n), \\ D^2v_k & \longrightarrow D^2v, & \text{a.e. in } \mathbf{R}^n. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $F(D^2v) = 0$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Since  $\|D^2v_k\|_{L^\infty(B_A(0))} \leq \|D^2u_k\|_{L^\infty(B_1(0))} \leq M, |D^2v| \leq M$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . By the Liouville property,  $v$  must be a polynomial of degree at most 2, and hence  $D^2v = \text{const}$ . This contradicts the following:

$$\int_{B_1(0)} |D^2v - (D^2v)_{0,1}|^2 dy = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_1(0)} |D^2v_k - (D^2v_k)_{0,1}|^2 dy \geq \varepsilon_0.$$

Thus, Lemma 1 follows. □

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $F \in C^1$  satisfy (2) and  $F(0) = 0$ . If  $u$  is a viscosity solution of (1) in  $B_1(0)$  and  $D^2u \in VMO(B_1(0))$ , then for any  $0 < \alpha < 1, u \in C^{2,\alpha}(B_{1/2}(0))$  and  $[D^2u]_{C^{2,\alpha}(B_{1/2}(0))} \leq C$ , where  $C$  depends on  $n, \alpha, \lambda, \Lambda$ , the modulus of continuity of  $DF, \|u\|_{C^1(B_1(0))}$  and the VMO modulus of  $D^2u$ .*

*Proof.* By differentiating (1), we obtain

$$(6) \quad a_{ij}(x)D_{ij}\Delta_{he}u(x) = 0, \quad \text{in } B_{3/4}(0),$$

where  $\Delta_{he}u(x) = [u(x + he) - u(x)]/h, h < \frac{1}{4}, |e| = 1$ , and

$$a_{ij}(x) = \int_0^1 \frac{\partial F}{\partial M_{ij}}((1 - \theta)D^2u(x) + \theta D^2u(x + he)) d\theta.$$

Let  $u_h(x) = u(x + he)$  and

$$c_{ij} = \int_0^1 \frac{\partial F}{\partial M_{ij}}((1 - \theta)(D^2u)_{x_0,r} + \theta(D^2u_h)_{x_0,r}) d\theta.$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that the continuity modulus of  $DF$  denoted by  $\omega(R)$  is concave. Obviously by Jensen's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_r(x_0)} |a_{ij}(x) - c_{ij}| dx \\ & \leq \int_{B_r(x_0)} \int_0^1 \omega[|(1-\theta)(D^2u - (D^2u)_{x_0,r}) + \theta(D^2u_h - (D^2u_h)_{x_0,r})|] d\theta dx \\ & \leq \omega(\eta_{D^2u}(r)) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $a_{ij} \in \text{VMO}(B_{3/4}(0))$ . Since (2) holds,  $\lambda'I \leq (a_{ij}) \leq \Lambda'I$  and (6) is uniformly elliptic. By the  $L^p$  estimate in [CFL], we obtain

$$\|\Delta_{he}u\|_{W^{2,p}(B_{1/2}(0))} \leq C\|\Delta_{he}u\|_{L^\infty(B_{3/4}(0))}.$$

Thus, we finish the proof of Lemma 2.  $\square$

The Theorem follows immediately from Lemma 1 and Lemma 2.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author expresses his gratitude to Professor L. Caffarelli for discussions on several occasions. The author also thanks the referee for some suggestions.

#### REFERENCES

- [A] T. Aubin, *Nonlinear Analysis on Manifolds. Monge-Ampère Equations*, Springer-Verlag, 1982. MR **85j**:58002
- [CC] L. Caffarelli & X. Cabré, *Fully nonlinear elliptic equations*, AMS Colloquium Publications V. 43, AMS, Rhode Island, 1993. MR **96h**:35046
- [CFL] F. Chiarenza, M. Frasca & P. Longo,  $W^{2,p}$ -solvability of the Dirichlet Problem for Nondivergence Elliptic Equations with VMO Coefficients, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **336** (1993), 841-853. MR **93f**:35232
- [GT] D. Gilbarg & N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order, 2nd edition*, Springer-Verlag, 1983. MR **86c**:35035
- [Gi] M. Giaquinta & J. Nečas, *On the Regularity of Weak Solutions to Nonlinear Elliptic Systems of Partial Differential Equations*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **316** (1980), 140-159. MR **81m**:35056
- [H] Q. Huang, *Estimates on the Generalized Morrey Spaces  $L_\phi^{2,\lambda}$  and  $BMO_\phi$  for Linear Elliptic Systems*, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **45** (1996), 397-439. MR **97i**:35033
- [K] N. V. Krylov, *Nonlinear Elliptic and Parabolic Equations of Second Order*, Mathematics and its Applications, Reidel, 1987. MR **88d**:35005
- [N] N. Nadirashvili, *Nonclassical Solutions to Fully Nonlinear Elliptic Equations*, Preprint.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN, AUSTIN, TEXAS 78712

*E-mail address*: qhuang@math.utexas.edu

*Current address*: Department of Mathematics & Statistics, Wright State University, Dayton, Ohio 45435

*E-mail address*: qhuang@math.wright.edu