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Si is a promising anode material for Li-ion batteries, since it absorbs large amounts of Li. However, insertion of Li leads to 334\% of volumetric expansion, huge stresses, and fracture; it can be suppressed by utilizing nanoscale anode structures. Continuum approaches to stress relaxation in Li\textsubscript{x}Si, based on plasticity theory, are unrealistic, because the yield strength of Li\textsubscript{x}Si is much higher than the generated stresses. Here, we suggest that stress relaxation is due to anisotropic (tensorial) compositional straining that occurs during insertion-extraction at any deviatoric stresses. Developed theory describes known experimental and atomistic simulation data. A method to reduce stresses is predicted and confirmed by known experiments. Chemical potential has an additional contribution due to deviatoric stresses, which leads to increases in the driving force both for insertion and extraction. The results have conceptual and general character and are applicable to any material systems.
under general tensorial stress states and large strains. Thus, dissipation related to the insertion-extraction is introduced. Generalization of the chemical potential, $\mu$, includes an additional contribution due to deviatoric stresses, which leads to the increase in the driving force both for insertion and extraction and to prediction of unexpected phenomena. A similar approach is applicable to large compositional deformation and stress relaxation for any material systems. Also, such an approach can be applied to other processes, like chemical reactions and melting under nonhydrostatic conditions, when anisotropic (tensorial) transformation strain can be introduced and described in a similar thermodynamic way.

The contraction of tensors over one and two indices is designated as $A \cdot B$ and $A : B$; superscripts $-1$ and $t$ denote inverse and transpose operations; subscript $s$ means symmetrization of a tensor, and $I$ the unit tensor.

**Results**

The multiplicative decomposition of the deformation gradient, $F = F_c \cdot U$, into elastic and compositional parts is used: $U_c = U_1$. This results in the decomposition of the deformation rate $d = (F^{-1})_t = (F_c^{-1})_t + (F_c \cdot U_c^{-1} \cdot F_c^{-1})_t = d_e + d_c$ into elastic and compositional parts. Traditionally, $U_c$ is considered as a spherical tensor describing isotropic volumetric expansion only. However, stresses can induce anisotropy of Li and Si atom distributions in order to minimize the Gibbs energy of the system and lead to tensorial $U_c$ (Fig. 1a).

It is convenient to present $U_c = I_{c,0}^{1/3} U_c^d$, where $I_{c,0} = \det U_c$ characterizes ratio of elemental stress-free volumes with concentration of Li $x$ and zero, and $U_c^d$ describes isochoric change in shape. Then $d_c = I_{c,0}/(3 I_{c,0}) I + d_c^0$, with $d_c^0 = (F_c \cdot U_c^{-1} \cdot F_c^{-1})_t$ represents an additive decomposition of the compositional deformation rate into spherical and deviatoric parts that characterize change in volume and shape.

The initial part of the continuum thermodynamic derivations is given in the Method section. We start with the rate of dissipation per unit volume in the reference state $Q_0$ (undeformed Si) $D = J \sigma : d - \psi + V_{\text{Si}} = 0$, where $\psi$ is the chemical potential of Li, and $J = \det F_c$ is the ratio of volumes in the deformed state of Li$_2$Si in the actual configuration $\Omega$ and reference state of Si in $Q_0$. Assume that the Helmholz free energy per unit volume of Si in $Q_0$ is $\psi = \psi(F_c, x)$ with Lagrangian elastic strain $\varepsilon_c = 0.5(F_c^{-1} - I)$. Then the independence of $D$ of $E_c$ results in the elasticity rule (see, e.g., Ref. 19) and residual dissipation inequality

$$\sigma = J F_c \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \varepsilon_c} F_c^{-1} e_c, \quad D = \left( \frac{\mu V_{\text{Si}}}{3} - \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \right) \dot{x} + J \sigma : d = 0, \quad (1)$$

which can be transformed to

$$D = \left( \frac{\mu V_{\text{Si}}}{3} - \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} + p_0 j_0 \frac{d j_0}{dx} \right) \dot{x} + J : S : d^c \geq 0, \quad (2)$$

where $p_0$ and $S$ are the mean and deviatoric parts of the stress $\sigma$, and $J_0 = \det F_c$ is the ratio of the volumes in the deformed and undeformed states of Li$_2$Si ($J = J_0$). If one chooses $p_0$ to make the parenthesis in Eq.(2) equal to zero, then inequality (2), $S : d^c \geq 0$, means that $d^c$ must depend on $S$, and for isotropic amorphous materials $d^c$ is an isotropic function of $S$. To allow change in $U_c^d$ during insertion-extraction only (otherwise, it would not be a compositional strain but viscous strain), one needs to impose $d^e = 0$ when $\dot{x} = 0$. We accept the simplest expression

$$d^c = \Lambda(x) \ S \dot{x} = \Lambda(x) S \frac{dj_0}{dx} \left| \dot{x} \right|; \quad \Lambda > 0 \quad (3)$$

with the kinetic coefficient $\Lambda(x)$. We assumed that $d^c$ is scaled with the magnitude of the rate of the volumetric compositional strain, $|\dot{x}|$, and $d^c = 0$ when $\dot{x} = 0$. If we assumed that $d^c$ is proportional to $\dot{x}$ rather than to $|\dot{x}|$, it would violate the dissipation inequality $S : d^c = \Lambda(x) S : S \frac{dj_0}{dx} \dot{x} \geq 0$ for $\dot{x} \leq 0$. This means that the deformation rate $d^c$ is the same for insertion and extraction if $\Lambda(x)$ and $J_0(x)$ are the same for insertion and extraction. If $J_0$ is a linear function of $x$, it does not introduce extra concentration dependence; otherwise, it does. In any case, the multiplier $\frac{dj_0}{dx}$ can be combined with $\Lambda(x)$. A slightly better description of experimental and atomistic results from$^{19}$ with $\Lambda = const$ is achieved (see below) with additional multiplier $\frac{dj_0}{dx}$ in Eq.(3) than without it, which is why it is separated. It should be mentioned that Eq.(3) does not describe traditional viscous relaxation and dissipation, which can be made negligible in an infinitesimally slow process. Indeed, multiplying Eq.(3) by time increment $dt$, one obtains $(F_c \cdot d U_c^d \cdot F_c^{-1})_t = \Lambda(x) S |dj_0|$, i.e., relaxation Eq.(3) is time independent, and the magnitude of the volumetric strain or concentration $|x|$ plays a role of a time-like parameter. This is similar to the flow rule in classical elastoplasticity, which is time-independent, and plastic strain plays a part of a time-like parameter. Thus, even for infinitesimally slow lithiation-delithiation, the problem is thermodynamically nonequilibrium, history-dependent, and cannot be reduced to minimization of the free energy functional.

Traditionally (for $d^c = 0$), the compositional dissipation rate is assumed to be zero, which defines an expression for the chemical potential $\mu$. In our more general case with $d^c \neq 0$, we postulate

$$D = \zeta J S : d^c = \zeta \Lambda J S : S \frac{dj_0}{dx} \text{sign}(\dot{x}) \dot{x} \geq 0 \quad (4)$$

with the parameter $\zeta (0 \leq \zeta \leq 1)$ and $|\dot{x}| = \dot{x} \text{sign}(\dot{x})$ (assuming $\text{sign}(0) = 0$). Then the remaining part of Eq.(2) is zero, which defines the generalized chemical potential

$$\frac{\mu V_{\text{Si}}}{3} - \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} + p_0 j_0 \frac{d j_0}{dx} - \Lambda(1 - \zeta) J S : S \frac{dj_0}{dx} \text{sign}(\dot{x}). \quad (5)$$

Let us consider a Li reservoir with a chemical potential $\mu_c$, in contact with a small Li$_2$Si sample (i.e., heterogeneities of all parameters can be neglected) with the chemical potential of Li $\mu$. Let the magnitude of the flux of Li to the sample in the reference state be described by experimentally determined function $j = b V_{\text{Si}}^{-1} \dot{x} \mu_{Li}/\Delta y$, with the size $\Delta y$ of the order of magnitude of the size of a Li$_2$Si sample, $\Delta y = \mu_c - \mu$, and with mobility coefficient $b$. An unusual feature of Eq.(5) is that the last term due to the deviatoric stresses always increases the magnitude of the driving force, $|\Delta y|$, for the Li transport both for insertion and extraction, i.e., it decreases $\mu$ for insertion and increases $\mu$.
for the extraction. This is, however, logical, because if $S$ represents internal stresses that appear due to volumetric change during insertion or extraction and suppress these processes, then Eq. (3) describes the relaxation of internal stresses, which should increase $|\Delta u|$. If $S$ represents prescribed external stresses, then they produce positive compositional work along the $x_i$ that also increases $|\Delta u|$. This, however, leads to an unusual situation for small driving forces $|\Delta u|$, when the driving force is positive simultaneously for both insertion and extraction. Indeed, let the under hydrostatic condition $Li_xSi$ sample be in thermodynamic equilibrium with the reservoir, i.e., $\mu_0 = \mu_{x=0}$. For simplicity shear modulus is independent of $x$. If we apply deviatoric stress $S$ to the sample, according to Eq. (5), chemical potential of Li in $Li_xSi$ will be reduced for $x > 0$ and increased for $x < 0$ by the same value, i.e., deviatoric stress produces the same driving force for insertion and extraction. Let under applied deviatoric stresses, a $Li_xSi$ sample be fracturally divided into two samples separated by an interface, and in one of them insertion occurred and in another one extraction took place (Fig. 1b). We neglect interface energy and elastic energy due to a jump in compositional transformation strain across an interface. However, chemical potential of a sample with $x > 0$ is smaller than that for a sample with $x < 0$, i.e., $\mu_{x=0} < \mu_{x>0}$, and the chemical potential of such a heterogeneous sample is larger than the potential $\mu_{x>0}$ when the entire sample undergoes insertion. Thus, it is more probable that the system evolves in the way minimizing the chemical potential. Consequently (Fig. 1c),

$$
\begin{align*}
\text{if } \mu_x > \mu_{x>0} & \Rightarrow \dot{x} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad j = b \nu_{Si}^{-1} \{x(\mu_x - \mu_{x>0})/\Delta y; \\
\text{if } \mu_x < \mu_{x>0} & \Rightarrow \dot{x} < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad j = b \nu_{Si}^{-1} \{x(\mu_x - \mu_{x<0})/\Delta y.
\end{align*}
$$

Eq. (6) means that if the process leading to the minimal chemical potential (i.e., insertion) can occur, it will occur, despite the fact that the opposite process could occur faster for $\mu_{x>0} < \mu_{x<0}$, but leads to higher chemical potential. Also, for $\mu_{x>0} = \mu_{x<0}$, when only extraction is possible, there is a jump in flux, because $\mu_x - \mu_{x<0}$ is finite. This jump and corresponding jump in the chemical potential, $\mu_{x<0} - \mu_{x>0}$, can be used for experimental determination of the parameter $c$.

In all atomistic simulations (see, e.g., Ref. 20), elastic moduli are calculated by considering elastic perturbation taking unloaded configuration $Q_0$ (i.e., at $F = 1$) as the reference one. It automatically assumes independence of the elasticity rule of $U_c$ and the reference configuration. We accept the simplest expression for the free energy per unit volume of the unloaded configuration $Q_0$, $J^{-1}_{x} = (C(x) : E_c) = \nu^{c}$, where $\nu^{c}$ is the central part of the free energy per unit volume in $Q_0$ and $C$ is the forth-rank tensor of isotropic elastic moduli. Then the elasticity rule Eq. (1) is

$$
\sigma = J^{-1}_{x} F_c (C(x) : E_c) F_c^T; \\
J_x = \text{det } F_c.
$$

Note that in Ref. 8 the same expression for the elastic energy is accepted, but in the reference configuration, which leads to the equation $\sigma = J^{-1}_{x} F_c (C(x) : E_c) F_c^T$. It differs from Eq. (7) by a factor of $J^{-1}_{x}$, which may be smaller than 1/4 because of large compositional volume change. Thus, if elasticity moduli are determined like in Ref. 20 in the unloaded configuration, utilization of the reference configuration for the elasticity rule introduces strong nonphysical dependence of the elasticity rule on compositional volume change $J^{-1}_{x}$ and corresponding nonphysical contribution to the chemical potential. Note that in Ref. 8 such a discrepancy between different definitions of elastic moduli was mentioned and to some extent was taken into account by accepting that their Young’s modulus is an increasing function of $x$ (while the Young’s modulus in Ref. 20 is a decreasing function of $x$).

Eqs. (3), (7), and $F = F_c \cdot U_c$ have been applied to the problem of the biaxial stress generation and relaxation in Si film-like anode during lithiation-delithiation on the rigid substrate in the formulation similar to that in Ref. 8,15. Diffusion is considered to be fast enough so that homogeneous $x$ and all fields are assumed. The boundary conditions are for in-plane principal components of the deformation gradient $F_1 = F_3 = 1$ and for out-of-plane principle stress component $\sigma_3 = 0$. The following approximations for $f$ with $\nu^{0}_{\text{exp}} = 0.01(12.37x^4 - 58.72x^3 + 100x^2 + 3.326x)$ for lithiation and $\nu^{0}_{\text{exp}} = 0.01(1.037x^4 - 12.97x^3 + 31.04x^2 + 44.27x + 9.187)$ for delithiation, and for biaxial elastic modulus $E(1 - \nu) = 127.1 exp(-0.5576x)$ GPa describe well experimental data and atomistic simulations in Ref. 20,21, where $E$ and $\nu$ are the Young’s modulus and Poisson’s ratio. The only unknown constant $\Lambda = 0.47 GPa^{-1}$ is chosen for the best fit of biaxial stress $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2$ at $x = 2$ to experiment and atomistic simulations in Ref. 15. Fig. 2 demonstrates remarkable qualitative and quantitative agreements of our results for evolution $\sigma = \sigma_1(x)$ for lithiation-delithiation with experiment and atomistic simulations in Ref. 15. We could get even better agreement, if necessary, by proper fitting for $\Lambda(x)$ and using different $\Lambda(x)$ for lithiation and delithiation, but the main point is that agreement is good enough with just one chosen material coefficient $\Lambda$. In comparison, the viscoplastic model in Ref. 8 fits two material constants and one function (the yield strength) of $x$ and still does not have such a good quantitative correspondence with experiments. The main reason is that the model in Ref. 8 allows for stress relaxation above the yield strength only. This underscores the importance of a conceptually correct model for stress relaxation.

**Discussion**

Since in our model there is not a threshold for the stress relaxation and it occurs both for lithiation-delithiation, one can suggest a simple method to reduce internal stresses by applying oscillatory change in $x$ with a small magnitude, e.g., $x = a + \sin(2\pi t)$; here if $t = 1/1000$ is the dimensionless time and 100s is a typical diffusion time for lithium through a 100 nm silicon film.

In Fig. 3a, oscillations started after almost completion of delithiation in Fig. 2, in order to completely release stresses before some pause in the battery operation. Such a significant reduction in stresses is in qualitative agreement with experiments. In contrast, simulation of cyclic lithiation-delithiation in Ref. 8 results in almost constant stresses. In Fig. 3b, we complete the task to keep tensile stresses below 1 GPa during delithiation by superposition of multiple oscillatory change in $x$, $x = x_0 + 0.01 \sin(2\pi t)$ when stress reaches 1 GPa until it reduces to zero.

To summarize, a mechanism of stress relaxation during insertion-extraction based on the anisotropic (tensorial) compositional strain is suggested and described theoretically. Theoretical results and the suggested method to reduce stresses are in good correspondence with experiments. The general concepts of the chemical potential and

![Figure 2](https://www.nature.com/scientificreports/)
dissipation due to insertion-extraction are advanced for an arbitrary material system.

### Methods

The large strain continuum thermodynamic approach under general nonhydrostatic conditions is utilized. Kinematics of large deformations with multiple intermediate configurations is described in Ref. 19. Here, kinematics is based on the multiplicative decomposition of the deformation gradient into elastic and compositional parts. Compositional part includes change in volume and shape, i.e., it represents a second-rank tensor. Plastic deformation is neglected because stresses are below the yield strength. We accepted the expression for the free energy per unit volume of the unloaded configuration, which allowed us to directly use results of atomistic simulations for elastic moduli. The initial part of the continuum thermodynamic derivations is similar to that in Ref. 7–10. However, we introduce the dissipation rate due to insertion and extraction. An additional term appears in the dissipation rate due to tensorial compositional strain. Some detail are described below and in the main text.

All derivations will be performed in the reference configuration \( \Omega_0 \). For the molar fraction of Li per mole of Si, \( x \), the following mass balance equation is valid in \( \Omega_0 \):

\[
x + V_0 V_j = 0,
\]

where \( V_0 \) is the molar volume of Si and \( j \) is the flux of the Li defined as a number of moles per unit area reference per unit time; \( V \) and \( V_j \) are the gradient and divergence operators in \( \Omega_0 \), respectively. The first and second laws of thermodynamics for the reference volume \( V_0 \) for neglected volumetric forces, heat supplies and kinetic energy are:

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left( V_0 \right) + \nabla \cdot V \left( V_0 \right) = 0,
\]

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left( V_0 \right) + \nabla \cdot V \left( V_0 \right) = 0.
\]

Here \( v \) is the molar velocity, \( h \) the heat flux, \( n \) is the unit normal to the external surface \( S_p \), \( U \) is the specific (per unit volume of Si) internal energy, \( s \) is the specific entropy, \( T \) is the temperature, \( \mu \) is the chemical potential of Li, and \( P \) is the first nonsymmetric Piola-Kirchhoff (nominal) stress tensor (the force per unit area in the undeformed state). Using the Green-Gauss theorem to transform surface to volume integrals, \( V = F \), as well as the equilibrium equation \( \nabla \cdot P = 0 \), we transform Eq. (9) and (10) to volumetric integrals and then to the local form

\[
P' : F - U - \nabla \cdot h - \mu \nabla j - j \nabla \mu = 0.
\]

Introducing the Helmholtz free energy per unit volume of Si in \( \Omega_p \), \( \psi = U - \mu \), utilizing the balance Eq.(8) and stress power identity \( P' = \sigma : d \) (see, e.g., Ref. 19), with the symmetric true Cauchy stress \( \sigma \), deformation rate \( d \), and the volume ratio in the actual and reference configurations \( J = \det F = \det \nabla \mu \), as well as substituting inequality (13) with three stronger inequalities, we obtain

\[
\frac{D}{\partial t} \psi = \frac{1}{\partial} \nabla \cdot h - \psi D - \frac{1}{\partial} \frac{1}{\partial} \nabla j - j \nabla \mu \geq 0.
\]

Combining Eq.(11) and Eq.(12) multiplied by the temperature, we obtain the dissipation inequality

\[
\oint \frac{P'}{\mu} h - \mu V j - j V \mu \geq 0.
\]

Figure 3 (a) Reduction in biaxial stress with time after almost completion of delithiation (x = a in Fig. 2) under oscillatory change in x. (b) Keeping tensile stresses below 1 GPa during delithiation by superposition of multiple oscillatory change in x, x = x0 + 0.01sin(2πτ) when stress reaches 1 GPa until it reduces to zero (vertical lines).

### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by NSF, ONR, and ISU.

### Author contributions

V.I. L. proposed the research and developed the theory. H.A. performed the simulations.

**Additional information**

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

License: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 3.0 Unported License. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/

How to cite this article: Levitas, V.I. & Attariani, H. Anisotropic Compositional Expansion and Chemical Potential for Amorphous Lithiated Silicon under Stress Tensor. *Sci. Rep.* 3, 1615; DOI:10.1038/srep01615 (2013).