7-2011

The effects of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal (AM) Fungal and Garlic Mustard Introductions on native AM Fungal Diversity

Alexander M. Koch

Pedro M. Antunes

Kathryn Barto

Wright State University - Main Campus

Don Cipollini

Wright State University - Main Campus, don.cipollini@wright.edu

Daniel L. Mummey

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://corescholar.libraries.wright.edu/biology>

Part of the [Biology Commons](#), [Ecology and Evolutionary Biology Commons](#), [Plant Sciences Commons](#), and the [Systems Biology Commons](#)

Repository Citation

Koch, A. M., Antunes, P. M., Barto, K., Cipollini, D., Mummey, D. L., & Klironomos, J. N. (2011). The effects of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal (AM) Fungal and Garlic Mustard Introductions on native AM Fungal Diversity. *Biological Invasions*, 13 (7), 1627-1739.
<https://corescholar.libraries.wright.edu/biology/513>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Biological Sciences at CORE Scholar. It has been accepted for inclusion in Biological Sciences Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of CORE Scholar. For more information, please contact library-corescholar@wright.edu.

Authors

Alexander M. Koch, Pedro M. Antunes, Kathryn Barto, Don Cipollini, Daniel L. Mummey, and John N. Klironomos

The effects of arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungal and garlic mustard introductions on native AM fungal diversity

Alexander M. Koch^{1,4*}, Pedro M. Antunes^{1**}, E. Kathryn Barto²⁺, Don Cipollini², Daniel L. Mummey³ and John N. Klironomos⁴

¹ Department of Integrative Biology, University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, N1G 2W1 Canada, ² Department of Biological Sciences, Wright State University, Dayton, Ohio 45435 USA, ³ Microbial Ecology Program, Division of Biological Sciences, The University of Montana, Missoula, Montana 59812 USA, ⁴ Department of Biology and physical Geography, 3333 University Way, University of British Columbia (Okanagan Campus), Kelowna, British Columbia, V1V 1V7. Current addresses: [†] Department of Biology, Algoma University, Sault Ste Marie, Ontario, P6B 2G4 Canada, ⁺ Institut für Biologie, Freie Universität Berlin, 14195 Berlin Germany

* These authors contributed equally to the work

^{*}Corresponding author. Postal address: Alexander M. Koch, Dept. of Biology and Physical Geography, Irving K. Barber School of Arts and Sciences, 3333 University Way, University of British Columbia Okanagan, Kelowna, British Columbia, V1V 1V7, Canada.

Email to: akoch13@gmail.com

Telephone: ++ 250 807 8785

Fax: ++ 205 807 8005

Keywords: arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF); *Glomus intraradices*; inoculation; fungal invasion; community ecology; garlic mustard (*Alliaria petiolata*); T-RFLP

Abstract

Introduced, non-native organisms are of global concern, because biological invasions can negatively affect local communities. Arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungal communities have not been well studied in this context. AM fungi are abundant in most soils, forming symbiotic root-associations with many plant species. Commercial AM fungal inocula are increasingly spread worldwide, because of potentially beneficial effects on plant growth. In contrast, some invasive plant species, such as the non-mycorrhizal *Alliaria petiolata*, can negatively influence AM fungi. In a greenhouse study we examined changes in the structure of a local Canadian AM fungal community in response to inoculation by foreign AM fungi and the manipulated presence/absence of *A. petiolata*. We expected *A. petiolata* to have a stronger effect on the local AM fungal community than the addition of foreign AM fungal isolates. Molecular analyses indicated that inoculated foreign AM fungi successfully established and decreased molecular diversity of the local AM fungal community in host roots. *A. petiolata* did not affect molecular diversity, but reduced AM fungal growth in the greenhouse study and in a *in vitro* assay. Our findings suggest that both introduced plants and exotic AM fungi can have negative impacts on local AM fungi.

Introduction

Invasive species are an increasing phenomenon at a global scale and represent range expansions into non-native habitats. Invasions can lead to changes in community structure, decrease diversity of native species and alter ecosystem functioning (Hooper et al. 2005). Several mechanisms have been proposed to explain why certain species are successful invaders, including release from natural enemies (Agrawal et al. 2005), novel weapons (Callaway and Ridenour 2004), propagule pressure (Lockwood et al. 2005) or specific life-history traits such as high growth rates or resource-use efficiency (Funk and Vitousek 2007). Invaders may also profit from multiple beneficial traits, but often the most important mechanisms of invasiveness remain elusive. Invasive macroorganisms have been relatively well studied, but only recently have we begun making progress in our understanding of microbial invasions (Desprez-Loustau et al. 2007; van der Putten et al. 2007). This is especially true for soil microorganisms, which either directly or through differential feedbacks alter growth and success of native and invasive plants (Callaway et al. 2004; Klironomos 2002). Here we focus on arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi (phylum Glomeromycota), widespread root symbionts that form associations with the majority of plant species (Smith and Read 2008).

The promise of potentially beneficial effects of AM fungi on plant growth and nutrition has lead to an increase in the use of commercial AM fungal inocula applied as bio-fertilizers (Schwartz et al. 2006). Such inocula often consist of a single AM fungal genotype and are deliberately introduced to locations where they may either not be native or be present at an abundance that would allow co-existence with other native AM fungi. In most instances it remains unclear whether these inoculants successfully establish, and if so, whether they impact the local AM fungal communities. On the other hand, there is also increasing evidence that invasive plant species alter AM fungal communities (Hawkes et al. 2006; Mummey and Rillig 2006), possibly through allelopathic chemicals produced by roots or other tissues (Zhang et al. 2007). Native to Europe, *Alliaria petiolata* Bieb. Cavara & Grande (Brassicaceae) is an aggressive biennial invader of forest understories and edge habitats throughout North America. Studies using *A. petiolata* plant tissues, extracts or soils conditioned by *A. petiolata* have shown that *A. petiolata* may be allelopathic to some North American plants and AM fungi (Callaway et al. 2008; Meekins and McCarthy 1999; Prati and Bossdorf 2004; Roberts and Anderson 2001; Vaughn and Berhow 1999). Being non-mycorrhizal, the inhibitory effects of *A. petiolata* on

ectomycorrhizal and AM fungi may negatively affect mycorrhizal-dependent native plants thereby facilitating the propagation and success of *A. petiolata* (Stinson et al. 2006; Wolfe et al. 2008). Negative effects of introduced organisms on AM fungal diversity may be ecologically important, because changes in AM fungal composition may alter plant diversity and ecosystem productivity (Grime et al. 1987; van der Heijden et al. 1998). AM fungi are ancient plant symbionts (Remy et al. 1994) and several AM fungal species, including *Glomus intraradices* Schenk & Smith (a species commonly used as a commercial inoculant), have global distributions (Opik et al. 2006).

The aim of the present work was to study the interplay of two introduced *G. intraradices* isolates (and their mixture), the invasive plant *A. petiolata*, and a native Canadian AM fungal field community naïve to *A. petiolata*. We chose to study this topic in a controlled greenhouse setting and not in the field, because of the potential risks associated with the release of non-native microbes (Schwartz et al. 2006). Two closely related, yet distinct *G. intraradices* genotypes from Switzerland and Québec were used (Croll et al. 2008; Koch et al. 2004), the latter being widely used for commercial inoculation purposes. Both strains originate from within the native and invaded range of *A. petiolata*, respectively. Based on the findings of Callaway *et al.* (2008), differentiation in resistance to *A. petiolata* may not only be present among areas that vary in *A. petiolata* invasion history, but also among closely related fungal genotypes from within the current range of *A. petiolata*. We also tested whether synergistic effects between these two related strains could be detected, by comparing their mixture to the effects of the two strains as monocultures. We expected that *A. petiolata* would have more detrimental effects on the diversity and abundance of AM fungi from a native *Alliaria*-naïve Canadian field community than addition of potentially non-native *G. intraradices* genotypes, because *G. intraradices* has previously been documented to frequently occur at this field site (Hart and Klironomos 2002). We also hypothesized that in the presence of *Alliaria* or its extracts, the growth of the Canadian *G. intraradices* isolate is more negatively affected than that of its Swiss counterpart.

Materials and Methods

Two isolates of the AM fungal species *G. intraradices* were used. Both cultures (DAOM 197198 [named DAOM 181602 in Koch et al. (2004)] and B3) were started from single spores. The

Swiss isolate (B3) originates from an agricultural field near Tänikon (Switzerland) and was obtained from the laboratory of Prof. Ian R. Sanders (University of Lausanne). The Canadian isolate (DAOM 197198) originates from an Ash forest near an agricultural field in Pont-Rouge (Quebec) and its genome is currently being sequenced (Martin et al. 2008). We obtained *in vitro* cultures of this isolate from Premier Tech Biotechnologies (Rivière-du-Loup, Quebec, Canada), who uses this strain as the active ingredient in their commercial inocula Myke®-Pro. Both isolates had previously been cultured in axenic root organ cultures (ROCs) on M-medium for over six years (Becard and Fortin 1988) and were clonally re-cultured under standardized conditions (on Petri dishes containing M-Medium and transformed carrot host roots) at least two times at the University of Guelph prior to any experiments. The axenic culture procedures and the isolation history of the Swiss isolate are described in detail in Koch et al. (2004). *A. petiolata* has not been observed in the native field of the Swiss isolate (T. Anken, personal communication), but this site lies within the native range of *A. petiolata* in Europe. We do not know whether *A. petiolata* has occurred at the field site where the Canadian isolate originates from, but the invaded *A. petiolata* range in eastern Canada includes that area.

Experiment 1: Greenhouse study

We collected field soil from one location at an old-field meadow, the long-term mycorrhizal research site (LTMRs), on the campus of the University of Guelph in October 2006. Sieved field soil (5 mm mesh width) was stored in an open 50 L plastic container at room temperature for drying until it was used in the experiment. While *G. intraradices* has been documented at the LTMRs, to date *A. petiolata* has not been observed there (Hart and Klironomos 2002; Klironomos 2002). *A. petiolata* frequently occurs in and around Guelph, locally forming dense stands of almost pure monocultures (A.M. Koch, personal observations).

Fifty 1-L pots with saucers were used in full factorial design with the following three factors, (i) soil type (sterilized or unsterilized field soil), (ii) *A. petiolata* (exposed or unexposed), and (iii) *G. intraradices* inoculation type (the Swiss isolate B3, the Canadian isolate DAOM 197198, their mixture, or no inoculation). We prepared inocula for each of the two isolates by pooling and thoroughly homogenizing (with a spoon) eight 15-week-old ROC plates (9 cm diameter, containing M-medium, transformed carrot roots, hyphae and spores). The mixed inoculum consisted of a 1:1 (v:v) mixture of

the two *G. intraradices* inocula-types. We added 15 ml of inoculum to each pot. The non-mycorrhizal treatments received 15 ml of inoculum also obtained from ROC plates but containing uncolonized carrot roots and no AM fungi. The bottom of each pot consisted of a 200 ml layer of Turface (a montmorillonite clay, Turface Athletics MVP, Profile Products LLC, Buffalo Grove, IL, USA), which was covered with 200 ml of sterilized (steam autoclaved twice at 121 °C for 60 minutes two weeks before the start of the experiment) or unsterilized field soil. In pots containing *A. petiolata*, we transplanted single, flowering second-year *A. petiolata* plants of approximately equal size into this soil layer, with the *A. petiolata* main root centered in each pot. All *A. petiolata* plants used were collected in a 5 m X 5 m patch next to the Greenhouse of the Axelrod Building of the University of Guelph, approximately 1.5 km away from the LTMRs.

On the first day of the experiment (13 May 2007) second year *A. petiolata* plants of similar size were carefully dug up. Their roots were washed with water to remove all visible soil particles and then placed into pots. *G. intraradices* inoculum was equally distributed on top of the soil layer and all soils were then covered with 200 ml Turface. One hundred eighty *Sorghum vulgare* var. *sudanense* seeds (variety CADAN 99B, Browning Seed Inc., Plainview, TX, USA) were added to each pot and covered by a 2 cm layer of Turface. We chose *S. vulgare* as host species due to its capacity to establish symbioses with a wide range of AM isolates across the entire Glomeromycota (*i.e.* the INVAM culture collection, see <http://invam.caf.wvu.edu/index.html>, representing all major AM taxa). As such mycorrhizal responses to inoculations and/or *A. petiolata* introduction with this host may underestimate responses in other, more specialized, mycotrophic hosts. Three pots were established for each soil/*A. petiolata*/AM fungal treatment combination with the following exceptions. We set up four pots for both *A. petiolata* treatments with unsterilized field soil to which no *G. intraradices* was added. *A. petiolata* blend (see below) was accidentally added to one pot containing no *A. petiolata* plant. This pot was subsequently considered as +*A. petiolata* resulting in four pots of unsterilized field soil with isolate B3 and *A. petiolata*, and only two pots containing sterilized field soil, the isolate B3 and no *A. petiolata*. Each pot also received 2 ml of a microbial filtrate (made from a 200 ml vol : 200 ml vol mixture of field soil and soil recovered from the patch where *A. petiolata* plants and roots were sampled, stirred in 800 ml of sterile H₂O, and passed through a 20 µm mesh), to minimize differences in non-AM microorganisms. The pots were then randomly arranged on a greenhouse bench and watered three times per week as needed. Temperature in the greenhouse ranged from 23 to 35 °C. Day length was a

minimum of 16 h, supplemented with artificial lights from 6 am to 10 pm when necessary. Because *A. petiolata* plants started wilting within two weeks after transplantation, probably due to the transplant shock or natural senescence, we added additional *A. petiolata* extract to each pot that contained an *A. petiolata* plant on 7 June 2007. For this, we sampled and washed the roots of 30 second-year *A. petiolata* individuals from the same *A. petiolata* population, blended 109 g fresh weight (fw) *A. petiolata* roots in 1.7 L water and added 60 ml of the resulting filtrate to each pot. This equates to approximately 3.2 mg *A. petiolata* tissue equivalents per g soil, which has been estimated to represent expected exposure levels in the field (Callaway *et al.* 2008).

Plants were harvested on 11 July 2007. For each pot, we counted and excised all *Sorghum* shoots. Shoots were dried for three days at 70 °C and weighed. Roots were washed and representative subsamples of about 3 g fw were collected from each pot and stored in 50% ethanol and subsequently used for staining and quantification of AM fungal colonization (McGonigle *et al.* 1990). Root subsamples of about 0.5 g (fw) were collected into 1.5 ml Eppendorf tubes and immediately placed on ice. These subsamples were then stored at -80 °C before being freeze-dried for use in terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism (T-RFLP) analysis. This method enables AM fungal communities to be fingerprinted by analyzing gene polymorphism in a ~380 bp long section of the large subunit (LSU) rDNA (Mummey and Rillig 2007). Each subsample was individually shredded and a sub-sample of 20 mg weighed into each well of a 96 well block for DNA extraction (NucleoSpin® 96 Plant, Macherey-Nagel Inc., Bethlehem, PA, USA). A nested PCR protocol was used to amplify DNA from the AM fungi. The fungal community was amplified with LR1/FLR2 primers (Trouvelot *et al.* 1999; Van Tuinen *et al.* 1998). The PCR product was then used as template for a second PCR using the 5'-labeled primer pair FLR3-FAM/FLR4-VIC (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) to amplify AM fungi (Gollotte *et al.* 2004). Both PCRs were comprised of a 30 µl reaction mix containing final concentration of 1 x Green GoTaq® Reaction Buffer (Promega, Madison, WI, USA), 1.7 mM MgCl₂, 0.13 mM of each dNTP, 0.33 mM of each primer and 1.25 u GoTaq® DNA Polymerase and 1.5 µl of template DNA. Products of the first PCR were diluted 1/100 for the second PCR. Both PCRs consisted of an initial denaturation step at 93 °C for 3 min followed by 35 cycles (93 °C for 1 min, 58 °C for 1 min, 72 °C for 1 min) and a final extension step of 10 min at 72 °C in a Mastercycler®ep thermocycler (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany). PCR product sizes were verified by gel electrophoresis with a 1 kb GeneRuler™ DNA ladder (Fermentas, Burlington, ON, Canada) as a standard. After the second PCR,

products were purified using a QIAquick96® cleanup kit (Qiagen Inc.), before being separately digested with the restriction enzymes *AluI* and *MboI* (Invitrogen Inc., Burlington, ON, Canada). The restriction digestion, comprised of a 20 µl reaction mix containing 8 µl of purified PCR product (total of 60 ng DNA), 1 X REact®1 and 2 buffer (*AluI* and *MboI*, respectively) and 2 U of enzyme, was incubated for 4 h at 37 °C. T-RF sizes in each sample were determined using an ABI 3730 DNA Analyzer with LIZ-500 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California, U.S.A.) as the size standard.

Experiment 2: *In vitro* study

We also used ROCs for an *in vitro* assay (Becard and Fortin 1988; Koch et al. 2004) and manipulated the concentrations of *A. petiolata* extract in the M-Medium to test responses of the two *G. intraradices* isolates. In the control treatment, no *A. petiolata* extract was added. The *A. petiolata* extract consisted of a 1:1 (v:v) mixture of extracts enriched in flavonoids and glucosinolates isolated from first year *A. petiolata* plants as in Callaway et al. (2008). We used M-medium with five different *A. petiolata* extract concentrations (AECs), 0, 1.8, 3.6, 5.4 and 7.2 µl *A. petiolata* extract per ml M-Medium, respectively, to test whether different AECs affect growth of AM fungi. The second highest concentration used in our experiment (5.4 µl *A. petiolata* extract per ml M-Medium) corresponded approximately to the concentrations of the combined flavonoid and glucosinolate fractions used by Callaway et al. (2008) that was shown to negatively affect spore germination of AM fungi. For each isolate we inoculated five 25 ml Petri dish plates containing M-Medium with each of the five AECs, for a total of 50 plates. Thus, we had a factorial experimental design with two AM isolates and five AECs. We measured AM hyphal densities of each plate 21, 34, 46, 55, 69, 84 and 99 days after inoculation; lengths of carrot roots were measured 21, 34, 46, 69 and 105 days after inoculation as described previously (Koch et al. 2006; Koch et al. 2004).

Statistical analyses

In Experiment 1 AM community analysis consisted of determining the profile (sizes, peak heights and areas) of terminal restriction fragments (T-RFs) in each sample using GeneMapper® software v. 3.5 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California, U.S.A.). The Microsoft-Excel macro

Treeflap (Rees et al. 2004), available at <http://www.wsc.monash.edu.au/~cwalsh/treeflap.xls>, was used to convert fragment sizes to the nearest integer, aligning them with their respective peak heights side by side in two columns. We then developed an R script (R Development Core Team 2007; available upon request from the authors) that standardizes the amount of total fluorescence among sample profiles (Dunbar et al. 2001) and binary codes T-RFLP fingerprints. Presence/absence matrices for each enzyme/primer combination were combined into a single matrix. We used the pooled total number of detected T-RFs per sample as a surrogate of molecular diversity (*i.e.*, T-RF richness, indicative of AM fungal ribotype richness) and compared treatments by analysis of variance (ANOVA, see below). Only T-RF richness was considered in this study and not the height or area of peaks, because the latter parameters may not be indicative of relative abundance of ribotypes. The influence of inoculation and *A. petiolata* treatments and their interactions on community composition was tested by distance-based redundancy analysis (db-RDA) (Legendre and Anderson 1999). Bray Curtis' coefficients of similarity were first calculated between samples and used to compute principal coordinates in PrCoord 1.0 (part of Canoco version 4.51, Biometris, Wageningen, The Netherlands). All the principal coordinate axes (PCAs) were then exported to Canoco and treated as "species" data. Factors (*A. petiolata*, AM fungal addition and soil sterilization) were entered as dummy binary variables (one level per column) and their effects tested by using the combined levels of a factor as the explanatory variable in the model while removing the variance explained by the other factors by entering their levels as covariates. The significance of such models was tested with a Monte-Carlo test based on 999 permutations. Where appropriate we used forward selection of environmental variables and conducted Monte-Carlo permutation tests based on 999 permutations. The results of the ordination of the AM fungal community composition, as assessed by PCR-T-RFLP, were displayed as a PCA ordination diagram.

Growth traits of plants (total shoot dry weight of hosts, number of host shoots) and AM root colonization traits (percent root lengths colonized by arbuscules, vesicles and hyphae) were analyzed separately by multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA), followed by univariate ANOVA using fully factorial three-way models and planned contrast analyses (CoA). Additionally, since Callaway et al. (2008) found that *A. petiolata* affects North American AM fungi more negatively than their European counterparts, we compared these two *G. intraradices* isolates in a separate analysis to investigate whether a similar pattern could be detected. MANOVA and ANOVA results gave overall

qualitatively similar results. For simplicity, we present only significant F-statistics of (M)ANOVAs and CoAs in the result section. To meet the requirements of the statistical tests, variables were transformed if necessary (Zar 1984). In Experiment 2, we used the repeated measures of extraradical hyphal density (H) for each plate to estimate hyphal growth rates (gr) for consecutive measures at days y and z after the start of the experiment, as

$$\text{gr}(H_{yz}) = [H_z - H_y] * (z-y)^{-1}.$$

From these growth rates, the maximum hyphal gr and time when maximal growth occurred (estimated by the average of days y and z) were calculated for each of the 50 replicate plates. Response variables were analyzed by ANOVA and repeated measures ANOVA. Repeated measures ANOVA was performed on the last four dates only, because of non-normality of data (many zero-values) for the previous dates. In both experiments correlations among variables were assessed by Pearson's correlation coefficient *R* and (M)ANOVAs were performed with the statistical software JMP 5.0 (SAS Institute Inc. Cary, NC, USA).

Results

Experiment 1: AM fungal community responses assessed by T-RFLP

The detected T-RF richness in roots differed among AM inocula types ($F_{3,42} = 3.67$, $P < 0.05$, Fig. 1a). The highest T-RF richness was found in field soil, when no *G. intraradices* inoculum was added. Sterilizing the field soil reduced T-RF detection ($F_{1,42} = 21.23$, $P < 0.0001$), especially in the absence of *G. intraradices* addition. Removal of T-RFs belonging to *G. intraradices* profiles (corresponding to the samples from sterile soil) from T-RFLP profiles of *G. intraradices* inoculated field soil samples eliminated all detected T-RFs in three samples. Overall no direct effect of *A. petiolata* on T-RF richness was observed, except in sterilized field soil without *G. intraradices* addition where T-RF-richness was lower in presence of *A. petiolata*. However, the T-RF sizes present in these non-inoculated sterile controls were highly variable in quantity and sizes and inconsistent among replicates (data not shown). When analyzing field soil samples only, inoculation by *G. intraradices* significantly reduced overall T-RF richness (CoA, $F_{1,27} = 8.30$, $P < 0.01$), irrespective of presence or absence of *A. petiolata* or inoculum type. Db-RDA of AM fungal community assemblage in field soil

showed that the inoculation treatments accounted for a significant proportion of the variance (Trace = 0.44, $F = 5.84$, $P < 0.001$, Fig. 1b), whereas the presence of *A. petiolata* did not have a significant effect (Trace = 0.08, $F = 0.79$, $P < 0.88$). The analysis of *G. intraradices* treatments indicates that there was an overlap between soil sterilization treatments (Trace = 0.095, $F = 1.25$, $P < 0.15$), giving further support to the hypothesis that *G. intraradices* became dominant upon inoculation of field soil (Fig. 1b). In field soil, a significant proportion of the variance was explained by the different *G. intraradices* inocula (Trace = 0.17, F -ratio = 2.26, $P < 0.05$, Fig. 1b). As in sterilized soils, the two isolates of *G. intraradices* also formed separate clusters in field soil, whereas the samples of the mixture of the two isolates were clustered between the individual isolates (Fig. 1b).

Experiment 1: Fungal and plant growth responses

Our AM fungal inoculation treatments significantly differed ($F_{9,102} = 6.51$, $P < 0.0001$, Fig. 2). In sterilized soils, no AM-specific structures (*i.e.* vesicles and arbuscules) were observed in the roots of control plants that received no addition of *G. intraradices* inoculum (Fig. 2a and b). Overall fungal root colonization was lower in pots where the Canadian isolate was added compared with pots where the Swiss isolate was present either as a pure culture or a mixture (CoA, $F_{3,32} = 3.82$, $P < 0.05$). However, inoculation by *G. intraradices* in field soil did not significantly alter root colonization by AM fungi compared to non-inoculated samples (CoA, $F_{3,16} = 0.31$, $P = 0.81$). *A. petiolata* negatively affected levels of root colonization by AM fungi ($F_{3,32} = 3.16$, $P < 0.05$, Fig. 2). When analyzing only the effect of adding the Swiss and the Canadian isolate as pure cultures, *A. petiolata* significantly reduced fungal growth ($F_{3,14} = 5.01$, $P < 0.05$). Addition of the Swiss isolate resulted in higher root colonization than inoculation by the Canadian isolate ($F_{3,14} = 4.88$, $P < 0.05$), and we detected a significant three-way interaction between the two AM fungal isolates, *A. petiolata* and the sterilization of soil ($F_{3,14} = 3.85$, $P < 0.05$). In field soil *A. petiolata* reduced root colonization of both isolates similarly, whereas in sterilized soils, *A. petiolata* had a negative effect on growth of only the Canadian isolate.

Plant growth was significantly altered by sterilization and *G. intraradices* treatments ($F_{2,33} = 607.96$ and $F_{6,68} = 4.51$, $P < 0.001$ in both cases, respectively, Fig. 3). Sterilization of soils almost doubled plant shoot dry weight and tended to increase the number of shoots ($F_{2,33} = 607.96$, $P < 0.0001$, Fig. 3). There was a significant interaction between soil sterilization and AM fungal

inoculation (approximate $F_{6,68} = 5.01$, $P < 0.001$, Fig. 3). Adding *G. intraradices* to sterilized soils significantly reduced plant shoot weight overall relative to controls (no *G. intraradices* inoculum added; CoA, $F_{1,34} = 10.33$, $P < 0.01$). In field soil, addition of the isolate mixture resulted in the highest shoot dry weights and number of shoots. This interaction remained significant when the controls (no *G. intraradices* addition) were removed from the analysis ($F_{4,48} = 2.83$, $P < 0.05$). Neither did we detect a significant effect of *A. petiolata* on host growth ($F_{2,33} = 0.39$, $P = 0.68$) nor a significant *A. petiolata* interaction with any of the other main factors ($P > 0.58$ for all interactions, Fig. 3).

Experiment 2: *In vitro* study

Final hyphal lengths did not significantly differ between the two isolates ($F_{1,40} < 0.01$, $P = 0.96$), but significantly decreased with increasing concentration of *A. petiolata* extract in the growth medium ($F_{4,40} = 3.81$, $P < 0.01$; Fig. 4a). Maximal hyphal growth rates were positively correlated with the final hyphal density ($R = 0.74$, $P < 0.0001$) and the two isolates differed significantly when maximal hyphal growth occurred ($F_{1,40} = 12.82$, $P < 0.01$; Fig. 4b). The Canadian isolate had a delayed maximal growth relative to the Swiss isolate, especially at intermediate AECs. However, when no *A. petiolata* extract was added and at high AECs, responses of the two isolates were similar. These trends explained the significant 3-way interaction among measurement date, *A. petiolata* treatment and isolate in a repeated measures ANOVA on hyphal lengths (approximate $F_{12,120} = 1.97$, $P < 0.05$). The Swiss isolate had transiently higher hyphal densities than the Canadian isolate after 55 and 69 days of growth, especially at low AECs (data not shown). Final root lengths were not significantly altered by any of the treatments (data not shown) and were negatively correlated with hyphal densities at the last measurement ($R = -0.3020$, $P < 0.05$).

Discussion

AM fungal community and host plant responses to inoculation by *G. intraradices*

We used T-RFLP to characterize AM fungal communities in host plant roots. In our greenhouse study, addition of *G. intraradices* inocula to a native Canadian field soil resulted in a

341 drastic decrease of detected T-RFs obtained from roots (Fig. 1). Since we also characterized T-RFLP
 342 profiles of *G. intraradices* in sterilized substrates, we were able to estimate to which extent the shift in
 343 community assemblage was toward the introduced profiles. We found that addition of *G. intraradices*
 344 to field soil clearly had a negative effect on native AM diversity. However, due to limitations of the
 345 technique (Avis et al. 2006), we cannot conclude that *G. intraradices* outcompeted all native AM
 346 fungi. Fungi occurring at low frequency may have not been detected, and some *G. intraradices* specific
 347 T-RFs were also present in the field soil. However, our findings suggest that, irrespective of the
 348 identity of the isolate, introduced *G. intraradices* successfully established and became dominant. This
 349 finding is relevant since the Canadian isolate used in our experiments is currently spread worldwide as
 350 commercial inoculum. Our inoculum was obviously very infective, demonstrating that some
 351 commercial inocula have the potential to establish and (at least temporarily) disrupt the diversity of
 352 local AM fungal communities. To assess the impact of introduction of exotic AM fungi to native AM
 353 fungal communities we call for long-term studies and AM community surveys of field sites where
 354 fungal inoculants have been applied in the past. Potential benefits in plant growth should be weighed
 355 with the possibility of irreversible long-term alterations of soil microbial communities when applying
 356 non-native inocula. Consistent with our findings, pre-inoculation of plants with two *Glomus* strains
 357 greatly decreased the detected ribotype richness of another native AM fungal community in roots of
 358 hosts that were transplanted into field soil, relative to plants that were not pre-inoculated (Mummey et
 359 al. 2009). In contrast, inoculation of an agricultural soil with a commercial inoculant containing the
 360 Canadian isolate of *G. intraradices* at the rate of application recommended by the supplier did not
 361 affect the resident AM fungal community in maize roots (Antunes et al. 2009). These findings suggest
 362 that successful establishment of an inoculant may depend on the amount and type of inoculum and/or
 363 the diversity and genotypic identities found in the target community. Limited inoculum addition may
 364 reduce both the inoculants initial rate of establishment and its subsequent impact on native AM fungi.
 365 In a more natural setting (*i.e.*, unmanaged soils) where native AM fungi may already have formed
 366 intact hyphal networks and colonized most of the plant roots, additional non-native AM fungi may be
 367 less likely to become problematic invaders. Success of AM fungal isolates also depends on the identity
 368 of host plants (Ehinger et al. 2009; Klironomos 2003) and other environmental factors such as the local
 369 climate, soil properties, or the local AM community composition (Maherali and Klironomos 2007). An
 370 AM fungal inoculant could also directly interact with resident genotypes of the same species, since

371 closely related, but genetically distinct AM fungi can anastomose and exchange genetic information
 372 (Croll et al. 2009; Croll and Sanders 2009). Whether or not the addition of an inoculant is beneficial or
 373 harmful to its native conspecifics or AM fungal diversity remains to be explored. Our findings
 374 highlight that, at least at high inoculum application rates, introduced non-native AM fungi may
 375 negatively impact resident AM fungi. Future experiments should also investigate whether repeated
 376 inoculant-applications at lower rates would have similar effects.

377 Plant growth was strongly enhanced in sterilized field soil, likely a result of combined effects
 378 of nutrient release, or a reduced presence of soil biota or pathogens. Relative to non-inoculated
 379 controls, *G. intraradices* addition decreased host growth in sterilized field soil, possibly by competition
 380 for nutrients, similar to the negative correlation between plant and fungal growth observed in
 381 Experiment 2. On the other hand, in field soil where a native community was already present,
 382 inoculation by *G. intraradices* increased the number of shoots, possibly by enhancing seed
 383 germination, seedling survival (van der Heijden 2004) or protection from root pathogens (Sikes et al.
 384 2009, Wehner et al., 2010). Interestingly, we also found that the combined mixture of both *G.*
 385 *intraradices* isolates had different effects on plant growth relative to separate effects of the two
 386 isolates, revealing an unexpected intraspecific synergism. This suggests that functional
 387 complementarity may not only occur among (Jansa et al. 2008; Maherali and Klironomos 2007), but
 388 also within AM fungal species. Thus, environmental factors, genotype identity and diversity within
 389 AM species alter host plant performance from antagonistic to beneficial (Koch et al. 2006), possibly
 390 due to altered resource uptake or pathogen protection (Johnson et al. 1997; Newsham et al. 1995).

392 Host plant and AM fungal community responses to *A. petiolata*

394 Allelochemicals produced by certain invasive plants, including *A. petiolata*, have been
 395 reported to limit the growth of native plants (Bais et al. 2003; Dorning and Cipollini 2006; Ridenour
 396 and Callaway 2001; Stinson et al. 2006). However, *A. petiolata* did not significantly alter the growth of
 397 host plants in our experiments. Thus AM-inhibitory effects caused by *A. petiolata*, as evidenced by an
 398 overall reduction in levels of AM root colonization and hyphal growth, corroborate previous reports of
 399 negative effects of *A. petiolata* allelochemicals on mycorrhizal fungi (Callaway et al. 2008; Roberts
 400 and Anderson 2001; Stinson et al. 2006; Wolfe et al. 2008). Contrary to our hypothesis, *A. petiolata* did

not significantly affect community composition and richness of local AM fungi found in field soil in our greenhouse study. This suggests that different native AM fungal taxa were affected similarly by *A. petiolata*. Exposure to actively growing first-year rosettes, which are known to vary in chemistry from second year plants, may have different effects. In sterilized substrates *A. petiolata* altered TRF-composition only of non-mycorrhizal controls, but these effects are likely biologically meaningless resulting from unspecific primer binding, since no AM fungi were visible in these roots. On the other hand *A. petiolata* had a more negative effect on the growth of the Canadian isolate than on the Swiss isolate in both experiments. Even though we have not tested replicate *G. intraradices* isolates from Europe and North America, these findings support the existence of transcontinental divergence in *A. petiolata*-tolerance as suggested by the findings of Callaway et al. (2008); random effects are unlikely to produce such a predicted pattern. However, in field soil without *G. intraradices* inoculation *A. petiolata* did not entirely suppress AM root colonization by local AM fungi, which could reflect sub-lethal concentrations of allelochemicals, possibly due to microbial degradation of *A. petiolata* metabolites in field soil (Barto and Cipollini 2009; Gimsing et al. 2007; Gimsing et al. 2006; Tsao et al. 2000) or a certain inherent tolerance of local AM to *A. petiolata*. The latter interpretation is consistent with findings of two recent studies that analyzed AM communities in host plants from patches that were invaded or uninvaded by *A. petiolata*. First-year *A. petiolata* plants did not affect AM fungal T-RF richness within roots of sugar maple seedlings from eight sites in Ohio and Massachusetts, but altered the AM fungal community composition in half of the sites monitored (Barto E. K., unpublished data). Similarly, *A. petiolata* did not alter levels of AM root colonization of three plant species at one site in Pennsylvania and only affected AM communities in the roots of one plant species (Burke 2008).

The potential role of soil microbes in co-evolutionary outcomes for invasive species

In our short-term study, introducing non-native AM isolates to a native AM community had much more drastic effects than introducing *A. petiolata*. The introduced *G. intraradices* isolates became dominant, implying that drastic frequency shifts had occurred within the native AM fungal community inside host plant roots. Undoubtedly, long-term field studies are required to test whether a successful short-term establishment is also indicative of persistence. In light of our results, however,

current practices of commercial AM fungal inocula applications to field sites means accepting the risks of potentially irreversible microbial invasions of unknown consequences (Schwartz et al. 2006).

Reported negative effects of *A. petiolata* on AM fungi and AM-dependent plants may enhance the success of *A. petiolata* in North America at some invaded sites (Callaway et al. 2008; Stinson et al. 2006). Thus, invasive species act as a new selective force altering above- and belowground native populations and communities in invaded sites. Selection for more *A. petiolata*-tolerant soil microbes, decomposers of *A. petiolata* phytochemicals, native natural enemies, or less toxic *A. petiolata* may counteract the success of and spread of *A. petiolata* over time (Burke 2008; Enright and Cipollini 2007; Lankau et al. 2009). The numerous on-going biological invasions around the globe offer possibilities to study ongoing co-evolutionary dynamics of native communities. An analysis of invasions by various organisms showed that many invasions become regulated at some point in time (Arim et al. 2006). This does not lessen the negative impacts that invaders cause locally, but rather suggests that there are mechanisms that regulate species in their new habitats. Invasive species find themselves in a novel environment, facing new selective pressures, which can result in evolutionary changes or niche shifts (Broennimann et al. 2007; Lee 2002; Schlaepfer et al. 2005). However, for many soil microbes, and AM fungi in particular, such investigations have rarely been undertaken. Even though these organisms escape our direct sight, they do have important effects on ecosystem function (Rillig 2004) and should not be ignored.

Acknowledgements

We thank Michael Mucci and Lindsay Wilson for technical assistance. Isabelle Meusnier and the Biodiversity Institute of Ontario helped us with the T-RFLP analysis. A.M.K. was supported by fellowships from the Swiss National Science Foundation (PBLAA-114210 and PAOOA-119519) and the Roche Research Foundation. D.L.M. was supported by grants from National Science Foundation Ecology (0515904) and United States Department of Agriculture - Cooperative State Research, Education, and Extension Service (2005-35320-16267). We also thank the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada for financial support.

References

- Agrawal AA, Kotanen PM, Mitchell CE, Power AG, Godsoe W, Klironomos J (2005) Enemy release? An experiment with congeneric plant pairs and diverse above- and belowground enemies. *Ecol* 86:2979-2989
- Antunes PM, Koch AM, Dunfield KE, Hart MM, Downing A, Rillig MC, Klironomos JN (2009) Influence of commercial inoculation with *Glomus intraradices* on the structure and functioning of an AM fungal community from an agricultural site. *Plant Soil* 317:257-266
- Arim M, Abades SR, Neill PE, Lima M, Marquet PA (2006) Spread dynamics of invasive species. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 103:374-378
- Avis PG, Dickie IA, Mueller GM (2006) A 'dirty' business: testing the limitations of terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism (TRFLP) analysis of soil fungi. *Mol Ecol* 15:873-882
- Bais HP, Vepachedu R, Gilroy S, Callaway RM, Vivanco JM (2003) Allelopathy and exotic plant invasion: From molecules and genes to species interactions. *Science* 301:1377-1380
- Barto EK, Cipollini D (2009) Half-lives and field soil concentrations of *Alliaria petiolata* secondary metabolites. *Chemosphere* 76:71-75
- Becard G, Fortin JA (1988) Early events of vesicular arbuscular mycorrhiza formation on Ri T-DNA transformed roots. *New Phytol* 108:211-218
- Broennimann O, Treier UA, Muller-Scharer H, Thuiller W, Peterson AT, Guisan A (2007) Evidence of climatic niche shift during biological invasion. *Ecol Lett* 10:701-709
- Burke DJ (2008) Effects of *Alliaria petiolata* (garlic mustard; Brassicaceae) on mycorrhizal colonization and community structure in three herbaceous plants in a mixed deciduous forest. *Am J Bot* 95:1416-1425
- Callaway RM, Cipollini D, Barto K, Thelen GC, Hallett SG, Prati D, Stinson K, Klironomos J (2008) Novel weapons: Invasive plant suppresses fungal mutualists in America but not in its native Europe. *Ecol* 89:1043-1055
- Callaway RM, Ridenour WM (2004) Novel weapons: invasive success and the evolution of increased competitive ability. *Front Ecol Environ* 2:436-443
- Callaway RM, Thelen GC, Rodriguez A, Holben WE (2004) Soil biota and exotic plant invasion. *Nature* 427:731-733
- Croll D, Giovannetti M, Koch AM, Sbrana C, Ehinger M, Lammers PJ, Sanders IR (2009) Nonspecific vegetative fusion and genetic exchange in the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus *Glomus intraradices*. *New Phytol* 181:924-937
- Croll D, Sanders IR (2009) Recombination in *Glomus intraradices*, a supposed ancient asexual arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 9
- Croll D, Wille L, Gamper HA, Mathimaran N, Lammers PJ, Corradi N, Sanders IR (2008) Genetic diversity and host plant preferences revealed by simple sequence repeat and mitochondrial markers in a population of the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus *Glomus intraradices*. *New Phytol* 178:672-687
- Desprez-Loustau M-L, Robin C, Buée M, Courtecuisse R, Garbaye J, Suffert F, Sache I, Rizzo DM (2007) The fungal dimension of biological invasions. *Trends Ecol Evol* 22:472-480
- Dorning M, Cipollini D (2006) Leaf and root extracts of the invasive shrub, *Lonicera maackii*, inhibit seed germination of three herbs with no autotoxic effects. *Plant Ecol* 184:287-296
- Dunbar J, Ticknor LO, Kuske CR (2001) Phylogenetic specificity and reproducibility and new method for analysis of terminal restriction fragment profiles of 16S rRNA genes from bacterial communities. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 67:190-197
- Ehinger M, Koch AM, Sanders IR (2009) Changes in arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal phenotypes and genotypes in response to plant species identity and phosphorus concentration. *New Phytol* 184:412-423
- Enright SM, Cipollini D (2007) Infection by powdery mildew *Erysiphe cruciferarum* (Erysiphaceae) strongly affects growth and fitness of *Alliaria petiolata* (Brassicaceae). *Am J Bot* 94:1813-1820
- Funk JL, Vitousek PM (2007) Resource-use efficiency and plant invasion in low-resource systems. *Nature* 446:1079-1081
- Gimsing AL, Sorensen JC, Strobel BW, Hansen HCB (2007) Adsorption of glucosinolates to metal oxides, clay minerals and humic acid. *Appl Clay Sci* 35:212-217
- Gimsing AL, Sorensen JC, Tovgaard L, Jorgensen AMF, Hansen HCB (2006) Degradation kinetics of glucosinolates in soil. *Environ Tox Chem* 25:2038-2044

- Gollotte A, van Tuinen D, Atkinson D (2004) Diversity of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi colonising roots of the grass species *Agrostis capillaris* and *Lolium perenne* in a field experiment. *Mycorrhiza* 14:111-117
- Grime JP, Mackey JML, Hillier SH, Read DJ (1987) Floristic diversity in a model system using experimental microcosms. *Nature* 328:420-422
- Hart MM, Klironomos JN (2002) Diversity of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and ecosystem functioning. In: van der Heijden, M. G. A. and Sanders, I. R. (eds.), *Mycorrhizal Ecology*. Springer, pp. 225-242
- Hawkes CV, Belnap J, D'Antonio C, Firestone MK (2006) Arbuscular mycorrhizal assemblages in native plant roots change in the presence of invasive exotic grasses. *Plant and Soil* 281:369-380
- Hooper DU, Chapin FS, Ewel JJ, Hector A, Inchausti P, Lavorel S, Lawton JH, Lodge DM, Loreau M, Naeem S, Schmid B, Setälä H, Symstad AJ, Vandermeer J, Wardle DA (2005) Effects of biodiversity on ecosystem functioning: A consensus of current knowledge. *Ecol Monogr* 75:3-35
- Jansa J, Smith FA, Smith SE (2008) Are there benefits of simultaneous root colonization by different arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi? *New Phytol* 177:779-789
- Johnson NC, Graham JH, Smith FA (1997) Functioning of mycorrhizal associations along the mutualism-parasitism continuum. *New Phytol* 135:575-586
- Klironomos JN (2002) Feedback with soil biota contributes to plant rarity and invasiveness in communities. *Nature* 417:67-70
- Klironomos JN (2003) Variation in plant response to native and exotic arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Ecol* 84:2292-2301
- Koch AM, Croll D, Sanders IR (2006) Genetic variability in a population of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi causes variation in plant growth. *Ecol Lett* 9:103-110
- Koch AM, Kuhn G, Fontanillas P, Fumagalli L, Goudet J, Sanders IR (2004) High genetic variability and low local diversity in a population of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 101:2369-2374
- Lankau RA, Nuzzo V, Spyreas G, Davis AS (2009) Evolutionary limits ameliorate the negative impact of an invasive plant. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 109:15362-15367
- Lee CE (2002) Evolutionary genetics of invasive species. *Trends Ecol Evol* 17:386-391
- Legendre P, Anderson MJ (1999) Distance-based redundancy analysis: Testing multispecies responses in multifactorial ecological experiments. *Ecol Monogr* 69:1-24
- Lockwood JL, Cassey P, Blackburn T (2005) The role of propagule pressure in explaining species invasions. *Trends Ecol Evol* 20:223-228
- Maherali H, Klironomos JN (2007) Influence of phylogeny on fungal community assembly and ecosystem functioning. *Science* 316:1746-1748
- Martin F, Gianinazzi-Pearson V, Hijri M, Lammers P, Requena N, Sanders IR, Shachar-Hill Y, Shapiro H, Tuskan GA, Young JPW (2008) The long hard road to a completed *Glomus intraradices* genome. *New Phytol* 180:747-750
- McGonigle TP, Miller MH, Evans DG, Fairchild GL, Swan JA (1990) A new method which gives an objective-measure of colonization of roots by vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *New Phytol* 115:495-501
- Meekins JF, McCarthy BC (1999) Competitive ability of *Alliaria petiolata* (garlic mustard, Brassicaceae), an invasive, nonindigenous forest herb. *Int J Plant Sci* 160:743-752
- Mummey DL, Antunes PM, Rillig MC (2009) Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi pre-inoculant identity determines community composition in roots. *Soil Biol Biochem* 1173-1179
- Mummey DL, Rillig MC (2006) The invasive plant species *Centaurea maculosa* alters arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal communities in the field. *Plant Soil* 288:81-90
- Mummey DL, Rillig MC (2007) Evaluation of LSU rRNA-gene PCR primers for analysis of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal communities via terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis. *J Microbiol Methods* 70:200-204
- Newsham KK, Fitter AH, Watkinson AR (1995) Multi-functionality and biodiversity in arbuscular mycorrhizas. *Trends Ecol Evol* 10:407-411
- Opik M, Moora M, Liira J, Zobel M (2006) Composition of root-colonizing arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal communities in different ecosystems around the globe. *J Ecol* 94:778-790
- Prati D, Bossdorf O (2004) Allelopathic inhibition of germination by *Alliaria petiolata* (Brassicaceae). *Am J Bot* 91:285-288
- Rees GN, Baldwin DS, Watson GO, Perryman S, Nielsen DL (2004) Ordination and significance testing of microbial community composition derived from terminal restriction fragment length polymorphisms: application of multivariate statistics. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek Int J Gen Mol Biol* 86:339-347

- Remy W, Taylor TN, Hass H, Kerp H (1994) Four-hundred-million-year-old vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizae. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 91:11841-11843
- Ridenour WM, Callaway RM (2001) The relative importance of allelopathy in interference: the effects of an invasive weed on a native bunchgrass. *Oecologia* 126:444-450
- Rillig MC (2004) Arbuscular mycorrhizae and terrestrial ecosystem processes. *Ecol Lett* 7:740-754
- Roberts KJ, Anderson RC (2001) Effect of garlic mustard *Alliaria petiolata* (Beib. Cavara & Grande) extracts on plants and arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi. *Am Midland Nat* 146:146-152
- Schlaepfer MA, Sherman PW, Blossey B, Runge MC (2005) Introduced species as evolutionary traps. *Ecol Lett* 8:241-246
- Schwartz MW, Hoeksema JD, Gehring CA, Johnson NC, Klironomos JN, Abbott LK, Pringle A (2006) The promise and the potential consequences of the global transport of mycorrhizal fungal inoculum. *Ecol Lett* 9:501-515
- Sikes BA, Cottenie K, Klironomos JN (2009) Plant and fungal identity determines pathogen protection of plant roots by arbuscular mycorrhizas. *J Ecol* 97:1274-1280
- Smith SE, Read DJ (2008) *Mycorrhizal Symbiosis*. Academic Press, London, U.K.
- Stinson KA, Campbell SA, Powell JR, Wolfe BE, Callaway RM, Thelen GC, Hallett SG, Prati D, Klironomos JN (2006) Invasive plant suppresses the growth of native tree seedlings by disrupting belowground mutualisms. *PLoS Biology* 4:727-731
- Trouvelot S, van Tuinen D, Hijri M, Gianinazzi-Pearson V (1999) Visualization of ribosomal DNA loci in spore interphasic nuclei of glomalean fungi by fluorescence in situ hybridization. *Mycorrhiza* 8:203-206
- Tsao R, Yu Q, Friesen I, Potter J, Chiba M (2000) Factors affecting the dissolution and degradation of oriental mustard-derived sinigrin and allyl isothiocyanate in aqueous media. *J Agri Food Chem* 48:1898-1902
- van der Heijden MGA (2004) Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi as support systems for seedling establishment in grassland. *Ecol Lett* 7:293-303
- van der Heijden MGA, Klironomos JN, Ursic M, Moutoglou P, Streitwolf-Engel R, Boller T, Wiemken A, Sanders IR (1998) Mycorrhizal fungal diversity determines plant biodiversity, ecosystem variability and productivity. *Nature* 396:69-72
- van der Putten WH, Klironomos JN, Wardle DA (2007) Microbial ecology of biological invasions. *ISME J* 1:28-37
- van Tuinen D, Jacquot E, Zhao B, Gollotte A, Gianinazzi-Pearson V (1998) Characterization of root colonization profiles by a microcosm community of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi using 25S rDNA-targeted nested PCR. *Mol Ecol* 7:879-887
- Vaughn SF, Berhow MA (1999) Allelochemicals isolated from tissues of the invasive weed garlic mustard (*Alliaria petiolata*). *J Chem Ecol* 25:2495-2504
- Wehner J, Antunes PM, Powell JR, Mazukato J, Rillig MC (2010) Plant pathogen protection by mycorrhizas: diversity takes central role. *Pedobiol.*, 53: 197-201
- Wolfe BE, Rodgers VL, Stinson KA, Pringle A (2008) The invasive plant *Alliaria petiolata* (garlic mustard) inhibits ectomycorrhizal fungi in its introduced range. *J Ecol* 96:777-783
- Zar JH (1984) *Biostatistical analysis*, 2nd Ed. Englewood Cliffs, NJ, USA: Prentice-Hall
- Zhang Q, Yao LJ, Yang RY, Yang XY, Tang JJ, Chen X (2007) Potential allelopathic effects of an invasive species *Solidago canadensis* on the mycorrhizae of native plant species. *Allelopath J* 20:71-77

Figure Legends:

Fig. 1 Experiment 1. a) Effect of *A. petiolata* and AM fungal inoculation on the average number of detected T-RFLP fragments (T-RFs) in field and sterilized field soil. Bars represent mean values ($\pm$ S.E.M.). *G. intraradices* inoculation treatments are abbreviated as NA (no *G. intraradices* inoculum added), +CA (addition of the Canadian *G. intraradices* isolate), +CH (addition of the Swiss *G. intraradices* isolate), and +Mix (addition of a 1:1 mixture of both isolates). b) Ordination diagram of principal components 1 and 2 (Axis 1 and Axis 2) obtained from distance-based redundancy analysis. The distance between symbols (each representing an individual sample) in the diagram approximates the dissimilarity of their T-RF composition measured by their Euclidean distance. Different symbols and shadings represent inoculation treatments and soil sterilization treatments, respectively (see sub-panel in Figure). NA samples from sterilized soils were highly irregular due to unspecific primer binding and, are not shown. +/- *A. petiolata* samples are not marked differently because *A. petiolata* did not have a significant effect on T-RF composition. Numbers in parentheses indicate the amount of variance accounted for by each principal component axis.

Fig. 2 Experiment 1. Effect of *A. petiolata* and AM fungal inoculation on the percentage of *S. vulgare* root length colonized by a) arbuscules, b) vesicles and c) hyphae in field and sterilized field soil. Bars represent mean values ($\pm$ S.E.M.). Figure captions are as in Fig. 1a.

Fig. 3 Experiment 1. Effect of *A. petiolata* and AM fungal inoculation on total shoot dry weight a), and number of shoots b) of *S. vulgare* at harvest in field and sterilized field soil. Figure captions are as in Fig. 1a.

Fig. 4 Experiment 2. a) Effect of *A. petiolata* extract on extraradical hyphal densities after 99 days of growth and b) on the average number of days needed since the onset before attaining maximal hyphal growth. Mean values ($\pm$ S.E.M.) of the two isolates are represented.