

10-29-2010

## Building and Grounds Committee Meeting Minutes, October 29, 2010

Building and Grounds Committee

Follow this and additional works at: [https://corescholar.libraries.wright.edu/  
archives\\_committee\\_minutes](https://corescholar.libraries.wright.edu/archives_committee_minutes)

---

### Repository Citation

(2010). Building and Grounds Committee Meeting Minutes, October 29, 2010. .  
[https://corescholar.libraries.wright.edu/archives\\_committee\\_minutes/217](https://corescholar.libraries.wright.edu/archives_committee_minutes/217)

This Minutes is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty Senate Committees at CORE Scholar. It has been accepted for inclusion in All Faculty Senate 2007-2014 Committee Minutes and Reports by an authorized administrator of CORE Scholar. For more information, please contact [corescholar@www.libraries.wright.edu](mailto:corescholar@www.libraries.wright.edu), [library-corescholar@wright.edu](mailto:library-corescholar@wright.edu).

**UNIVERSITY BUILDING AND GROUNDS COMMITTEE**  
**MEETING MINUTES**  
**October 29, 2010**

Attendance:

Voting Members:

Matt Rizki, CECS  
Jim Runkle, COSM  
Dave Bukovinsky, RSCOB  
L. David Mirkin, BSOM  
Ann Stalter, CONH

Members:

Vicky Davidson, Associate Vice-President, Facilities Planning and Development

Guests:

Dan Krane, Faculty President Elect.  
Tom Rooney, COSM

Call to Order

The meeting commenced at 1:30 PM.

Discussion

The Chair asked the representative of each college to list their college's priority with respect to the master plan.

CONH:

1. Classroom space
2. Research space
3. Parking

BSOM:

1. Preserve the woods
2. Promote good architecture

RSCOB:

1. Fairly satisfied with the facilities available to RSCOB
2. Not interested in building parking structures

COSM:

1. Preserve the woods
2. Interested in parking policy
3. Not interested in surface parking

CECS:

1. Minimize costs for students
2. Classroom space
3. Research space
4. Parking

CEHS:\*

1. No encroachment on the woods
2. Don't realign or move roads or build garages
3. Any building need to be sustainable design and attractive

The Chair then asked for a series of votes on specific questions related to phases 1 and 2 of the master plan.

1. Is your college interested in re-routing Loop Road to create a building site in the woods(“Archives Building” site)?

CONH: NO  
CECS: NO  
COSM: NO  
RSCOB: NO  
BSOM: NO  
CEHS\*: NO

2. Does your college support building parking structures?

CONH: NO  
CECS: NO  
COSM: MAYBE  
RSCOB: NO  
BSOM: NO  
CEHS\*: NO

3. Does your college support creating differential pricing to resolve parking problems?

CONH: MAYBE  
CECS: YES  
COSM: YES  
RSCOB: YES  
BSOM: YES  
CEHS\*: YES

4. Does your college support more use of remote parking to resolve parking problems?

CONH: MAYBE  
CECS: YES  
COSM: YES  
RSCOB: YES  
BSOM: YES  
CEHS\*: YES

5. Does your college support building surface parking lots to resolve parking problems?

CONH: YES (must be porous surface)  
CECS: YES  
COSM: NO  
RSCOB: YES  
BSOM: YES  
CEHS\*: YES (semi-permeable / aesthetic plaza look)

6. What type of classroom space does your college need?

CONH: minimum of 2 large (120+ seat) classrooms  
CECS: need more access to 40-60 seat classrooms  
COSM: OK with existing classroom space

RSCOB: OK with existing classroom space  
BSOM: OK with existing classroom space  
CEHS\*: need more 40-60 seat classrooms

7. Does your college support building a classroom building?

CONH: YES  
CECS: YES  
COSM: YES  
RSCOB: YES  
BSOM: NO  
CEHS\*: YES (multipurpose building, covered lower level parking)

8. Does your college approve of the placement of the student life areas in phase 1 and 2 of the master plan?

CONH: YES  
CECS: YES  
COSM: YES  
RSCOB: YES  
BSOM: YES  
CEHS\*: YES

9. Does your college support moving the student life areas toward Colonel Glenn?

CONH: NO  
CECS: MAYBE  
COSM: NO  
RSCOB: NO  
BSOM: NO  
CEHS\*: YES

***\*The CEHS representative was not available to attend the meeting in person, but submitted input after the meeting.***

Adjourned

The meeting ended at 3:30 PM.

### Summary

In general the committee finds phase 1 and phase 2 of the master plan acceptable with the following exceptions:

1. The committee recommends not moving the Loop Road to create a building site in the woods. The committee believes this is an unnecessary expense that provides no significant gain to the University.
2. The committee recommends not building parking structures. The committee believes the current financial uncertainty make it inappropriate to tie up limited resources in an expensive solution to the parking problem. The committee recommended that the parking problem be resolved via a combination of differential pricing, forced remote parking and the development of additional surface parking lots.

3. The committee recommends construction of a classroom building in an early phase of the master plan. Specifically the committee recommends that the building include a variety of large size (60, 120 seat) classrooms.
4. The committee recommends not referring to a specific area of the plan as the research core since this suggests that units outside the “research core” are not doing research.
5. The committee questions why the largest open area on campus, the frontage along Colonel Glenn, does not have any building sites listed in the master plan. The committee would like some clear explanation as to why this area was not included in the plan.

The committee does not recommend accepting phase 3 of the master plan. The committee believes that when the university approaches the end of the 10 year period covered in phase 1 and phase 2 of the proposed master plan, it will be time to initiate a new master plan.