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Abstract
Graphical models have been successfully used to deal with uncertainty, incompleteness, and dynamism within many domains. These models built from data often ignore pre-existing declarative knowledge about the domain in the form of ontologies and Linked Open Data (LOD) that is increasingly available on the web. In this paper, we present an approach to leverage such “top-down” domain knowledge to enhance “bottom-up” building of graphical models. Specifically, we propose three operations on the graphical model structure to enrich it with nodes, edges, and edge directions. We illustrate the enrichment process using traffic data from 511.org and declarative knowledge from ConceptNet. The resulting enriched graphical model can potentially lead to better predictions of traffic delays.

1 Introduction
Cyber-Physical Systems (CPS), which have tightly coupled computation, communication, and control components, are increasingly being used in various domains [27]. The observations and interactions in a CPS are characterized by: (1) incompleteness due to partial observation from the real world, (2) uncertainty due to inherent randomness involved in the sensing process (noise in case of machine sensors and bias in case of citizen sensors), and (3) dynamism from the ever changing and non-deterministic conditions of the physical world. Graphical models can be used to deal with incompleteness, uncertainty, and dynamism in many diverse domains such as traffic management, healthcare, system health monitoring, speech processing, image processing, and computational biology [23, 28, 1, 29, 2]. These models are built bottom-up using sensor data in most cases, i.e., the structure (conditional independence between variables) and parameters (Conditional Probability Distribution – CPD for continuous variables, or Conditional Probability Tables – CPT for discrete variables) are learned from sensor data [14]. Some of these models also allow domain experts to express their declarative knowledge of the domain in the form of value constraints and inequality constraints in the learning process [19].

Extracting structure of a graphical model from observations of a CPS is very challenging due to data sparsity, incompleteness, and difficulty in detecting causal links. However, declarative domain knowledge can obviate the need to learn everything from data. In addition, correlations derivable from data can be further consolidated if the declarative knowledge base provides evidence that it is causal in nature. For example, the influence of a music event on traffic flow may be known a priori. However, this declarative knowledge is insufficient to answer quantitative questions which are addressed by graphical model parameters.

Declarative knowledge (including causal relationships) is increasingly being published using open data standards on the Semantic Web [3]. These include knowledge bases such as ConceptNet5 [18] and many domain ontologies and data sets published on the Linked Open Data (LOD) [4] cloud. We hypothesize that leveraging such knowledge will increase the fidelity of graphical models. More importantly, it will complement structure learning algorithms of graphical models by utilizing declarative domain knowledge. Specifically, we focus on how knowledge gaps (incompleteness) can be reduced.

The contributions of this work include:

• definition of external events and internal observations that co-exist across CPS,

• extraction of graphical model structure by correlating external events (e.g., music concert) to internal observations (e.g. delays),

• definition of three operators (addition of nodes, edges, and edge directions) for complementing structure learning of graphical models using declarative domain knowledge (e.g., ConceptNet), and

• evaluation of the approach on a real world traffic
The enriched model is more encompassing of the domain variables and relationships between them, which can potentially lead to better prediction of delays.

2 Motivation

City authorities have realized the need for traffic management because current unmanaged traffic results in 1.9 billion gallons of wasted gas per year, costing drivers over $100 billion in wasted gas and time annually in U.S. alone [6]. There are large deployments of sensor networks monitoring traffic flows in many cities such as San Francisco1, Dayton2, New York, and Chicago3. 511.org is one such service that provides traffic and transit data feeds for San Francisco nine-county Bay Area. Traffic data feed, transit data feed, ride match service, driving times API, and real-time transit departures API deliver real-time data to subscribers. Traffic data feed consists of information on links (road segments and their locations), link status (current speed, volume, and travel time), and event information (active and scheduled). Examples of active events include accidents, vehicle breakdowns, and signal fault. Scheduled events include sporting events, planned road work, and music events.

For understanding traffic flow, we need to explore correlations between traffic flow variations and the events in a city. We propose a systematic approach for uncovering associations between internal observations (e.g., delays) and external events (e.g., accident) at different locations in a city. Figure 1 contains link status and event information from 511.org service along with relevant declarative knowledge from ConceptNet. The graphical structure extraction techniques [14] can extract the link between scheduled event and slow traffic given historical observations. The directionality of the link can be fixed using declarative knowledge from ConceptNet as shown. E.g., the causal link between base ball game and traffic jam decides the direction of the link. We use a comprehensive categorization of active and scheduled events provided by 511.org to infer the types of each event observation, e.g., base ball game is a scheduled event. Data sparsity may result in missing links in the structure extraction process, e.g., association between traffic jam and time of day may not be readily inferred. Figure 1 shows knowledge from ConceptNet that can be used to overcome the limitation of conventional structure extraction. Incompleteness is pervasive in all real world data, e.g., given the absence of weather data, its effect on traffic jam is not captured by structure extraction. Declarative knowledge from ConceptNet provides this information complementing structure extraction process as shown in Figure 1. In this research, we address only the incompleteness aspect out of the three challenges that exist in any CPS.

3 Related Works

We organize related works into two categories based on their focus. The first one corresponds to general approach to build graphical models from ontologies and the second one corresponds to specific approach to traffic analytics.

3.1 Graphical Models from Ontologies

Use of domain knowledge in the construction of Bayesian networks has been widely studied. Utilizing inequality and range constraints on the statistical variables in a domain is explored in [17]. These constraints, provided by domain experts, are incorporated in the learning process using a constrained EM learning algorithm which minimizes the violation of constraints. A systematic process of transforming an ontology into Bayesian network is presented for modeling oesophagus cancer [8]. Use of ontologies in construction of Object Oriented Bayesian Network (OOBN) [15] is studied by several works [16, 13, 7, 12]. These approaches leverage object oriented techniques for representation and reasoning in modeling large and complex domains.

In contrast, CPS modelling require causal knowledge in addition to subsumption and named relationships specified in the ontologies. Some of the named relationships in the ontologies may be causal in nature. We use ConceptNet which explicitly specifies causal knowledge, to enrich the structure of graphical models extracted from observational data. The principles of enrichment can extend across domains, but, for concreteness in using real world data, we chose the domain of traffic which has open real world data.

3.2 Traffic Analytics

The research on traffic flow analysis can be categorized into two broad categories. The first category deals with traffic flow analysis considering only the sensor observations monitoring the road network [9, 25]. They are called internal observations since they are limited to traffic flow patterns and are agnostic to events outside the sensor network (i.e., external events). The second category is inclusive of events external to the sensor network monitoring the traffic flow. External events include active events (e.g., accidents, vehicle breakdowns) and scheduled events (e.g., sporting events, music events) [22, 10]. External events may be obtained by city authorities from sources such as

1http://www.511.org/
2http://www.buckeyetraffic.org/
3http://www.traffic.com/
511.org or by citizens in the city through social streams such as tweets.

In complement to the existing approaches, we envision broadening traffic analysis to use domain knowledge in understanding observations to derive deeper insights into the processes that govern these complex systems. The traffic flow in a city is not entirely independent of the events in a city and behavior of its inhabitants. Many of the events in the city may influence traffic. These events include inclement weather, music events, sporting events, accidents, and breakdowns. Domain knowledge and statistical approaches provide complementary benefits leading to a system that understands patterns in data (bottom-up) while leveraging existing background knowledge (top-down).

Specifically, we focus on use of declarative domain knowledge of traffic for complementing structure of graphical models. Some of the questions we try to answer regarding declarative knowledge include: Will it help us in finding missing domain variables? Will it help us in finding missing edges/relations between the variables? Will it help us in assigning missing directions of edges/relations?

4 Preliminaries
We define notations and terminologies for representing sensor observations and define abstractions over these observations which are used in rest of the paper. Recall that we categorize the traffic related observations into two major categories: (1) Internal observations, and (2) External events. Internal observations consists of observations from on-road sensors monitoring flow of traffic. They are called “internal” since these observations are internal to the road network, e.g., speed, volume, and travel time. External events constitute all the events external to the road network that may or may not influence traffic. Scheduled and active events constitutes external events.

4.1 Notations A link is a fundamental building block of a road network. Multiple links connected back to back constitutes a road. Each link is monitored by sensors measuring speed of vehicles, volume of vehicles, and time to travel the link. Let \( \langle O_s, O_v, O_t \rangle \) be the corresponding variables representing internal observations of a link. \( \langle E_a, E_s, D_{\text{week}}, T_{\text{day}}, O_{\text{delay}} \rangle \) are binary variables representing active and scheduled events, day of the week, time of the day, and delay in link travel time, which are all external events. Each of these are repre-
Figure 2: Domain knowledge of traffic in the form of concepts and relationships (mostly causal) from ConceptNet

Domain knowledge from ConceptNet, shown in Figure 2, is represented by a set of concepts and relationships between them \(G^{declarative}\).

4.2 Problem Build a graphical model \(G\) inherent in the domain of traffic consisting of random variables \(\langle O_s, O_v, O_t, E_a, E_s, D_{\text{week}}, T_{\text{day}}, O_{\text{delay}} \rangle_{\text{timestamp}}\). The structure consists of connections between random variables that specifies conditional independence statements. The parameters are the CPT or CPD learned from data. Our focus is on complementing structure extraction with declarative knowledge. Parameter learning (CPT or CPD with probability values/distributions) is out of scope of this work.

Problem 1 Extract the structure \(G\) from traffic data.
Problem 2 Use \(G^{declarative}\) to modify \(G\). This step may add (1) new random variables to \(G\), (2) new links, and (3) link directionality.

5 Approach

We now present the details of our approach of complementing graphical model structure extraction with declarative knowledge from knowledge sources. We focus on structure extraction and propose parameter learning from declarative knowledge as a future work. Starting from the overall system architecture, this section provides details of the approach toward utilizing declarative knowledge in the construction of graphical models for CPS.

5.1 System Architecture The overall system architecture is shown in Figure 3. The raw observations from traffic data feed of 511.org are preprocessed to generate training data. The training data consists of random variables used to describe the domain of traffic which includes \(O_s, O_v, O_t, E_a, E_s, D_{\text{week}}, T_{\text{day}}, \text{and} O_{\text{delay}}\). The Java Messaging Service (JMS) is used as a mode of subscription to 511. The XML messages are parsed to extract scheduled and active events, and link status (speed, volume, and travel time) information. These observations are further processed to generate boolean abstractions such as time of day, peak hour, and day of week. This data set is used as a training input to the structure learning algorithm which extracts dependencies between the random variables.

5.2 Preprocessing of Traffic Observations Traffic observations are processed using the Algorithm 1 to
generate the training data, which is then used by the structure learning algorithms to extract inherent structure in the domain of traffic. There are additional fields added in the preprocessing step derived from raw sensor observations and active and scheduled event status. The raw observations are mapped to binary values to form the training data. The timestamp of the observation is used to check for presence of active events, scheduled events, day of week, time of day, and current link delay status. The corresponding boolean variable is set to 1 depending on the presence of the event at the observation timestamp.

Algorithm 1 Algorithm for preprocessing traffic data

```python
1: for each ⟨Os, Ov, Ot⟩timestamp ∈ TrafficStream do
2:    Ea = 0, Es = 0, Dweek = 0, Tday = 0, Odelay = 0
3:    if timestamp ∈ timestamp of Ea then
4:       Ea = 1
5:    else if timestamp ∈ timestamp of Es then
6:       Es = 1
7:    else if timestamp ∈ saturday, sunday then
8:       Dweek = 1
9:    else if timestamp ∈ peak-hour; 7 AM to 9 AM ∨ 4 PM to 6 PM then
10:       Tday = 1
11:    else if Ot > Tavg,l,h then
12:       Odelay = 1
13: end if
14: ⟨Os, Ov, Ot, Ea, Es, Dweek, Tday, Odelay ⟩timestamp
15: end for
```

5.3 Structure Learning for Graphical Model

Figure 4 shows the structure extracted using observations ⟨Os, Ov, Ot, Ea, Es, Dweek, Tday, Odelay⟩timestamp. There are undirected links which need to be converted to directed links before the parameters are learned. We explored many structure extraction approaches including constraint-based, score-based, and hybrid algorithms. Constraint-based learning algorithms verify the conditional independence between variables with ideas derived from [24]. Score-based learning algorithms evaluate the structure and compute the goodness-of-fit score. Hybrid algorithms combine the aspects of constraint-based and score-based algorithms for structure extraction. These algorithms are implemented as part of the bnlearn [26] package of R [11], which is a statistical data analysis tool. Within constraint based, we used Grow-Shrink [20], Incremental Association, Fast Incremental Association [30], and Interleaved Incremental Association [32]. In score-based we explored Hill-Climbing and Tabu Search. Max-Min Hill-Climbing and Restricted Maximization [31] were used to extract structure as part of hybrid learning approach. There are other constraint-based approaches such as Chow-Liu [5] and ARACNE [21] that learn the correlation graph (without any direction) between random variables. Chow-Liu approach gave us the best
structure (i.e., intuitively most satisfactory) that described the traffic domain and we chose this for further refinement using declarative knowledge.

5.4 Knowledge Base to Complement Structure Learning

The structure learned from Chow-Liu’s approach resulted in a correlation graph shown in upper part of Figure 4. While correlations are entirely data-driven and may or may not support semantic interpretation, the causal links which are more valuable, are much harder to extract. We hypothesize that, we can use declarative knowledge from knowledge base such as ConceptNet to infer causal links in the structure extracted by structure learning algorithms. The graph G in general is composed of nodes (N), edges (E), and direction (D) of edges i.e., G = (N, E, D). We distinguish the extracted graph from the declarative knowledge graph G_{declarative} = (N_{dk}, E_{dk}, D_{dk}).

We focus on the causal and subsumption knowledge related to traffic from ConceptNet. We propose three operations on the graph structure G (result of structure extraction that encapsulates the sorts of updates that can be performed to graphical models as a result of using declarative knowledge) using the knowledge base, G_{declarative}: (1) Add missing random variables \( G_n \leftarrow \phi_{addnode}(G, G_{declarative}) \), (2) Add missing links \( G_e \leftarrow \phi_{addedge}(G_n, G_{declarative}) \), and (3) Set directionality of the links \( G_{complete} \leftarrow \phi_{adddirection}(G_e, G_{declarative}) \). We assume that the subset of relevant declarative knowledge is given to us. The first step is to unify the variables represented in G and G_{declarative} using subsumption and then perform the three operations.

5.4.1 Node Addition \( \phi_{addnode} \): Due to lack of instrumentation, there may be missing or incomplete observations from a domain. Structure learning algorithms cannot account for such missing observations. We leverage domain knowledge to add missing random variables to G. From G_{declarative}, we add all the nodes missing in G, i.e., N = N \cup (N_{dk} - N).

5.4.2 Edge Addition \( \phi_{addedge} \): Due to data sparsity, the structure extracted may have missing links between different random variables. Purely data-driven approaches may have this limitation. We use domain knowledge to add missing links to G. From G_{declarative} we add all the edges missing in G, i.e., E = E \cup (E_{dk} - E).

5.4.3 Edge Directionality \( \phi_{adddirection} \): The structure learning algorithms use information theoretic and statistical techniques to extract correlations between the random variables. While correlations give us links between the random variables, they do not provide the directionality of the links. A domain expert looking at the links can decide the directionality of the links to form causal links. We exploit such a declarative domain knowledge from knowledge bases to form causal links. For all the links in G without a direction (missing entry in D), we look for corresponding directionality in D_{dk} and add it to D. G_{complete} is a graph that is a directed acyclic graph which is obtained by leveraging domain knowledge.

6 Evaluation

We describe the dataset used for evaluation and exemplify the enrichment of the graphical model using declarative knowledge from knowledge bases.

6.1 Dataset Description

We evaluate the approach over traffic sensor observations collected for a week from October 14th to 20th from San Francisco Bay Area. We accumulated over three million sensor observations during this period along with 20 active events and 7 scheduled events as shown in Table 1. We chose this interval since there were many external events and some missing internal observations. Such a condition usually exists in analyzing real world data.

First, we extract the structure from data and next we use the operations \( \phi_{addnode} \), \( \phi_{addedge} \), and
Table 1: Dataset used for evaluation of structure extraction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day</th>
<th>SensorObs</th>
<th>ActiveEvents</th>
<th>ScheduledEvents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10/14/12</td>
<td>109258</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/15/12</td>
<td>547305</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/16/12</td>
<td>1024256</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/17/12</td>
<td>495386</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/18/12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/19/12</td>
<td>928374</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/20/12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\phi_{\text{add direction}}$ to enrich it. The enriched structure is compared with the initial structure extracted from data using qualitative comparison.

The upper part of Figure 4 shows the structure (correlations) that exist between different variables in the traffic domain. The bottom part of Figure 4 has the enriched structure resulting from the three operations we proposed.

**Qualitative Comparison:** The structure extracted from data gives correlations between the variables in the domain of traffic. The directionality of the edges are unknown (upper part of Figure 4). The correlations seems intuitive, such as time of day and day of week are related to active events (e.g. peak hours and week days may have more accidents and breakdowns), active events affect travel time (e.g. an accident may increase the travel time of a link), and scheduled events affect delays (e.g. music event at a location may cause traffic delays).

The bottom part of Figure 4 has directions assigned to all the links. Day of the week and time of the day may influence the occurrence of active events. Scheduled events and bad weather may cause delays. The dotted node is the missing variable in the structure extracted from traffic data. The dotted edge between time of day and delay was a missing edge added to the network. Figure 5 validates this relationship obtained from declarative knowledge. The enriched model can account for the effect of weather (e.g. poor visibility) on delays, which is indeed realistic in real world situations and may lead to accurate prediction of delays.

7 Conclusions

Cyber physical systems (e.g., road traffic network) are characterized by observations spanning textual (e.g., incident report) and numerical observations (e.g., speed of vehicles). The internal observations in the domain of traffic such as speed, volume, and travel time are affected by external traffic related events. We proposed a novel approach for leveraging domain knowledge (e.g., by reusing causal knowledge from ConceptNet) in extraction of dependencies between variables in the domain of traffic. Three operators (that add missing nodes, add missing edges, and assign edge directions) for enrichment of graphical models using declarative knowledge were defined. We exemplified the enrichment process using real world traffic data from 511.org and concepts from ConceptNet. Our evaluation showed that combining graphical models with qualitative information present in declarative knowledge provides much richer domain model for reasoning. Specifically, it allows us to combine two complementary sources of information: (i) quantitative and correlation-based knowledge automatically synthesized bottom-up from traffic data, and (ii) manually curated qualitative and causal knowledge available top-down. The declarative knowledge from ConceptNet and the structure of the graphical model are both qualitative and at a comparable level of abstraction, relative to the quantitative information extracted via the parameters. So, we have restricted ourselves to extracting only the structure in the form of conditional dependencies from data and ignore parameter estimation in the form of conditional probability values.
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