

9-10-2012

Faculty Senate Meeting Agenda and Minutes, September 10, 2012

Follow this and additional works at: https://corescholar.libraries.wright.edu/archives_senate_minutes



Part of the [Educational Leadership Commons](#)

This Minutes is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty Senate at CORE Scholar. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Senate Minutes and Agendas by an authorized administrator of CORE Scholar. For more information, please contact corescholar@www.libraries.wright.edu, library-corescholar@wright.edu.

Faculty Senate

September 10, 2012, 2:15 p.m., E156 Student Union

1. **Call to Order**
2. **Approval of the Minutes of June 4, 2012**
<http://www.wright.edu/administration/senate/senmin/documents/June12SenMin.pdf>
3. **Report of the University President or Provost**
4. **Report of the Senate Executive Committee**
Guest Report: Mark Polatajko, Vice President for Business and Fiscal Affairs
5. **Old Business**
 - A. Doctor of Organizational Studies – Graduate Council
<http://www.wright.edu/administration/senate/senage/documents/EdDFullProposalv7432012.pdf>
<http://www.wright.edu/administration/senate/senage/documents/DOSProgramOfStudy.pdf>
6. **New Business**
 - A. Proposed Summer Time-Blocks – UCAPC (Attachment A)
7. **Written Committee Reports and Attendance** (Attachment B)
 - A. Faculty Budget Priority Committee: Dan Krane
 - B. Faculty Affairs Committee: Sarah McGinley
 - C. Undergraduate Curriculum & Academic Policy Committee: Matt Rizki
 - D. Buildings & Grounds Committee: Linda Ramey
 - E. Information Technology Committee: John Gallagher
 - F. Student Petitions Committee: Kathleen Kollman
8. **Council Reports**
 - A. Research Council (Attachment C)
 - B. Graduate Council (Attachment D)
9. **Announcements**
 - A. Next scheduled Faculty Senate meeting: October 8, 2012, 2:15 p.m., E156 Student Union.
10. **Adjournment**

ATTACHMENT A

Proposed Summer Time Blocks as Recommended by UCAPC

Fall/Spring Semester Time Blocks Approved by Faculty Senate 10/3/11	
MWF Time Blocks	TR Time Blocks
8:00 – 8:55	8:00 – 9:20
9:05 – 10:00	9:30 – 10:50
10:10 – 11:05	11:00 – 12:20
11:15 – 12:10	12:30 – 1:50
12:20 – 1:15	2:00 – 3:20
1:25 – 2:20	3:30 – 4:50
2:30 – 3:25	
3:35 – 4:30	
<i>Twice/week Evening Classes</i>	
4:40 – 6:00	5:00 – 6:20
6:10 – 7:30	6:30 – 7:50
7:40 – 9:00	8:00 – 9:20
<i>Once/week Evening Classes</i>	
4:40 – 7:20	5:00 – 7:40
6:10 – 8:50	6:30 – 9:10
7:30 – 10:10	7:50 – 10:30

Proposed Summer Time Blocks
Monday - Thursday
8:00 – 9:40
9:55 – 11:35
Break
12:20 – 2:00
2:15 – 3:55
Break
<i>C term: 2x/week</i>
<i>A/B terms: 4x/week</i>
4:40 – 6:20
<i>C term: Once/week</i>
6:30 – 9:55

ATTACHMENT B

Senate Committee Reports September 10, 2012

Faculty Budget Priority Committee – Dan Krane

Faculty Affairs Committee – Sarah McGinley

Undergraduate Curriculum & Academic Policy Committee – Matt Rizki

Buildings & Grounds Committee – Linda Ramey

University Building and Grounds met on July 6th for a special meeting to hear a presentation by FPD. Dr. Polatajko assured attendees at the meeting that their feedback was beneficial as the WWP process moves forward. UB&G will meet later in September. We will be taking the proposed WWP under advisement and comments on it will be reported at the next Senate meeting.

Status of the NEC (per Vicky Davidson e-mail):

"The firm of Perkins and Will has been selected as the lead architectural firm for the NEC Building. Dan Papay is in negotiations with the firm to complete their contract. Their team includes:

- Annette Miller Architects, Planning and Interior Design
- Shell and Meyer, Structural Engineering
- EMH&T Civil Engineering
- Heapy Engineering Mechanical Electrical and Plumbing Engineering
- The EDGE Group Landscape Architecture

As a side note, we are also in receipt of the submittals for the Construction Manager at Risk firm to complete the three-part partnership for the NEC project (The three parts being WSU, Architect, CM-R). The short-listed firms for the CM-R will be interviewed in October."

The New Classroom Building:

Of the twenty-two responses to the PFQ, three architectural firms were selected to present their team and ideas on August 21st. Dan Papay will begin negotiations with the Annette Miller Architects/Prater Engineering team soon.

Additional UB&G topics for 2012-13 include: Expanding Green Office, Waste Reduction and Recycling Initiatives; Campus Signage; Increased Energy Conservation Measures; Solutions for perennial transportation and parking discussions; and consideration of the Board of Regents proposal that all Ohio Campuses be Tobacco-free.

Information Technology Committee – John Gallagher

Student Petitions Committee – Kathleen Kollman

The Undergraduate Petitions Committee met on Friday, August 17, at 9:00 a.m. in room E107 Student Union. Present were the following members:

- M. Bashaw (College of Nursing and Health)
- M. Baumer (University College)
- S. Brannon (Student Government Association)
- N. Drake (Office of the Registrar, ex-officio)
- C. Hartwell (Raj Soin College of Business)
- J. Howes (College of Science and Math)
- K. Kollman (Chair, College of Liberal Arts)
- E. Poch (Office of the Registrar, ex-officio)
- T. Wischgoll (College of Engineering and Computer Science)

Absent were representatives from College of Education and Human Services and Lake Campus.

The committee considered 52 student petitions from 5 entities.

Approved at college and university levels: 12

- CECS: 5
- CoNH: 2
- CoSM: 2
- UC: 3

Denied at college and university levels: 34

- CECS: 5
- CoSM: 5
- CoLA: 3
- CoNH: 4
- UC: 17

Approved at college level but reversed and denied at university level: 1

- UC: 1

Denied at college level but reversed and approved at university level: 4

- CoSM: 1
- UC: 3

Approved at the college level but tabled at the university level: 1

- UC: 1

The meeting was adjourned at 12:00 p.m. The next regularly scheduled meeting is Friday, September 21st at 9:00 a.m.

The associated Refund Appeals Committee will next meet on Friday, September 7, for routine business.

**RESEARCH COUNCIL
QUARTERLY REPORT TO FACULTY SENATE
SPRING QUARTER 2012**

Research and Sponsored Programs (RSP) Report

Research Council members are given a report of cumulative grant and contract funding at each meeting. Preliminary numbers for May 2012 were provided at the June 7, 2012 meeting. At that time, grants and contracts awarded totaled nearly \$83.4M compared to nearly \$82M this time last year. Awards coded as “research” were slightly higher with similar awards in 2011. Other categories of funding are consistent with last year. RSP staff members had processed 772 proposals through May 2012 versus 828 through May 2011.

The Vice President for Research and Graduate Studies emphasized the importance of stimulating the growth of research funding, as these dollars are a major contributor to the University’s Facilities and Administrative (F&A) revenue. Consideration of F&A costs on a grant is important, as it not only provides revenue to the University, but for Deans and Chairs, it is ultimately a critical factor in evaluating investments in the new budget model. Currently F&A represents 20-25% of the awards; our negotiated on-campus research rate is 46%. Improvement in F&A recovery should be one of the “Benchmark Gold Standards” for funding.

InfoEd/Sponsored Program Information Network (SPIN), the new integrated software solution for research administration, is on track. Mr. Mark Wysong of the Wright State Research Institute has been assigned to spend part of his effort with RSP to assist with the project management, implementation and training related to the campus-wide rollout of InfoEd. The Proposal Development and Proposal Tracking modules went into live production in June with two proposals successfully submitted using the System-to-System feature. The rollout of production will be done in a methodical way across the university in August. The Conflict of Interest module will be implemented next and followed by the Human Subjects module. RSP is offering multiple opportunities for training and advertises them on the listserv.

The Vice President for Research and Graduate Studies reiterated the fact that applications to the NIH need to be submitted early as the eRA Commons no longer permits a 48-hour window for corrections after submission. If a proposal is submitted with errors at the last minute, there is no time allotted for corrections and the proposal could be rejected. Submitting early will give principal investigators the opportunity to address these issues with time to spare.

Limited Submission

There are select funding opportunities that limit an institution to submit only one (1) proposal as the lead. There is a process in place and available on the RSP website at: http://www.wright.edu/rsp/ltd_sub.pdf to determine which proposal will go forward if more than one investigator wishes to submit a response. The process works well as long as people inform RSP of their desire to submit.

Research Initiation and Professional Development Grant Projects

Primary and secondary reviewers were assigned to each of the 32 proposals, with Deans submitting rankings for proposals in their Colleges. Eight (8) Research Initiation grants were

awarded out of 21 proposals and five (5) Professional Development grants were awarded out of 12 proposals. A total of \$79,170 was awarded (\$65,172 Research Initiation and \$13,998 Professional Development).

Research Council will discuss an alternative system which provides more scoring options that is based on the 9-point scale used by NIH. This system forces reviewers to assess the strengths and weaknesses of each proposal and use these as the basis for the scoring level given.

Financial Conflict of Interest

The Faculty Senate approved the changes to the Research Financial Conflict of Interest and Disclosure policy on June 4. RSP identified the PHS researchers and obtained their financial disclosures by the August 24 compliance deadline.

FY13 Approved Fringe Benefit Rates

The new rates have been posted on the RSP website at: <http://www.wright.edu/rsp/rates.html> and are listed below:

Federally-Negotiated Fringe Benefit Rates and Estimates for Future Years*

	ESTIMATED RATES			
	11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15
Faculty - Full-Time (i.e., 51% FTE or greater)	22.0%	25.1%	26.6%	28.1%
Faculty (Part-Time, Overload, Summer, Retirees)	11.5%	8.4%	9.9%	11.4%
Full-Time Unclassified (including Unclassified hourly)	30.0%	32.0%	33.5%	35.0%
Part-Time Unclassified and Classified Staff	15.0%	15.0%	16.5%	18.0%
Full-Time Classified Staff	54.2%	67.4%	68.9%	70.4%
OPERS-Covered Students	15.6%	17.1%	18.6%	20.1%
Graduate Research Assistants (GRAs)	May be eligible for tuition remission; see tuition schedule below.			
Undergraduate/Graduate Student Employees	No fringe benefits.			

Note: FY13 rates updated 4/23/12 and are effective from 7/1/12 through 6/30/13. Estimated rates are our best guesses based on experience of previous two years, and are subject to change as actual rates are established.

The substantial increase in the benefit rate for Full-Time Classified Staff was noted.

Tuition “Waiver” Policy

The Tuition Waiver Task Force updated and approved a draft of the 1992 policy. Dr. Hsu, chair of the task force, presented the draft to Research Council. Research Council will review the updated policy at its September 2012 meeting.

Pathways to Commercialization: A New Option/Intellectual Property (IP)

WSU’s Office of Technology Transfer and Development, led by Director, Mr. Reid Smith, is working with faculty to move their disclosures and inventions out of the laboratory and moving them along the patent trail. In addition, Mr. Smith has been working on modifications to the University’s Intellectual Property policy. The existing distribution policy for royalties will not be eliminated, but plans are to layer this with additional options for faculty to forward with their

IP development. WSU has also engaged the services of Mr. Jim Leftwich to help faculty dig down into the IP that investigators may have developed in their laboratories and assist them in identifying potential commercialization ideas.

Hanover Grants

The University's membership in the Hanover Grants expires in 2012. Thanks to strong word-of-mouth, the proposal queues for Hanover Grants are currently filled. The requests should be sent to the Vice President for Research and Graduate Studies.

Building Updates

The Wright State Physicians building now has the appropriate signage and is on schedule for opening. The Neurology and Engineering (NEC) Building discussions are progressing well. The completed program of requirements will be submitted for approval, leading to the design and bidding phases. The State recently approved a \$12M construction award towards the total NEC project cost of \$37M. Dean Narayanan and Dr. Tim Cope have been working very closely in programming the occupancy of the new building. The new Neurology Chair, Dr. Ken Gaines, will also be involved in this process.

Subcommittee Formation on Campus-Wide Incentive Program:

The Vice President of Research and Graduate Studies requested Council to revisit this issue. A subcommittee made up of Dr. Arthur Pickoff, Dr. Melissa Gruys, Dr. Mark Mamrack, Dr. Bonnie Mathies, Dr. Lang Hong, Dr. Ramana Grandhi (Mechanical and Materials Engineering) and either Dr. Richard Sherwood or Dr. Robert Carlson (both from Community Health) will be formed. The subcommittee will be provided with the background information from the previous group's work.

Central Support of Core Equipment Maintenance Contracts:

The maintenance of core equipment has been a chronic issue for WSU researchers and research-dependent departments. The problem is that many units cannot afford to keep up maintenance contracts. A resulting equipment breakdown can result in a massive potential expenditure and a disruption of research. The first step in developing a cohesive policy to handle these situations centrally is to collect information related to "core" pieces of equipment from colleges or divisions. Core equipment is defined as a major piece of equipment costing >\$50,000 that is used by multiple funded investigators and is critical to their research productivity and success. A template was developed and distributed to all Deans requesting pertinent information pertaining to their college's major equipment.

WRIGHT STATE UNIVERSITY
Graduate Council Report to the Faculty Senate
May 2012

The Wright State University Graduate Council met on May 17, 2012. Its standing committees met prior to that date.

I. REPORT OF THE DEAN

Marketing Initiatives – Through efforts in search engine marketing, radio and print ads, and the latest effort of on-campus marketing (back page ads in *The Guardian*), the Graduate School has seen a 17% increase in applications for Fall 2012 (as of April 30).

Tuition Fee Remission Policy – An ad-hoc committee of the Research Council recently reviewed the 1992 written policy with the intent to update and clarify the policy. Their draft policy will be presented to the Research Council in June and will be reviewed by Graduate Council in the fall.

Cotutelle PhD Programs – Some faculty have expressed interest in forming joint PhD programs with European universities in which students would study at their home institutions for two years and then complete two years at the partner university and would be awarded two diplomas. This program is common in Europe, Canada, and Australia. A few universities in the U.S. currently have similar programs.

II. REPORT OF THE ASSISTANT DEAN

Electronic Admissions Workflow – The workflow is functional now. Some of the programs in CoLA and CoSM are using it. Some problems have been identified and some features have been added. CEHS, CoNH, and CECS will receive training soon. By Fall 2012, all graduate admission applications will be processed electronically.

III. COMMITTEE REPORTS

POLICIES COMMITTEE

1. The Policies Committee recommended, and the Graduate Council approved, a new policy (2.40) for Graduate Council Scholarships that describes eligibility and identifies procedures. The policy reflects current practices.
2. The Policies Committee recommended, and the Graduate Council approved, language added to policy 4.52 to limit the number of graduate credits that an undergraduate student can apply to a graduate degree to 12.
3. The Policies Committee recommended, and the Graduate Council approved, a modification to policy 4.31 to set the credit hour limit at 18 semester hours in a term (including summer).

MEMBERSHIP COMMITTEE

The Membership Committee reported on the graduate faculty members approved.

CURRICULUM COMMITTEE A

The Curriculum Committee A recommended, and the Graduate Council approved, four course inventory requests: ASM 7570, EE 7430, EE 7430 Lab, and EE 7550; two course modifications (title changes): CHM 6450 and CHM 6500; and a Biostatistics track within the Applied Statistics Master's degree program and the two corresponding new courses: STT 6310 and STT 7300.

CURRICULUM COMMITTEE B

The Curriculum Committee B recommended, and the Graduate Council approved, one course inventory request for URS 7055 and one course modification (credit hour change) for SPM 7010.

STUDENT AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

In the next academic year, the Student Affairs Committee will help select the recipients of a new scholarship – Minority Fellowship Awards.

The Committee also recommended that four one-year Graduate Council Scholar awards be offered next year instead of four two-year awards, with the eventual transition to eight one-year awards offered in the following year.

IV. RESEARCH REPORT

Ms. E. Reinsch-Friese, Assistant VP for Research, provided the Graduate Council with a report on the research activities on the WSU campus.

V. OLD BUSINESS

The Graduate Council endorsed the actions of the Faculty Senate that split the full proposal for Cyber Security and approved the MS in Cyber Security portion.

Wright State University
Faculty Senate Minutes
September 10, 2012
2:15 p.m., E156 Student Union

1. Call to Order

Faculty President Dan Krane called the meeting to order at 2:15 p.m.

✓ Agiro, Christa*	✓ Kollman, Kathleen	✓ Schneider, Tamera
✓ Berberich, Steven	✓ McGinley, Sarah*	✓ Self, Eileen
✓ Brewer, Tracy	✓ Mejia-LaPerle, Carol	✓ Steele-Johnson, Debra
✓ Brown, Kevin	✓ Milligan, Barry	Vadeboncour, Yvonne
✓ Bukovinsky, Dave*	✓ Mirkin, David	Williams, Julie*
✓ Cubberly, Mark*	✓ Naidu, Sirisha	✓ Wilson, Sean
✓ Doom, Travis*	✓ Petkie, Doug	
✓ Garber, Fred	✓ Pollock, Sean	✓ Krane, Dan*
✓ Gillig, Paulette	✓ Redko, Cristina	✓ Hopkins, David
✓ Harris, Andrea	✓ Rizki, Matt	✓ Sudkamp, Tom
✓ Higgins, Steven	✓ Roman, Brenda*	✓ Sav, Tom
✓ Holland, Cindra*	✓ Rooney, Thomas*	Zambenini, Pam

2. Approval of Minutes of June 4, 2012 Senate Meeting

Minutes were approved as written.

<http://www.wright.edu/administration/senate/senmin/documents/June12SenMin.pdf>

3. Report of the University President and Provost

President Hopkins

Welcome to Semesters at Wright State University and welcome to Tom Sudkamp as our Interim Provost.

We have begun a national search with the committee meeting Friday, September 7 and more information will be forthcoming. Our goal is to have campus visits early in March and identify the next Provost by the end of March.

Vice President Biden will be holding a rally on campus on Wednesday, September 12. We are a venue and must be non-partisan in everything we do as a public university. We are pleased to host Vice President Biden and have also hosted then candidate President Obama and candidate McCain. Due to security, our campus function will be extremely disrupted but this is a wonderful opportunity for students to see democracy in action and I offer my apologies for the disruptions.

Enrollment – we anticipated a record graduation last year in the fall, spring and summer and we graduated on average 700 more students due to acceleration for the semester transition. We have had tremendous enrollment growth the last three years but have seen several things occur: 1) accelerated exit of students who graduated, 2) putting into practice the recommendations of the Ad hoc Student Success Committee which redirected 350 students in our first year class to two-year schools to better prepare them for our curriculum. We did not change our admissions standards but wanted to be purposeful about admitting students.

There seems to be an all-out attack on higher education and every institution is showing decreased enrollment. The populations we need to reach are afraid to attend college and take on debt. An education is more than getting a job. It is about performing and contributing to the community. There are misunderstandings about student debt being tied to college tuition rates. Students are finding student loans as a means of survival for themselves and their families.

We have much work to do and some critical challenges. This year we will revitalize our strategic plan. In the coming five years I believe we will see challenges like we have not seen before in terms of what is challenging comprehensive public universities. The sustainable financial model will be part of the conversation we need to have in the coming months. State funding continues to go down but the good news is the governor is outlining a process for the presidents of the two and four year institutions to re-examine the SSI. We are pleased he believes that we are collaborating and is giving us authority to examine how the funding is distributed. We receive 18% of our budget from the state and the current model dictates that we have no control over tuition and fees. The budget model that sustained higher education for decades will not be the model of the future. We must think differently, reshape, and reengineer.

I'm laying out eight challenges to consider as we model our strategic planning together. The Trustees are challenging us to bring an updated plan to them by June 2013. The entire campus will contribute and I hope we will think differently as to how to make this work. The term entrepreneurship suggests we are a business but that is not correct. It is the way we think about the academy and we need to think entrepreneurially in regards to problem solving. What does it mean to be a comprehensive public university and to be an entrepreneurial university in the 21st century? In five years, as we celebrate our 50th anniversary, we are going to look different. As a young institution, Wright State University has been flexible and we will keep that spirit up and take on the coming challenges.

For-profit schools are very aggressive and the public looks at online learning as the answer to problems. We must address the role of online education in terms of our institution. Other challenges are state support in tuition, completion rates, the perceived value of a college education, student debt and how we are helping students accumulate less debt. The core is how we are producing wonderfully talented graduates with tools to be successful in the 21st century.

No one has all the answers. We can become a healthier institution in the next five years. The economy is improving and the state will have more money to share but does not anticipate distributing that money to higher education. They envision it going in other directions. We have been told to begin with a flat allocation from the state; in other words, the same allocation we received two years ago and a 10% deduction. Most state agencies will begin with a 10% reduction. The dynamics are quite different than we are used to. We need to be purposeful.

This is our 45th anniversary. We will have a small celebration and are inviting colleagues from OSU and Miami to participate in panel discussions about the future of higher education.

Interim Provost Sudkamp

Faculty Senate has been critical in advancing several issues. The architects have been chosen for the Classroom Building and the site has been chosen as well, which is between Hamilton and University Halls. Linda Ramey will serve as one of two liaisons in the construction of the building and is charged to make sure faculty are involved in the decision making, particularly in respect to the designs of the classrooms.

Part of the learning process for effectively teaching in the “active learning: pedagogical style was to develop an experimental scale-up classroom in the Russ Engineering Bldg., which was co-sponsored by the Provost’s Office in conjunction with the Department of Computer Science. I would like to call on Matt Rizki as the past chair of Buildings and Grounds Committee to comment.

Matt Rizki – We are receiving outstanding feedback from the students as far as working in this type of environment. It is too early to evaluate the learning but all indications are positive. Different types, levels, and styles of classes are utilizing the classroom. We hope to have a meeting in the next calendar year and report on the process. The classroom is available for other departments to try on a very limited basis.

The Faculty Senate has been in the forefront in putting forth and implementing proposals and recommendations regarding Student Success. The non-academic side of the University is also a key player in contributing to student success. In an independent survey of those students who have left college, the two top reasons cited were that student felt the college did not care (25%) and 23% indicated that receiving poor service was their reason for leaving. Almost half of the group of students who left did so because of the way the institution served the student, not because of poor grades or financial needs. We are bringing this to USAC/CSAC, the Council of Deans and the departments and colleges to see how we can work on the issues of providing poor service to our students and each other. You will hear the term “personalization” so the students make a link either through scale-up classroom pedagogy, Freshman Seminars, services and policies. We need to make a connection so students will make the effort to stay.

Senator Question: This idea relates to transfer students and why all of their credits don’t transfer which causes student frustration. I would like to see WSU have the goal of accepting 100% of the student’s credits if you are from another Ohio public university. That may not be realistic but it may go a long way to avoiding some of the problems discussed.

Tom Sudkamp: That is a great goal. We have implemented the Gateway program with Clark State so that students receive advising from Wright State University while attending Clark State and we are working on a similar program with Sinclair so our advisors are at those other institutions meeting with students to make the transition smooth.

Senator Question: A number of students have applied to Wright State University for admission and we accept them but I get feedback that we are not recruiting them heavily. They are not contacted again until they show up on campus and it seems to be counter to what goes on at other institutions. Could someone address the Senate regarding our strategy?

President Hopkins: We need to look at everything we are doing. Tomorrow we will have a debriefing with our leadership centered on our 14-day numbers and will also do a presentation to the Trustees. We will consider what we need to do differently and consider our action steps and touch points.

Senator Question: Could we consider placement testing and how we interact with students to complete those processes?

President Hopkins: Yes

4. Report of the Senate Executive Committee

Dan Krane

The Ohio Board of Regents has created a committee whose task is to determine and establish the minimum credentials for individuals who teach college courses. I am on that committee and this has some bearing on transfer students and also on high school students and dual-enrollment courses. A large number of high school faculty may be affected by these criteria. It also involves online courses where teacher credentials sometimes come into question. I will be chairing the committee tomorrow and will be reporting to the Senate and have already reached out to the Graduate Council and the Undergraduate Curriculum and Academic Policies Committee for input. This will not happen overnight and many groups, including lobbyists and teacher's unions, are involved but the Chancellor expects the minimum criteria to go into affect in August 2016.

Last year we had a record number of resolutions and ad hoc committees that were created, particularly in matters pertaining to student success. It is my intent to gather the chairs of the four ad hoc committees that were created for student success purposes for a meeting with me and the chair of the Undergraduate Curriculum and Academic Policies Committee. The plan is to make sure everything is on track and that we are not duplicating efforts.

The Executive Committee charged CEHS with holding an election to replace Senator Christa Agiro who has resigned. Carol Loranger is no longer a COLA Senator due to her accepting the COLA Associate Dean position and she has been replaced as chair of the First Year Seminar Committee also. Membership of the First Year Seminar Committee is now Marie Hertzler, Jason Diebel, Devon Berry, John Gallagher, and Dan Krane. Susan Carrafiello, Director of University Honors, will chair. There is a pilot of 17 faculty (including me) in Freshman Seminars with each having a peer instructor. The ad hoc committee will synthesize what we have learned.

President Hopkins stated we have a search on for a new Provost. The search committee met last week. Nineteen members are participating and seven are faculty members. Thank you to President Hopkins for being amenable to our representation.

I suggested that a task force be created to give insight into our information technology systems, specifically Banner, Pilot, Wings, and Wings Express. These systems don't work seamlessly. At the next Executive Committee meeting I will ask the EC to create an ad hoc committee to investigate and make recommendations to better serve our faculty and students.

Guest: Mark Polatajko, Vice President of Business and Fiscal Affairs

http://prezi.com/ib5ry8adnsjq/capital-wrightway-policy/?utm_source=share&utm_campaign=shareprezi&utm_medium=email

5. Old Business

A. Doctor of Organizational Studies – Graduate Council

<http://www.wright.edu/administration/senate/senage/documents/EdDFullProposalv7432012.pdf>

<http://www.wright.edu/administration/senate/senage/documents/DOSProgramOfStudy.pdf>

1. Moved and seconded to Approve.
2. Approved.

6. New Business

- A. Proposed Summer Time-Blocks - UCAPC
See Attachment A to the September 10, 2012 Senate Agenda.
<http://www.wright.edu/administration/senate/senage/documents/SenAgnSept12.pdf>
 - 1. Moved and Seconded to Old Business.

7. Committee Reports

- A. See Attachment B to the September 10, 2012 Senate Agenda.
<http://www.wright.edu/administration/senate/senage/documents/SenAgnSept12.pdf>

8. Council Reports

- A. Research Council
See Attachment C to the September 10, 2012 Senate Agenda.
- B. Graduate Council
See Attachment D to the September 10, 2012 Senate Agenda.

9. Special Reports

10. Announcements

11. Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 3:20 p.m. The next meeting will be on Monday, October 8, 2:15 p.m., in E156 Student Union.

/pz