

Biomorphic Study of Rhipicephalus Sanguineus (Acari: Ixodidae) Under Laboratory Conditions

Habib Ullah

Faculty of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Gomal University Dera Ismail Khan-29050-Pakistan,
habibdvm@gmail.com

Atta Ur Rehman

Faculty of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Gomal University Dera Ismail Khan-29050-Pakistan,
attreman77@gmail.com

Naimat Ullah

Institute of Biological Sciences (IBS) Gomal University, Dera Ismail Khan-29050-Pakistan,
drnaimat@gu.edu.pk

Muhammad Tariq

Faculty of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Gomal University Dera Ismail Khan-29050-Pakistan,
muhammadtariq90@gmail.com

Umer Farooq

College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Jhang-35200-Pakistan, u.f9823@gmail.com

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://corescholar.libraries.wright.edu/jbm>



Part of the [Animal Sciences Commons](#), and the [Entomology Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Ullah, H., Rehman, A. U., Ullah, N., Tariq, M., Farooq, U., Bibi, S., Khan, A., Jamil, M., Ramzan, F., & Ayub, M. (2023). Biomorphic Study of Rhipicephalus Sanguineus (Acari: Ixodidae) Under Laboratory Conditions, *Journal of Bioresource Management*, 10 (1).

ISSN: 2309-3854 online

(Received: Sep 2, 2022; Accepted: Dec 6, 2022; Published: Mar 30, 2023)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by CORE Scholar. It has been accepted for inclusion in *Journal of Bioresource Management* by an authorized editor of CORE Scholar. For more information, please contact library-corescholar@wright.edu.

Biomorphic Study of *Rhipicephalus Sanguineus* (Acari: Ixodidae) Under Laboratory Conditions

Authors

Habib Ullah, Atta Ur Rehman, Naimat Ullah, Muhammad Tariq, Umer Farooq, Sonia Bibi, Anila Khan, Muhammad Jamil, Faiqah Ramzan, and Muhammad Ayub

© Copyrights of all the papers published in Journal of Bioresource Management are with its publisher, Center for Bioresource Research (CBR) Islamabad, Pakistan. Users have the right to read, download, copy, distribute, print, search, or link to the full texts of articles in the Journal. We operate under International Version 4 (CC BY 4.0) of Creative Commons Attribution License which allows the reproduction of articles free of charge with the appropriate citation of the information.

BIOMORPHIC STUDY OF *RHIPICEPHALUS SANGUINEUS* (ACARI: IXODIDAE) UNDER LABORATORY CONDITIONS

HABIB ULLAH¹, ATTA UR REHMAN¹, NAIMAT ULLAH², MUHAMMAD TARIQ¹, UMER FAROOQ³, SONIA BIBI⁴, ANILA KHAN², MUHAMMAD JAMIL⁵, FAIQA RAMZAN¹, AND MUHAMMAD AYUB⁶

¹Faculty of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Gomal University Dera Ismail Khan-29050-Pakistan

²Institute of Biological Sciences (IBS) Gomal University, Dera Ismail Khan-29050-Pakistan

³College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Jhang-35200-Pakistan

⁴Department of Botany, University of Science and Technology Bannu-28100-Pakistan

⁵PARC Arid Zone Research Center, Dera Ismail Khan-29050-Pakistan

⁶PARC Agricultural Research Station Skardu-16100-Pakistan

Corresponding author email: jamilmatrah@parc.gov.pk

ABSTRACT

Rhipicephalus sanguineus can complete up to four generations per year, depending on factors such as climate and host availability. Biomorphic studies of *R. sanguineus* were conducted under laboratory conditions. The larval, nymphal and adult ticks were fed on sheep at 25°C, 60 % relative humidity (RH) and exposed to daylight. The incubation period was lasted 35 days. The average mean of premoult and moulting period of larvae was 70 ± 0.91 and 7.4 ± 0.6 days, respectively. The time durations of premoult and moulting of nymphs were 62 ± 1.66 and 13 ± 6.4 days, respectively. The average developmental periods were 6.09, and 5.15 days for larval pre-feeding, and feeding, respectively. The average developmental periods were 3.54, and 6.11 days for nymphal pre-feeding, and feeding, respectively. The complete life cycle of *R. sanguineus* was 180 days with range of 120-286 days. The average weights of the unfed larvae, and nymphs were 0.04 ± 0.03, and 0.40 ± 0.09 mg, respectively while 0.60 ± 0.05, and 5.02 ± 0.20 mg for engorged larvae and nymphs, respectively. The index of reproduction efficiency (REI), egg production efficiency (EPE), and the index of reproductive fitness (RFI) averaged 14.99 ± 3.65, 0.31 ± 0.08, and 11.74 ± 3.01, respectively. Scutum length and width of male was 2.2 and 1.2mm, respectively while length and width of female was 1.04 and 0.99 mm, respectively. The current study findings could help the workers in adopting different management studies for ticks in the world.

Keywords: Ticks, brown dog ticks, sheep, biomorphic, laboratory conditions.

INTRODUCTION

Ticks (Acari: Ixodidae) are the highest important group of vectors of pathogens within the phylum Arthropoda after mosquitoes. Ticks spread various species of bacteria, viruses, protozoa and nematodes affecting reptiles, human, animals, amphibians, and poultry (Abdullah et al., 2016; Ola-Fadunsin et al., 2020,2021; Ramzan et al., 2020a; Jamil et al., 2021, 2022a,b,c,d). More than 800 tick species have reported throughout the world and out of 800 species, 53 species reported from Pakistan (Ramzan et al., 2020b;

2021; Rahman et al., 2022). Ixodidae, also known as hard ticks, are exclusively parasitic arthropods. *Rhipicephalus* is one of the 12 extant genera of Ixodidae and comprises 84 described species (William et al., 2019; Ernieenor et al., 2017). *Rhipicephalus* falls under the subfamily of Rhipicephalinae (Metastratiata) with almost 4 species reported in Pakistan. *Rhipicephalus sanguineus* is major threatening species in Pakistan after *Hyalomma anatolicum*. This species has been documented to transmit several pathogenic bacteria (*Rickettsia massiliae*, *R. rickettsia*) (Nava et al., 2018), and

protozoan diseases (*Ehrlichia canis*, *Hepatozoon canis*, *Babesia canis*) (Dantas-Torres et al., 2017; Senbill et al., 2018). There was need to reared it and check the biological and morphological parameters of this species in the country because before this study no such study was conducted. The rearing of tick was necessary, to fulfill the need, the current study was conducted to describe the biological parameters of *R. sanguineus* under laboratory conditions. The study results may provide basic background data for further inquiries.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Collection and Rearing of Ticks

A survey was conducted to collect the unfed adults of *R. sanguineus* from vegetation in Dera Ismail Khan from April 2020 to April 2022 when ticks were searching hosts for blood feeding. Collected ticks were brought to Parasitology Laboratory to develop a colony of the ticks on sheep and maintained in glass tubes sealed with hydrophilic cotton in an incubator at 25 °C, 70 % RH with 14:10 D: L) (Ullah et al., 2022; Jamil et al., 2021, 2022e,f).. Rearing procedures of Sun et al. (2008) and Senbill et al. (2018) were adopted in the study.

Animals

Six Damani breed sheep of one year were divided into three groups and infested by adults, nymphs, and larvae, respectively. They were maintained at 25 °C, 60 % RH and exposed to daylight. Two-meter muslin cloth was purchased from nearby a market and bags of 25 x 25 cm were prepared and glued to the back of sheep. Ticks were released into the bags for rearing and opening end of the bags closed with rope to avoid the tick escape.

Biological Parameters of Nymph, Larva and Adults

200 and 50 newly hatched larvae and emerged nymphs, respectively were released onto the back of two sheep daily to check their pre-feeding periods. Two hundred unfed larvae and fifty unfed nymphs were weighed and fed on sheep 7 days after hatching/moulting. Engorged ticks which naturally detached were collected into vials, and counted. Naturally detached engorged ticks weighed and kept into separate tubes. By using an analytical balance tick was weighed in pools. The group of ten larvae was considered a pool and five nymphs were also a pool. Sex was also checked after moulting. Biological parameters of adult ticks were also determined by placing newly emerged 25 males and 25 females on a sheep daily. Before placing on the back of sheep, both adults (males and females) were kept hungry for period of 4 days. After four days without food, adults weighted and put on the back of a sheep. The engorged females were collected, weighed and put into an incubator. All post developmental periods such as pre-oviposition, oviposition, and postoviposition periods and egg batches laid by females were collected, counted and observed or noted on daily basis. Number of eggs in a batch were counted, and kept into separate glass tubes. The incubation period was observed by using five hundred (500) eggs and percentage (%) of hatched larvae was calculated by using methods of early/previous adopted researchers (Chilton, 1992). Three hundred newly emerged larvae and fifty adults were collected from reared culture and kept into incubator to check their longevities. Morphological characters of larvae and adults were also checked.

Data Analysis

Data were statistically analyzed by using several Spearman's correlations and

Student's t tests, and Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) (version 20).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

R. sanguineus, is the most significant contributor to the spread of tick-borne diseases in human, domestic and wild animals all over the world (Dantas-Torres et al., 2013). The prevalence percentage of this species is increasing now a days in the globe (Nava et al., 2015; Chitimia-Dobler et al., 2017).

R. sanguineus is an endophilic, monotropic, and three-host tick species. It can also feed on other hosts like humans and live in outdoor environments, despite being monotropic and endophilic in nature. It's clear that *R. sanguineus* is catholic.

All stages of *R. sanguineus* are pest of all ruminants (cattle, buffaloes, camel, goat, and sheep), and poultry (Benitez et al., 2012; do Amaral et al., 2012; Ma et al., 2016). Eggs were spherical in shape and dark brown in color. Larva has three pairs of legs while remaining stages (nymph and adult) with four pairs of legs. The length and width of body size of larva were 0.57 mm and 0.42 mm, respectively. Nymphs and adults were looked almost similar to each other but dissimilar in body size. Length and width of nymphs was 1.20-1.30, and 0.60-0.70 mm, respectively while 2.30-3.21, and 1.20-1.72 mm was the length and width of adult, respectively. Sonenshine and Roe (2014) had reported the similar findings about morphological characters of *R. sanguineus*. It has been recorded that body length increased during feeding. Sonenshine and Roe (2014) had reported that body size increased and reached the size of a raisin during blood feeding. The colour of adult and nymph was reddish-brown with an elongated body shape. Walker et al., (2005) had also reported the similar shape and colour of nymphs and adults. A hexagonal capituli was found on the back of adult ticks.

The incubation period was lasted 35 days. The average mean of

premoulting and moulting period of larvae was 70 ± 0.91 and 7.4 ± 0.6 days, respectively. The time durations of premoulting and moulting of nymphs were 62 ± 1.66 and 13 ± 6.4 days, respectively. The average developmental periods were 6.09, and 5.15 days for larval pre-feeding, and feeding, respectively. The average developmental periods were 3.54, and 6.11 days for nymphal pre-feeding, and feeding, respectively. Developmental time and survival percentage of larvae and nymphs is given in Table 1. The complete life cycle of *R. sanguineus* was 180 days with range of 120-286 days. The average weights of the unfed larvae, and nymphs were 0.04 ± 0.03 , and 0.40 ± 0.09 mg, respectively while 0.60 ± 0.05 , and 5.02 ± 0.20 mg for engorged larvae and nymphs, respectively.

Average weights of engorged male and female adults are given in Table 2. The student's t test showed that the mean weight of engorged nymphs molting to females was significantly heavier ($P < 0.001$) than that of nymphs molting to males. It was observed that female laid least number of eggs at initial stage which increased with increased in time (on 7 days) and then gradually declined (after 10 days). The hatching percentage of eggs was 90 %. The average mean number of eggs laid by 25 females was 1898.09 ± 66.45 (range of 1509-2021) given in Table 3. Koch (1982) reported that a fully blood-fed female can lay over 7,000 eggs. He reported that egg lying capacity of female depends on the blood amount sucked by female and size of the tick. It was observed that female died after finishing her egg lying. Dantas-Torres (2008) and DantasTorres (2010) had investigated the similar findings about death of female ticks. The findings of oviposition pattern of *R. sanguineus* were in line to other species of *Rhipicephalus* and many other tick species like *Haemaphysalis* spp. (Chen et al. 2012).

The reproductive efficacy of female was assessed by using indices. The

index of reproduction efficiency (REI), egg production efficiency (EPE), and the index of reproductive fitness (RFI) averaged 14.99 ± 3.65 , 0.31 ± 0.08 , and 11.74 ± 3.01 , respectively. The average tick recovery rate was 5.0 % (range= 4.0–6.0%). The biological parameters and indices of tick are given in Table 3. Pre-ovipositional, ovipositional, and post-ovipositional periods are given in Table 4

while morphological parameters are given in Table 5. Pre-ovipositional period was 17-22 days which is not in line with the previous studies. For example, 4 days were recorded by do Amaral et al. (2012), and 2.9–3 days by Benitez et al., (2012). This variation may be due to differences between tick populations, geographical regions, and different hosts.

Table 1: Developmental time and Survival percentage of engorged larvae and nymphs of *R. sanguineus*.

Parameters	Stages					
	Larvae			Nymphs		
	Time/days			Time/days		
	Mean ± SE	Range	Survival %	Mean ± SE	Range	Survival %
Premoulting	70±0.91	67-74	83	62±1.66	56-65	88
Moulting	7.4±0.6	8-May	93	13±6.4	12-15	100

Table 2: Variations in body weight of larva, nymph and adult of *R. sanguineus* before and after feeding.

Parameters	Larva		Nymph		Adult male		Adult female	
	Mean ± SE	Range	Mean±SE	Range	Mean ± SE	Range	Mean ± SE	Range
Unfed (mg)	0.04 ± 0.03	0.01-0.06	0.40 ± 0.09	0.35-0.51	1.53 ± 0.08	1.45-1.70	2.00 ± 0.07	1.33-3.01
Engorged (mg)	0.60 ± 0.05	0.50-0.67	5.02 ± 0.20	4.00-6.10	2.20 ± 0.21	2.00-2.40	95.89±3.88	190-100
Weight ratio	10.11	9-12	12.6	10-14	1.6	0.99-1.10	87.99	85-100

Table 3: Biological parameters and indices of females under laboratory conditions

Parameters	Mean±SE	Range
Engorged weight (mg)	289.89 ± 6.43	154.76–310.10
Egg mass deposited (mg)	201.54 ± 9.00	86.66–210.00
Oviposition (number of eggs)	1898.09 ± 66.45	1509–2021
Reproduction efficiency index (REI)	14.99 ± 3.65	6.6–25.50
Reproductive fitness index (RFI)	11.74 ± 3.01	5.6–21.30
Egg production efficiency (EPE)	0.31 ± 0.08	0.20–0.51
Hatchability (%)	86.8±3.10	80-90
Tick recovery rate (%)	5.0	4.0-6.0

Table 4: Developmental times for the three females engorged ticks.

Parameters	Mean ± SE	Range	Success rate (%)
Pre-oviposition period (days)	20.02±9.13	17-22	72
Oviposition period (days)	31.64±7.34	27-34	94
Post-oviposition (days)	5.3±3.29	2-7	100

Table 5: Morphological parameters of *R. sanguineus*

Parameters	Male		Female	
	Mean	Range	Mean	Range
Scutum length	2.2	2.91–3.09	1.04	(0.72–0.92)
Scutum width	1.2	1.10–1.34	0.99	0.45–1.05
Pedipalps length	0.51	0.40–0.59	0.17	0.09–0.23
Pedipalps width	0.21	0.10–0.36	0.15	0.13–0.20
Spiracular plate length	0.3	0.16–0.45	0.29	0.26–0.40
Spiracular plate width	0.1	0.06–0.20	0.08	0.06–0.13

CONCLUSION

The current study describes the biological parameters or life cycle of one of the most important cattle ticks, *R. sanguineus*. This species can successfully complete life cycles under controlled conditions like *Boophilus microplus*. The results of current study are very important for directing and implementing epidemiological experiments and control bioassays to ensure the health of livestock industry around the globe, especially in Pakistan.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Authors declare no conflict of interest.

AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTION

All authors have equal contribution in this article.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

All authors are highly thankful to all concern institutes.

REFERENCES

Abdullah HH, El-Molla A, Salib FA, Allam NA, Ghazy AA, Abdel-Shafy S (2016). Morphological and molecular identification of the brown dog tick *Rhipicephalus sanguineus* and the camel tick *Hyalomma dromedarii* (Acari:

Ixodidae) vectors of Rickettsioses in Egypt. *Vet. World.* 9: 1087–1101.

Benitez D, Cetrá B, Florin-Christensen M (2012). *Rhipicephalus* (Boophilus) microplus ticks can complete their life cycle on the water buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*). *J. Buffalo Sci.* 1, 193–197.

Chen X, Yu Z, Guo L, Li L, Meng H, Wang D, Liu R, Liu J (2012). Life cycle of *Haemaphysalis doenitzi* (Acari: Ixodidae) under laboratory conditions and its phylogeny based on mitochondrial 16S rDNA. *Exp. Appl. Acarol.*, 56:143–150.

Dantas-Torres F 2008. The brown dog tick, *Rhipicephalus sanguineus* (Latreille, 1806) (Acari: Ixodidae): From taxonomy to control. *Vet. Parasitol.*, 152: 173–185.

Dantas-Torres F. 2010. Biology and ecology of the brown dog tick, *Rhipicephalus sanguineus*. *Parasit. Vectors.*,3: 26.

Dantas-Torres F, Latrofa MS, Annoscia G, Giannelli A, Parisi A, Otranto D 2013. Morphological and genetic diversity of *Rhipicephalus sanguineus sensu lato* from the New and Old Worlds. *Parasit. Vectors.*, 6: 1-7.

Dantas-Torres F., Maia C., Latrofa M.S., Annoscia G., Cardoso L., Otranto D. 2017: Genetic characterization of *Rhipicephalus sanguineus* (sensu

- lato) ticks from dogs in Portugal. *Parasit. Vectors.*, 10: 133.
- do Amaral MA, de Azevedo Prata MC, Daemon E, Furlong J (2012). Biological parameters of cattle ticks fed on rabbits. *Rev. Bras. Parasitol. Vet.*, 21: 22–27.
- Ernieenor FC, Ernna G, Mariana A (2017). Phenotypic and genotypic identification of hard ticks of the genus *Haemaphysalis* (Acari: Ixodidae) in Peninsular Malaysia. *Exp. Appl. Acarol.*, 71: 387–400.
- Jamil M, Kashif M, Mubeen M, Jelani G, Ullah N, Tariq A, Rasheed M, Hussain A, Ali M (2021). Identification of tick species infesting livestock in Dera Ismail Khan, Pakistan. *Syst. Appl. Acarol.*, 26: 2247-2252.
- Jamil M, Khan A, Zeeshan M, Hasan SM (2021). Collection and Identification of Tick Species on Goats and Sheep in Dera Ismail Khan, Pakistan. *Ann. Romanian Soc. Cell Biol.*, 25: 18389-18394.
- Jamil M, Bhatti AB, Zia R, Shabana K, Kashif M, Ullah N, Ali M, Jabeen N, Bilal M, Amin A, Khan I & Rasheed M (2022a). Collection, prevalence and identifying hard tick species among small ruminants in Southern Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan. *Biosci. Res.* 19(2): 893-898.
- Jamil M, Khan S, Kashif M, Maha Abdulla Alwaili MA, Qahtani WSA, Alshaya DS, Javed M, Muhammad M, Ali M & Rasheed M (2022b). Tick collection and infestation on buffaloes at Dera Ismail Khan, KPK, Pakistan. *Biosci. Res.*, 19(1): 665-670.
- Jamil M, Idrees A, Qadir ZA, Elahi ME, Imran F, Qasim M, Khan MS, Aziz H, zafar I, qazi I, Sadia B, Khan I, Shah SH, Rashid M & Ali M (2022c). Medical and Veterinary Ectoparasites' Importance: An Insight on Alternative Control. *Pak. J. Med.*, 16(01): 667.
- Jamil M, Latif N, Ullah A, Ullah N, Ali M, Jabeen N, Khan I, Qazi I, Ramzan M (2022). Identification and Morphological Key of Pakistani Ticks. *Egypt. Acad. J. Biol. Sci.*, 14(2): 1-5.
- Jamil M, Idrees A, Khan S, Alwaili MA, Al-Qahtani WS, Qadir ZA, Kashif M, Afzal A, Ullah H, Khan I, Morsy K (2022). Distribution and identification of tick species infesting donkeys, in district Dera Ismail Khan, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan. *Syst. Appl. Acarol.*, 27(8): 1518-1524.
- Jamil M, Sajed A, Jaweria G, Muhammad K, Naimat U, Mubarak A, Norina J, Imtiaz K, Imran Q (2023). Taxonomical and epidemiological study of tick species on domesticated animals. *Pure Appl. Biol.*, 12(1):523-530.
- Jamil M, Latif N, Gul J, Kashif M, Khan AM, Ali M, Jabeen N, Khan MS, Khan I, Qazi I, Ullah N (2022). A Review: An Insight into the Potential of Biological Control of Ticks in Domestic and Wild Animals. *Abasyn J. of Life Sci.* 5 (2): 51-67.
- Ma M, Chen Z, Liu A, Ren Q, Liu J, Liu Z, Li Y, Yin H, Guan G, Luo J (2016). Biological parameters of *Rhipicephalus* (*Boophilus*) *microplus* (Acari: Ixodidae) fed on rabbits, sheep and cattle. *Korean J. Parasitol.*, 54: 301–305.
- Nava S, Estrada-Peña A, Petney T, Beati L, Labruna MB, Szabó MP, Venzal JM, Mastropaolo M, Mangold AJ, Guglielmo AA. 2018: *Rhipicephalus sanguineus* (Latreille, 1806): neotype designation, morphological re-description of all parasitic stages and molecular characterization. *Ticks Tick Borne Dis.* 9: 1573–1585.

- Nava S, Estrada-Peña A, Petney T, Beati L, Labruna MB, Szabó MP, Venzal JM, Mastropaolo M, Mangold AJ, Guglielmone AA. (2015). The taxonomic status of *Rhipicephalus sanguineus* (Latreille, 1806). *Vet. Parasitol.*, 208: 2–8.
- Ola-Fadunsin SD, Sharma RS, Abdullah DA, Gimba FI, Abdullah FF, Sani RA (2021). The molecular prevalence, distribution and risk factors associated with *Babesia bigemina* infection in Peninsular Malaysia. *Ticks Tick Borne Dis.*, 12(3): 101653.
- Ola-Fadunsin SD, Uwabujo PI, Halleed IN, Richards B (2020). Prevalence and financial loss estimation of parasitic diseases detected in slaughtered cattle in Kwara State, North-central Nigeria. *J. Parasit. Dis.*, 44(1): 1–9.
- Ramzan M, Naeem-Ullah U, Bokhari SH, Saba S, Khan KA, Saeed S (2020b). Checklist of the tick (Acari: Argasidae, Ixodidae) species of Pakistan. *Vet. Ital.*, 56(4): 221-236.
- Ramzan M, Naeem-Ullah U, Saba S, Iqbal N, Saeed S (2020a). Prevalence and identification of tick species (Ixodidae) on domestic animals in district Multan, Punjab Pakistan. *Int. J. Acarol.*, 46(2): 83-87.
- Rahman A, Kashif M, Nasir A, Idrees A, Jamil M, Ehsan M, Elahi ZA, Qasim M, Khan I, Aziz H, Qazi I (2022). A Review of Tick and Tick Control Strategies in Pakistan. *Pak. J. Med.*, 16(01): 652-655.
- Senbill H, Hazarika LK, Baruah A, Borah DK, Bhattacharyya B, Rahman S (2018). Life cycle of the southern cattle tick, *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus* Canestrini 1888 (Acari: Ixodidae) under laboratory conditions. *Syst. Appl. Acarol.*, 23(6): 1169-1179.
- Sonenshine DE, Roe RM. 2014. *Biology of Ticks*, 2nd ed. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Sun C, Liu Z, Gao J, Guan G, Ma M, Luo J, Yin H (2008). Investigations into the natural infection rate of *Haemaphysalis qinghaiensis* with *Piroplasma* using a nested PCR. *Exp. Appl. Acarol.* 44:107–114.
- Ullah N, Jamil M, Ramzan M, Arshad A, ul Haq MZ (2022). Identification and new record of tick species on livestock from district Dera Ismail Khan, Pakistan. *Persian J. Acarol.*, 11(1): 159-162.
- Walker JB, Keirans JE, Horak IG (2005). *The Genus Rhipicephalus (Acari, Ixodidae): A Guide to the Brown Ticks of the World*. United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press.
- Nicholson WL, Sonenshine DE, Noden BH, Brown RN (2019). Ticks (Ixodida). In *Medical and Veterinary Entomology*; Mullen, G.R., Durden, L.A., Eds.; Elsevier Inc.: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, pp. 603–672.