Wright State University CORE Scholar

Browse all Theses and Dissertations

Theses and Dissertations

2023

Efficient Cloud-based ML-Approach for Safe Smart Cities

Niveshitha Niveshitha Wright State University

Follow this and additional works at: https://corescholar.libraries.wright.edu/etd_all

Part of the Computer Engineering Commons, and the Computer Sciences Commons

Repository Citation

Niveshitha, Niveshitha, "Efficient Cloud-based ML-Approach for Safe Smart Cities" (2023). *Browse all Theses and Dissertations*. 2797. https://corescholar.libraries.wright.edu/etd_all/2797

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the Theses and Dissertations at CORE Scholar. It has been accepted for inclusion in Browse all Theses and Dissertations by an authorized administrator of CORE Scholar. For more information, please contact library-corescholar@wright.edu.

EFFICIENT CLOUD-BASED ML-APPROACH FOR SAFE SMART CITIES ENVIRONMENT

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science

By

NIVESHITHA NIVESHITHA B.Tech., Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University, India, 2021

2023 Wright State University

WRIGHT STATE UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF GRADUATE PROGRAMS AND HONORS STUDIES

April 26, 2023

I HEREBY RECOMMEND THAT THE THESIS PREPARED UNDER MY SUPERVISION BY <u>Niveshitha Niveshitha</u> ENTITLED <u>Efficient Cloud-based</u> <u>ML-approach for Safe Smart Cities Environment</u> BE ACCEPTED IN PARTIAL <u>FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF Master of</u> <u>Science</u>.

> Fathi Amsaad, Ph.D. Thesis Director

Thomas Wischgoll, Ph.D. Chair, Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Committee on Final Examination:

Fathi Amsaad, Ph.D

Thomas Wischgoll, Ph.D

Travis E. Doom, Ph.D

Kenneth Hopkinson, Ph.D

Shu Schiller, Ph.D. Interim Dean, College of Graduate Programs & Honors Studies

Abstract

Niveshitha, Niveshitha. M.S, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Wright State University, 2023. Efficient Cloud-based ML-approach for Safe Smart Cities Environment

Smart cities have emerged to tackle many critical problems that can thwart the overwhelming urbanization process, such as traffic jams, environmental pollution, expensive health care, and increasing energy demand. This Master thesis proposes efficient and high-quality cloud-based machine-learning solutions for efficient and sustainable smart cities environment.

Different supervised machine-learning models for air quality predication (AQP) in efficient and sustainable smart cities environment is developed. For that, MLbased techniques are implemented using cloud-based solutions. For example, regression and classification methods are implemented using distributed cloud computing to forecast air execution time and accuracy of the implemented ML solution. These models are utilized to forecast AQI (air quality index) with the help of pollutants in the air such as particulate matter (PM10), ozone (O3), nitric oxide (NO), particulate matter (PM2.5), nitric x-oxide (NOx), sulphur dioxide (SO2), benzene, toluene, carbon monoxide (CO), xylene, ammonia (NH3), and nitrogen dioxide (NO2).

Various formals like mean squared error, R2 score, mean absolute error, and root mean squared error are utilized to validate or test the designed models. As classification models, we perform the support vector machine and random forest algorithms, which are measured using the accuracy score and confusion matrix.

Execution times and accuracy of the developed models are computed and contrasted with the times for the cloud-based versions of these models. The results reveal that lasso regression outperforms linear regression among the regression algorithms. Also, out of the classification models, the random forest algorithm performs better than the support vector machine approach.

In conclusion, our findings demonstrate that run-time is minimized when models are executed on a cloud platform compared to a desktop machine. Moreover, the accuracy of our models is maintained with reduced execution time.

Contents

1	INT	TRODUCTION	1
	1.1	Overview	1
	1.2	Problem Statement	3
	1.3	Thesis Organisation	5
2	BA	CKGROUND	6
	2.1	Air Pollution in Smart Cities	6
		2.1.1 Air Quality Index Calculation	6
	2.2	Machine Learning	8
		2.2.1 Regression	9
		2.2.2 Classification \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots	11
	2.3	Cloud Computing	15
		2.3.1 Infrastructure as a Service	16
		2.3.2 Software as a Service	16
		2.3.3 Platform as a Service	16

3 RELATED WORK

	3.1	Machine Learning based Smart City Applications	18
		3.1.1 Regression models	20
		3.1.2 Classification Model	21
	3.2	Deep Learning Approaches	22
	3.3	Cloud Enabled AI Approaches	24
4	DA'	TA AND EXPERIMENTAL SETUP	25
	4.1	Data Collection	26
	4.2	Data Pre-processing	27
	4.3	Feature Selection	28
	4.4	Splitting Data	29
	4.5	Balancing the Data	30
	4.6	Machine Learning Techniques	31
		4.6.1 Regression models	31
		4.6.2 Classification Models	35
	4.7	Cloud Computing	37
		4.7.1 AWS Cloud Platform	37
		4.7.2 Amazon SageMaker	38

		4.7.3	Benefits of SageMaker	39					
		4.7.4	SageMaker Architecture	40					
5	5 EVALUATION METRICS								
	5.1	Regre	ssion	42					
		5.1.1	Mean Absolute Error (MAE)	43					
		5.1.2	Mean Square Error	44					
		5.1.3	Root Mean Squared Error	45					
		5.1.4	R2 Score	45					
	5.2	Classi	fication	46					
		5.2.1	Confusion Matrix	47					
		5.2.2	Precision	48					
		5.2.3	Recall	48					
		5.2.4	F1 Score	49					
6	RE	SULTS	S AND CONCLUSION	50					
	6.1	Result	55	50					
		6.1.1	Regression	50					
		6.1.2	Classification	58					
		6.1.3	Cloud Platform	62					

	6.2	Discussion	65
	6.3	Conclusion	65
R	EFEI	RENCES	67
A	App	pendix	76
в	App	pendix	80
\mathbf{C}	App	pendix	84

List of Figures

1.1	Challenges in Smart City	2
2.1	Machine Learning model	9
2.2	Linear Regression	11
2.3	Classification Model	12
2.4	Bagging Principle	14
2.5	Cloud Computing Services	15
3.1	Machine learning based solutions for Smart City	19
4.1	General Model Flow Diagram	25
4.2	Correlation Matrix	29
4.3	Barplot for AQI Range	30
4.4	Linear and Polynomial Regression	32
4.5	Multi-class Classification	35
4.6	Support Vector Machine	36

4.7	Steps in Random Forest Algorithm	37
4.8	SageMaker Architecture	41
5.1	Confusion Matrix	47
6.1	Actual vs Predicted graph for Air Quality in India(2015-2019) dataset using linear regression	51
6.2	Actual vs Predicted graph for Air Quality in India(2015-2019) dataset using lasso regression	51
6.3	Actual vs Predicted graph for Air Quality in India(2020) dataset using linear regression	53
6.4	Actual vs Predicted graph for Air Quality in India(2020) dataset using lasso regression	53
6.5	Actual vs Predicted graph for Air Quality in India(2021-2022) dataset using linear regression	54
6.6	Actual vs Predicted graph for Air Quality in India(2021-2022) dataset using lasso regression	54
6.7	Comparison of R2 Scores for all Datasets	57
6.8	Confusion Matrix of Random forest model	59
6.9	Confusion Matrix of Support vector machine model	59
6.10	Comparison of F1 Scores for all Datasets	62
6.11	Execution Time in seconds	64

List of Tables

2.1	AQI Range in India.	8
4.1	Features of the datasets with description	27
6.1	Evaluation of Regression models for India (2015-2019) dataset	55
6.2	Evaluation of Regression models for $India(2020)$ dataset	56
6.3	Evaluation of Regression models for India (2021-2022) dataset	56
6.4	Evaluation of Classification models for $\mathrm{India}(2015\text{-}2019)$ dataset	60
6.5	Evaluation of Classification models for $India(2020)$ dataset	61
6.6	Evaluation of Classification models for $\mathrm{India}(2021\text{-}2022)$ dataset	61
6.7	Execution Times.	63

Acknowledgment

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my thesis advisor, Professor Dr.Fathi Amsaad, for his valuable guidance, encouragement, and support throughout the research process. I would like to acknowledge Wright State University for providing me with the necessary resources, facilities, and funding to carry out my research. I am deeply grateful to my family, friends, and colleagues for their continuous support and encouragement. Their belief in me has been a source of inspiration and motivation throughout my academic journey.

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Overview

Smart cities have several definitions and cannot be wrapped into a single phrase. In simpler terms, a "smart city" represents a more efficient and environmentally friendly urban area. The principal purpose of this approach is to enable sustainable life for the masses through digital interconnections [1]. To provide individuals with a good standard of living, it manages the resources and services of a city using data from sensors and electrical gadgets. Smart cities use ICT (information and communication technology) to reduce costs and resource consumption [2]. Artificial intelligence, IoT (Internet of Things), big data analysis, and machine learning are some techniques implemented in smart cities. Smart city applications rely on four critical features: sustainability, comfort, quality of life, urbanization, and intelligence [3]. Cities must overcome several obstacles during the design and development phases to incorporate these features. These difficulties include excessive energy use, a lack of adequate infrastructure, security, privacy concerns resulting from the volume of data used, pollution, and a lack of funding. Many developments were made in an effort to address these challenges listed in Figure 1.1 below.

In this study, we will focus on the issue of air pollution in smart cities. Air pollution refers to the contamination of the air with gases, biological molecules, and poisonous particles. Natural calamities like volcanic eruptions and man-made activities, including automobile emissions and industrial by-products, are the



Figure 1.1: Challenges in Smart City

fundamental causes of air pollution [4]. These causes provoke severe threats in human bodies, including skin infections, lung cancer, bronchitis, and asthma, and they can also impact animals, plants, and other species [5]. Air pollutants are gases, chemicals, and other polluting elements in the atmosphere. These include nitric oxide, sulfur dioxide, toluene, ozone, nitric x-oxide, particulate matter, ammonia, xylene, nitrogen dioxide, benzene, and carbon monoxide. The increased demand for industries and automobiles in urban locations due to the growing population raises the number of atmospheric pollutants. As a result, one of the primary problems affecting smart cities is addressing the issue of air pollution. The air quality index (target feature), calculated based on atmospheric pollutants, can be used to determine air pollution.

Internet of Things (IoT) devices are strategically deployed throughout urban areas to collect massive amounts of data [6]. Because analyzing and pre-processing this data takes more time, computing plays a vital role. Cities are already adopting high-performance computing (HPC) to help them achieve objectives such as resource conservation, social safety, and an overall better quality of life. Distributed computing, cloud computing, parallel processing, edge computing, and fog computing are some types of high-performance computing (HPC) [7]. In this work, we employ cloud machine learning. Machine learning relies heavily on data. Therefore, the more data we have, our predictions will be more accurate. Also, the cloud provides more data access. The amount of time it takes from the beginning of the project to get results from it can be decreased by using the cloud. The data is stored in a data center managed by the cloud provider. Firstly, the protection of the data center and any information stored there is the cloud provider's responsibility. Secondly, most cloud providers provide enhanced security measures, such as encryption, to secure the data better.

1.2 Problem Statement

People residing in urban environments face a variety of challenges as a consequence of increased urbanization. Air pollution is one of those difficulties. Many factors contribute to air pollution, including environmental disasters like volcanoes and human behavior like automobile emissions and factory toxic gas releases [8]. Regardless of the cause, the impacts of air pollution are hazardous to all living organisms. Based on World Health Organization (WHO) research, air contamination has developed as a leading danger to human health, accounting for one fatality out of every eight in a year [9]. As a result, one of the significant areas of study in smart cities is how to handle the challenge of particulate pollution. Air quality forecasting is a more practical approach to dealing with air pollution. Accordingly, machine learning plays a crucial role in evaluating air quality predictions [10]. We are employing classification and regression models in this work. The smart city datasets consist of many rows representing regional data with lots of columns, including most of the atmospheric contaminants and the air quality index [11]. The analysis of large datasets and the implementation of pre-processing strategies are challenging tasks. Identifying the best-performing model involves applying a variety of algorithms to the collected information, which is a challenging endeavor. We use a cloud platform to handle this issue because it is better suitable for dealing with large volumes and high speeds. It provides for the experimentation and testing of various models. Furthermore, it facilitates experimentation on multiple data sets to determine what performs best, which is complex with a computer at home. In this work, we are employing four machine learning models, which are lasso and linear regression models and random forest and support vector machine models.

A cloud machine learning service termed Amazon SageMaker allows users to develop, train, and use machine learning techniques. It comes with various machine learning algorithms built in that programmers can use on their data. Amazon SageMaker Studio extends the capabilities of JupyterLab with new tools that enhance machine learning operations by leveraging AWS's computational capacity. Amazon SageMaker has high scalability, which means it can easily handle large datasets and modeling strategies. As a result, it is feasible to analyze vast amounts of data more efficiently, which can significantly minimize processing time. Amazon SageMaker provides high-performance computing instances for tasks related to machine learning. These instances are equipped with powerful CPUs and GPUs, which can considerably speed the implementation of machine learning models.

1.3 Thesis Organisation

The thesis is organised as:

Chapter 2. **Background**: A summary of the machine leaning algorithms and how cloud works is explained.

Chapter 3. **Related Work**: Discussion on the previous papers dealing with this field of research analysing these papers to improve this work.

Chapter 4. **Data and Experimental Setup**: Data collection, pre-processing the data, a detailed description of the experimental setup and applying the machine learning techniques, and deploying it on AWS cloud platform.

Chapter 5. **Evaluation Metrics**: Evaluating the performance of the machine learning algorithms used in this work with the help of some metrics.

Chapter 6. **Results and Conclusion**: Tables and graphs of the results are presented and conclusion.

2 BACKGROUND

2.1 Air Pollution in Smart Cities

Air pollution is a severe problem in various cities, especially smart cities. The difficulty of regulating air pollution still exists in smart cities, despite the use of technology to effectively manage infrastructure and resources. Smart cities can handle air pollution in a variety of ways [12]. Using sensors' data and processing to measure air quality and detect pollution sources is one approach. By using this information, appropriate policies and initiatives can be developed to decrease pollution levels. Air pollution in smart urban centers must be controlled through a combination of technical solutions, policy initiatives, and public outreach [13]. In this study, we are focusing on technological solutions that can be utilized to estimate air quality in smart cities. Because the data is time-based, the model should be constructed with a shorter processing time. As a result, we are utilizing a cloud platform.

2.1.1 Air Quality Index Calculation

The air pollution index (API) is another name for the air quality index (AQI) in several nations [14]. The number of pollutants varies according to the area or location for which the air quality index is computed. Some common pollutants include nitric oxide, nitric x-oxide, particulate matter, ammonia, ozone, benzene, nitrogen dioxide, toluene, sulfur dioxide, xylene, and carbon monoxide found in the atmosphere [15]. With the concentration of the pollutants, the air quality index is computed with use of the below formula 2.1 [16].

$$I = \frac{I_{high} - I_{low}}{C_{high} - C_{low}} (C - I_{low}) + I_{low}$$

$$\tag{2.1}$$

Where,

I = Air Quality Index

C = Concentration of Pollutant

 C_{low} = the concentration break-point < C

 C_{high} = the concentration break-point > = C

 I_{low} = the index break-point corresponding to C_{low}

 I_{high} = the index break-point corresponding to C_{high}

The one with the highest AQI value among all pollutants is considered the final AQI. This computed value becomes the target feature for our regression model. There is no specific upper limit for the AQI; however, it may be categorized, and this classification varies depending on the country. The AQI category for India is shown in Table 2.1, which also includes an AQI range column [17]. The AQI range is the target variable of the classification model, represented in our datasets by the AQI bucket.

AQI Range	PM10	PM2.5	NO2	03	CO	SO2	NH3	Color
Good(0-50)	0-50	0-30	0-40	0-50	0-1.0	0-40	0-200	deep green
Satisfactory(51 100)	51-100	31-60	41-80	51-100	1.1-2.0	41-80	201-400	light green
Moderate(101- 200)	101-250	61-90	81-180	101-168	2.1-10	81-380	401-800	yellow
Poor(201-300)	251-350	91-120	181-280	169-208	10-17	381-800	801-1200	orange
Severe(301- 400)	351-430	121-250	281-400	209-748	17-34	801-1600	1200- 1800	red
Hazardous(401 500)	430+	250+	400+	748+	34+	1600+	1800+	maroon

Table 2.1: AQI Range in India.

2.2 Machine Learning

In machine learning, strategies are utilized for training machines to discover patterns and relationships in datasets. Machines produce predictions based on these patterns [18]. We have both supervised and unsupervised machine learning models. If there are no labels for the data, unsupervised machine learning is applied, which can process raw data. For labeled data, supervised models are preferable [19]. We have two significant subcategories of supervised machine learning: classification and regression. Regression yields a continuous numerical value, whereas classification produces an output with defined labels, a value belonging to a predefined group.

Figure 2.1 depicts a general machine learning model. The model explains how general machine learning models work. We can see the steps required to make predictions from the input data. Also, different algorithms are listed in the figure, along with the evaluation metrics needed for testing these models. Additionally, the



Figure 2.1: Machine Learning model

optimal splitting of the dataframe into testing and training sets is given in the diagram [20]. We use all these steps to make predictions in our work which are explained in detail in the below chapters.

2.2.1 Regression

The procedure of identifying a relationship between a specific dependent parameter and numerous independent parameters is referred to as "regression." In plainer terms, it implies fitting a function from a specified group of tasks to the collected input data under some error function [21]. Regression is a technique that is generally utilized in the areas of finance and economics. Some of the best applications of this technique include estimating housing costs, stock market prices, and an employee's salary. The most prevalent regression techniques include linear regression, support vector regressor, decision trees regressor, lasso regression, and random forest. Regression would be suitable for this work because the target variable (Air Quality Index) is a continuous numeric value [22]. We are implementing lasso regression and linear regression techniques.

Lasso Regression

LASSO is an acronym for Least Absolute Selection Shrinkage Operator. In general, shrinkage is described as a limitation on features or variables. This algorithm functions by identifying and implementing a constraint on the model parameters that causes the regression coefficients for certain features to shrink until they are equal to zero. The model does not include characteristics such as a regression coefficient of zero. Due to this reason, lasso regression modeling is essentially a shrinkage and feature-choosing approach, and it aids in identifying the essential predictors. While it prevents over-fitting, it will only choose one attribute from a collection of correlated features, and the selected attribute may be strongly biased.

Linear Regression

This regression algorithm belongs to supervised machine learning that predicts a dependent variable using various independent variables [23]. It is less complicated to implement than other regression methods. For the purpose of predicting air quality, the air quality index (AQI) is the dependent attribute, and the independent characteristics are the sub-indices of the pollutants nitric oxide, particulate matter, ammonia, nitrogen dioxide, toluene, ozone, xylene, sulfur dioxide, nitric x-oxide,

benzene, and carbon monoxide. As illustrated in figure 2.2, this regression model provides a relationship between the dependent parameter termed as y and various independent parameters termed as series of x and this relationship is linear.



Figure 2.2: Linear Regression

2.2.2 Classification

The classification strategy is a supervised machine learning strategy employed to recognize the class of new findings based on training data. When classifying data, a software program first learns from the original datasets or observations before categorizing new results into a range of clusters or categories, such as zero or one and yes or no [24]. Categories are sometimes known as labels, targets, or groups. In contrast to regression, the target parameter of classification is the name of a category rather than a value. The classification algorithm uses labeled input data; this implies that it includes input with appropriate output because it is a supervised learning process. The best applications of classification algorithms are diabetes detection, email spam detection, emotion prediction, and cat breed detection [25].

The classification algorithm's primary objective is to identify the class of the original data, and these techniques are primarily utilized to predict the outcome for categorical variables. With the help of Figure 2.3 below, classification techniques can be clearly explained. There are two groups in the diagram: Group A and Group B. These groups have attributes related to one another but not other groups. Classification can be further divided into two: binary and multi-class [26]. When it comes to multi-class classification, the algorithm predicts more than one category as opposed to binary classification, where it just predicts yes or no, 0 or 1. In this study, we are utilizing a support vector machine and random forest algorithms.



Figure 2.3: Classification Model

Support vector machine

The fundamental objective of this technique is to discover a hyperplane with n dimensions (where n denotes the total range of parameters) which distinctly labels the data items. Numerous feasible planes could be utilized to segregate the two groups of data items. The plane which has the highest margin that is, the longest distance needed in both the classes to separate the points, is what we are aiming for. In order to enhance the classification accuracy of upcoming data points, the margin distance should be maximized. For classifying the data points, hyperplanes serve as decision boundaries.

Data points on both ends of the plane can be assigned to distinct categories. In addition, the size of the hyperplane is determined by the count of features. When the count of input parameters is two, the hyperplane becomes just a line. When the count of input parameters is increased to three, the hyperplane changes into a plane with two dimensions. When there are more than three features, it is not easy to imagine a hyperplane. Data points that are not far away from the hyperplane or which are close to the plane are called support vectors. These support vectors impact both the orientation and the position of the plane, and by using these support vectors, the classifier's margin is increased. When the support vectors are deleted, the plane will change its orientation.

Random Forest

An ensemble of different smaller decision trees that work collaboratively to complete tasks is referred to as a "random forest." This Algorithm can deal with the input data comprising either continuous variables, with respect to regression, or categorical variables, concerning classification; this is considered one of its most significant features [27]. Classification problems produce valuable outcomes. Understanding the ensemble technique is essential before attempting to learn how the random forest algorithm functions. Ensemble refers to the combination of various models. In Ensemble, we have bagging and boosting. As shown in Figure 2.4, random forest works on the bagging principle. In this principle, different subsets are generated from the original training set as the replacement, and the final output is decided by voting [28]. As a result, rather than a single model, a set of models is employed to generate predictions.



Figure 2.4: Bagging Principle

Every individual tree in the random forest provides a category prediction. The category with the highest votes becomes the actual prediction of the model. The crucial factor is the low correlation of the models. Uncorrelated models have the ability to provide ensemble predictions that are more precise when compared to any of the particular predictions, similar to the assets with weak correlations merging to form a collection where this collection is greater than the sum of its parts. The trees protect each other from their different individual errors, which results in this impressive effect.

2.3 Cloud Computing

The concept of offering widespread, accessible, on-demand system access to a distributed pool of customizable computing services is known as "cloud computing." It allows for rapid deployment and release of these resources with much less organizational operations or service operator involvement [29]. A transition in the computing framework occurs when the responsibility of computing is transferred from personal desktop computers, single server applications, or personal data centers to a cloud of computers. The fundamental mechanisms of how computing is performed in the cloud are concealed, so clients are concerned only about the computing function being requested. Cloud computing can be described in figure 2.5, which includes the computing services delivered by the cloud.



Figure 2.5: Cloud Computing Services

The majority of cloud-based computing services can be grouped into four major classes: serverless, Iaas (infrastructure as a service), SaaS (software as a service), and PaaS (platform as a service). They are frequently addressed as the "cloud computing stack" since they are stacked on each other. It is simpler to achieve the goals of the business when one is aware of what these categories are and how they differ from each other.

2.3.1 Infrastructure as a Service

Infrastructure as a Service (IAAS) offers a computing platform as a service upon request. In an entirely outsourced, on-demand framework, the user buys software data storage, network infrastructure, or servers and rents those resources. These resources are supplied as a service, and flexible scalability is enabled. Multiple users are usually handled on an individual hardware platform. Choosing resources efficiently and in accordance with needs is entirely up to the consumer. It also facilitates billing management.

2.3.2 Software as a Service

Software as a Service (SAAS) is a type of software delivered like a hosting service and obtained across Output Rephrased or Re-written Text on the internet. It also refers to a method of software delivery in which software and its relevant information are hosted securely and retrieved by their user, generally a web browser, through the internet. The development and implementation of present applications leverage SAAS services. A reliable internet connection and a browser permit access to software and its functionalities from any location. Users from diverse backgrounds can also access an application through the internet because it is centrally hosted.

2.3.3 Platform as a Service

Platform as a Service (PAAS) is a cloud deployment platform for projects that consist of resources controlled by a third party. It offers elastic scaling for the application, allowing programmers to develop programs and services through the internet, with deployment methods including private, public, and hybrid. Simply put, it refers to a platform where a third-party enterprise offers cloud computing access to hardware and software tools. Developers use the software and hardware tools that are offered. An alternative name for PAAS is "application PAAS." With its support, we can manage and handle helpful applications and services. It is less expensive than IAAS and includes a robust management platform.

3 RELATED WORK

Some previous papers related to air pollution prediction in smart cities are summarized in this section. Other papers that are related to distributed and parallel computing using machine learning models are also outlined.

3.1 Machine Learning based Smart City Applications

In the majority of earlier studies, machine learning was used to predict the air pollution in smart cities. Regression and deep learning models are the most commonly utilized machine learning techniques, while some studies also employ the support vector machine approach because these models perform better than the other machine learning methods [30]. The previous section contains a full overview of regression models and the support vector machine technique. Algorithms for artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) have grown more significant in a variety of industries [31].

A city's operations can be improved with the utilization of artificial intelligence and machine learning combined with IOT [32]. In order to improve living standards, the main emphasis is on enhancing the urban infrastructure. It emphasizes the significance of machine learning in fields such as supply chain management, healthcare, smart grids, and cyber security in the context of smart cities, as illustrated in Figure 3.1 [33]. This research highlights the relevance of machine learning (ML) in various essential enabling technologies, such as logistics management, smart metering, medical care, and intelligent transportation. In addition, this paper evaluates different

data intrusions for smart cities and outlines the primary elements impacting the development of smart city ideas.



Figure 3.1: Machine learning based solutions for Smart City

A broader class of machine learning strategies based on representation learning and artificial neural networks is called deep learning [34]. To gradually extract highly complex features from the raw input data, deep learning integrates different layers. In deep learning, every level gains the capability to convert its input data into a composite form that is a little more abstract [35]. The process of learning can determine its own way to ideally place the features at different levels. For many years, DL has made essential advancements in the artificial intelligence field by utilizing machine learning techniques to address major issues [36]. Artificial neural networks (ANNs) are neural systems that are used in machine learning. ANNs are composed of neurons that are triggered by connections that are weighted based on prior activation [37]. In order to process data and signals more appropriately, DL makes use of the Deep Neural Network (DNN) architecture. It determines weighted layers from the input layer to the last layer by using hidden layers placed between both the input and output layers.

3.1.1 Regression models

One of the best known machine learning techniques for predicting air pollution is regression. This is because the variable to be predicted is a continuous value. In a study by Saba Ameer et al., they compare four distinct sophisticated regression techniques (Gradient Boosting, Random Forest, Decision Tree, and Ann Multi-Layer perceptron) to examine which technique is most accurate at estimating air quality given the amount of information and the time required for processing the data [38]. For anticipating pollution levels, a four-layer architecture comprising data collection, transmission, data administration, and application has been proposed. When variation is taken into account, the mean absolute error (MAE) obtained by random forest regression for Beijing is considerably higher than that obtained by decision tree regression or gradient boosting regression. The model's conclusions suggest that random forest regression is the most accurate approach for predicting pollution levels for datasets that differ in size, location, and other properties.

The computation time was remarkably lower than that of both gradient boosting techniques and multi-layer feedforward neural networks, according to the results. However, this study is limited to regression techniques, although other machine learning algorithms also perform better at predicting air quality. The research in [39] addresses the before mentioned limitation by comparing multi-layer convolution and random forest methods on a Malaysian air pollution dataset. The findings suggest that Random Forest outperformed MLP in forecasting the PM2.5 air pollution index in Malaysia's smart city. Yet, the efficiency of MLP increases in tandem with the amount of neurons in its units that are hidden, but the performance of Random Forest diminishes as more decision trees are added. Another study presented in [40] demonstrates how support vector regression (SVR) enables precise forecasts of hourly pollution concentrations in California using a radial basis function (RBF) kernel. Researchers were able to predict pollution levels such as O3, CO, and SO2, and also the hourly AQI values for California, with decent accuracy. Though the results achieved are satisfactory, this paper lacks a concise explanation of the methodology. The authors hope to advance their work in the future by optimizing the SVR parameters. Since the choice of the penalty parameter C and kernel function have a significant impact on the SVR model's performance, it would be intriguing to explore other approaches for parameter optimization, such as particle swarm optimization or genetic algorithms.

3.1.2 Classification Model

There has been very little research done to predict air quality in smart cities using classification methods. Support vector machines are a common technique used in studies that use classification models. In their research [41], the authors forecast the air quality index using neural networks and support vector machines. For different decision functions, different kernel functions may be utilized, and by combining multiple kernel functions, more complicated types of planes can be generated. Two vectors can be applied using the kernel function, and a transformation can be used to map each point into a high-dimensional space. Six different kernel functions were used in the SVM algorithm in this research to achieve the highest accuracy. Results demonstrated that in the case where the "medium Gaussian SVM" function is employed, the greatest accuracy of 97.3 percent is achieved. In the future, the authors aim to increase the level of accuracy by using the data mining algorithms. In our work, we are using more classification models as a result of the small contributions made in this sector.

3.2 Deep Learning Approaches

The authors of paper [42] propose an Internet of Things (IoT)-based air quality prediction and evaluating system that measures pollution using a machine learning method known as a recurrent neural network (RNN). Online monitoring is continuously performed on the components necessary to predict pollution levels using a recurrent neural network. All sensor readings are uploaded to a cloud server. A DHT11 sensor is used in this investigation to collect continuous digital temperature and humidity data. The system collects this information utilizing air detectors, which is then transmitted to a microcontroller. The microcontroller uploads the data to a web service after it has been gathered.

The Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) method is unstable to make estimations. It enables rapid convergence while reducing training times with high precision [43]. RNNs, however, commonly experience disappearing and shattering variations, that causes the training model to steady down or terminate all at once. In processing the time, standard LSTM and RNN frequently overlook the future information, whereas Bi-LSTM has the ability to make the best of future information.

The authors created a heuristic method based on convolutional neural network (CNN) and LSTM in [44] and used it to anticipate PM2.5 levels in Beijing's urban area. Consequently, they choose to employ the CNN, CNN-LSTM, GRU, LSTM, and Bi-LSTM to predict the PM2.5 concentrations. The key conclusions of this research are based on effectiveness and comparison of results: the model effectively identifies the spatial and temporal features of the information by employing CNN and LSTM, which have high precision and stability. A related study is documented in the paper [45], however it is confined to one technique, whereas the article discussed above

compares several techniques. When compared to other existing learning methodologies, the LSTM model (APNet) offers the highest predicting efficiency. This technology may help improve air pollution estimation in smart cities. The presence of urban trees has the dual effect of reducing the amount of time contaminants in the air must accumulate and completely removing them.

Air quality in Delhi is forecasted utilizing machine learning approaches which is provided in the research conducted in [41]. The authors employ neural networks and support vector machine approaches in this project. Depending on the training database, the neural network in this study classifies samples as severe, very poor, poor, satisfactory, or good levels of air pollution. There are six input features, six target features that need to be predicted, and eight neurons in the hidden layer because findings show that this number produces the minimum possible error. According to the results, both SVMs and neural networks are effective at accurately predicting the air quality index, with the former having an efficiency of 91.62 percent and the latter of 97.3 percent.

In the paper [46], a deep learning model is provided to deal with problem of air pollution in South Carolina. According to the results of the experiments, the authors initially configured the system with the appropriate hyper parameters. Following that, the proposed algorithm is trained and tested using the popular mean absolute error and root mean square error metrics. Overall outputs suggest that regardless of the basic network structure, an LSTM-based forecasting model can enhance prediction performance by memorizing large amounts of historical data. Authors aim to work on more complex models that employ a variety of deep learning techniques in order to better analyze data from IoT devices in the long run.
3.3 Cloud Enabled AI Approaches

The research [47] described a cloud-enabled technique of measuring emissions related to vehicular flow, and the outputs were analysed by adding up the emissions from each individual car. The fluctuations in the emission lines are identified by analysing the recurring data obtained by the sensor units strategically placed throughout the area, as well as the raw CCTV footage of the site. The pollution problem in the city of Manipal, Karnataka, has been taken into account in this proposed work. The data from the Transportation Department has been uploaded to the cloud. The authors aim to reduce the noxiousness of the air in each area by diverting traffic to less congested routes. The proposed effort might be utilized as well to examine the contaminants in the air in residential areas, public spaces, and commercial buildings.

The goal of the study published in [48] is to build an intelligent predictor of airborne pollutant levels for the following two days using deep learning techniques such as a recurrent neural network (RNN). A particle swarm optimization (PSO) technique is then used to determine the ideal structure for its operation. The smart air quality prediction model (SAQPM) is a new predictor based on intelligent computation that relies on unsupervised learning, that is, long short-term memory (LSTM), and optimization, that is, particle swarm optimization. In Shaun Connolly's research, he employed big data technology to analyse data obtained through transactions, interactions, and observations in order to discover patterns and draw conclusions.

4 DATA AND EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

The experiments are carried out on a personal laptop as well as on the Amazon cloud platform. To conduct the experiments using the Amazon Cloud Platform, we are utilizing the Amazon SageMaker service. We are building two models, one for regression and another for classification. Figure 4.1 shows the flowchart for both models. We follow similar steps as depicted in our work's general machine learning model in Figure 2.1.



Figure 4.1: General Model Flow Diagram

4.1 Data Collection

The datasets we utilized for this research were obtained from Kaggle, which contains air quality data from India. We are using three separate datasets from India, each for a different period: 2015–2019, 2020, and 2021–2022. We are dividing the datasets due to the fact that the year 2020 was locked down, and the pollutant levels were deficient at that time, which are considered outliers and affect the predictions. As a result, using three different datasets from 2015 to 2022 would yield better predictions. The datasets consist of atmospheric pollutants data and the Air Quality Index (AQI) at hourly and day-to-day levels for different positions across several municipalities in India.

The data is made public by the Central Pollution Control Board, the official website of the Government of India. The cities included in the datasets are Hyderabad, Jorapokhar, Delhi, Amaravati, Bengaluru, Jaipur, Amritsar, Guwahati, Ahmedabad, Chennai, Aizawl, Gurugram, Bhopal, Talcher, Thiruvananthapuram, Brajrajnagar, Kolkata, Chandigarh, Shillong, Coimbatore, Mumbai, Ernakulam, Kochi, Patna, Lucknow, and Visakhapatnam. Table 4.1 lists the key features considered in the model, along with their descriptions.

FEATURES	DESCRIPTION					
AQI	Air quality index					
AQI bucket	Air quality index bucket					
PM2.5	Particulate matter 2.5-micrometer in ug / m3					
PM10	Particulate matter 10-micrometer in ug / m3					
NO	Nitric oxide in ug / m3					
Benzene	Benzene in ug / m3					
СО	Carbon monoxide in mg / m3 $$					
Toluene	Toluene in ug / m3					
NOx	Nitric x-oxide in ppb					
Xylene	Xylene in ug / m3					
SO2	Sulphur dioxide in ug / m3					
O3	Ozone in ug / m3					
NH3	Ammonia in ug / m3					
NO2	Nitric dioxide in ug $/$ m3					
City	Cities of India					

Table 4.1: Features of the datasets with description.

4.2 Data Pre-processing

For cleaning the unprocessed data, several techniques are available known as pre-processing approaches. We use exploratory data analysis (EDA) to clean the raw data and prepare it for training. The datasets contain values of the integer, float, and object types. The data has null or missing values, denoted as NaN, in the datasets. This data cannot be processed because the system generates an error as invalid input. These empty spaces are handled by filling these null spaces with the mean of the entire feature. After managing the invalid data, box plots are used to find anomalies. An outlier is a data point that differs from the entire set of other data points in a feature by a large margin. A few outliers in these datasets can be identified and treated using the interquartile range.

- The 25th percentile is represented by Q1.
- The 50th percentile is represented by Q2.
- The 75th percentile is represented by Q3.

The datasets are divided into four equal portions by the three quartiles (Q1, Q2, and Q3). The interquartile range (IQR) is the difference between Q3 and Q1. The lower bound is 1.5 times the IQR subtracted from Q1, whereas the upper bound is 1.5 times the IQR added to Q3. Outliers are values that are outside of this threshold. Instead of dropping these values, we replace them with the lower and higher bound values in our work.

4.3 Feature Selection

This selection strategy is a critical procedure in which features from the dataset strongly correlated to the target feature are selected. The correlation values generated by the correlation matrix are used in this process. The closer the values are to "1", the stronger the relationship between them. In Figure 4.2, a heat map depicts the correlation values for the features selected in our research. We can observe that the target variable has a positive value for all the components used in this work because these attributes are air contaminants utilized to compute the air quality index (the target variable).

														1.0
PM2.5 ·	. 1	0.63	0.52	0.4	0.46	0.2	0.08	0.14	0.17	0.019	0.096	0.066	0.65	-10
PM10 -	0.63	1	0.52	0.42	0.49	0.26	0.046	0.15	0.23	0.01	0.12	0.03	0.5	
NO ·	0.52	0.52	1	0.5	0.81	0.15	0.21	0.2	0.036	0.038	0.14	0.061	0.48	- 0.8
NO2 ·	0.4	0.42	0.5	1	0.64	0.15	0.38	0.5	0.33	0.022	0.26	0.14	0.57	
NOx ·	0.46	0.49	0.81	0.64	1	0.12	0.24	0.26	0.11	0.043	0.18	0.062	0.49	
NH3 ·	0.2	0.26	0.15	0.15	0.12	1	0.021	0.012	0.077	-0.015	-0.0052	0.017	0.14	- 0.6
CO ·	0.08	0.046	0.21	0.38	0.24	0.021	1	0.55	0.044	0.047	0.27	0.16	0.69	
SO2 ·	0.14	0.15	0.2	0.5	0.26	0.012	0.55	1	0.21	0.035	0.3	0.25	0.53	- 0.4
03 -	0.17	0.23	0.036	0.33	0.11	0.077	0.044	0.21	1	0.022	0.13	0.11	0.2	
Benzene ·	0.019	0.01	0.038	0.022	0.043	-0.015	0.047	0.035	0.022	1	0.73	0.084	0.043	
Toluene ·	0.096	0.12	0.14	0.26	0.18	-0.0052	0.27	0.3	0.13	0.73	1	0.25	0.26	- 0.2
Xylene ·	0.066	0.03	0.061	0.14	0.062	0.017	0.16	0.25	0.11	0.084	0.25	1	0.16	
AQI ·	0.65	0.5	0.48	0.57	0.49	0.14	0.69	0.53	0.2	0.043	0.26	0.16	1	- 0.0
	PM2.5	PM10	NO	NÓ2	Nox	инз	co	502	03	Benzene	Toluene	Xvlene	AÓI	5.0

Figure 4.2: Correlation Matrix

4.4 Splitting Data

The train_test_split() is a function that divides the dataset into testing and training data in a 80:20 proportion. This ratio is usually regarded as the best ratio for partitioning the datasets, but it can be altered depending on the number of records in the dataframe. The training set is operated to create the model, and the testing set is employed to estimate the model's accuarcy. After partitioning the datasets into training and testing, we take the X and y sets, where y is the Air Quality Index (AQI) in the regression case. In the case of classification, the AQI bucket is created based on AQI values as shown in Table 2.1. This y is the target or the dependent variable, and X consists of all the pollutants known as the independent variables.

4.5 Balancing the Data

The values of the target variable (AQI Range) in the datasets are not uniform or balanced. This unbalanced dataset decreases the performance of the model and affects its accuracy. Using the bar plots, we have depicted the imbalanced nature as shown in Figure 4.3.



Figure 4.3: Barplot for AQI Range

To balance the target variable, we are using SMOTE approach. Synthetic Minority Over-sampling Method (SMOTE) is a commonly used data augmentation strategy that is employed to balance class distributions in unbalanced records for a classification algorithm. These unbalanced records have considerably more values in one class than others, resulting in inaccurate model predictions favoring the majority class. SMOTE creates new data examples among current minority class examples to generate synthetic instances of the minority category. SMOTE aims to normalize the category labels in the dataset by creating synthetic illustrations, which decreases the probability of a model preferring the majority class.

4.6 Machine Learning Techniques

Machine learning algorithms are applied upon the completion of the preprocessing of the dataset. This study uses regression models (linear regression and lasso regression) and classification models (random forest and support vector machine). The features are further divided into X and y after the data has been split into testing and training sets. In total, we now have four data frames: X_test, X_train, y_test, and y_train.

4.6.1 Regression models

In regression, a collection of data with X and y variables is provided. These variables are used to train a function such that, in the future, this trained function can be utilized in predicting y from an unknown X. When we need to estimate the value of y in regression, we need a function that indicates y, provided that X and y are continuous. This function can be linear or polynomial, and the data points are fit to a line of regression using this function as shown in Figure 4.4. In this figure, the red points are the values of the data set, and the blue line is the line of regression. We chose linear regression and lasso regression from the existing regression models. This selection is sole because lasso regression prevents over-fitting and linear regression is simple to implement. We compare these two regression models using evaluation methodologies to see which performs better in forecasting air quality in Indian smart cities.



Figure 4.4: Linear and Polynomial Regression

In this method, the air pollutants present in the datasets make up X_test and X_train, while the air quality index makes up y_test and y_train. We use the training sets, y_train and X_train, to apply the linear regression and lasso regression techniques. X_test is utilized for estimating the AQI in Indian smart cities. The estimated predictions are compared with the y_test dataframe (actual values) for determining the results' correctness.

Linear Regression

Linear regression is used to estimate a dependent feature (y) based on a set of independent features (X). Thus, the term "linear regression" was proposed. The line that fits the model the best is the regression line.

Hypothesis function:

$$y = \theta_1 + \theta_2 X \tag{4.1}$$

Where,

 $\theta_1 = \text{intercept.}$

 $\theta_2 = \text{coefficient of X}.$

Cost Function:

$$J = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(y^{(i)} - h(x^{(i)}) \right)^2$$
(4.2)

Where,

n = complete number of training samples in the dataset.

h(x(i)) = hypothetical function for prediction.

y(i) = value of target variable.

By determining the best θ_1 and θ_2 values, the model obtains the best regression fit line. The model is focused on predicting the y value with the best-fit regression line so that the error dissimilarity between the forecasted and actual values is as low as possible. So, it is necessary to update the θ_1 and θ_2 values in order to discover the value that decreases the difference between the anticipated y value and the actual y value. The model uses gradient descent to adjust θ_1 and θ_2 to lower the cost function and get the line that fits the best. The concept is to iteratively modify the values until they are close to the minimum cost, starting with random values for θ_1 and θ_2

Lasso Regression

Lasso regression is another linear model obtained from linear regression that employs the same hypothetical function for prediction. The linear regression model treats all parameters as equally crucial for prediction. When the dataset contains many features, even when some are irrelevant to the predictive model, this complicates the model and results in an inaccurate prediction on the test set (or over-fitting). A high-variance model of this kind cannot be generalized to the new data [49]. For solving this problem, Lasso regression is used, which includes an L1 penalty in the linear regression cost function.

$$J = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(y^{(i)} - h(x^{(i)}) \right)^2 + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_j$$
(4.3)

Where,

 w_j = weight of jth feature.

 $\lambda =$ regularisation strength.

m = number of features in dataset.

The additional 11 penalty during gradient descent optimization shrunk weights to nearly zero or zero. The features in the hypothetical function are eliminated when the weights are shrunk to zero. As a result, irrelevant features are excluded from the prediction model. The penalization of weights simplifies the hypothesis, which favors sparsity. The intercept remains unaffected when it is added. Bias increases with increasing lambda, whereas variance increases with decreasing lambda. A feature of the model is eliminated as lambda increases because more and more weights are reduced to zero.

4.6.2 Classification Models

Classification often describes any task in which a specified type of categorical label is to be estimated from a given field of input data. We are using the AQI bucket as the target variable for classification because it predicts categorical values rather than continuous values, as does regression. Because the AQI bucket contains more than two classes, we employ multi-class classification. These classification problems do not have a predetermined number of labels and may include any number of labels. The multi-class classification is depicted in Figure 4.5.



Figure 4.5: Multi-class Classification

In this method, the air pollutants that are present in the dataset make up X_test and X_train, while the AQI bucket makes up y_test and y_train. We use the training sets, X_train and y_train, to apply the support vector machine and random forest approaches. X_test is utilized to estimate the air quality index in Indian smart cities. The anticipated values are compared to the y_test values (actual values) to determine the results' exactness.

Support Vector Machine

The fundamental objective of this technique is to discover a hyperplane with n dimensions (where n denotes the total range of parameters) which distinctly labels the data items. Numerous feasible planes could be utilized to segregate the two groups of data items. The optimal hyperplane is the one with the most significant distance or margin between all the classes, which is shown in Figure 4.6.



Figure 4.6: Support Vector Machine

Random Forest

The random forest strategy is a bagging method extension that employs both bagging and attribute randomness to develop an uncorrelated forest of decision trees. Steps involved in the random forest algorithm are shown in Figure 4.7. Random forests select only a subset of the available feature splits, whereas decision trees involve all. As the model grows the trees, a random forest adds more randomness. When splitting a node, instead of looking for the essential parameter, it seeks out the best feature among the random selection of attributes. As a result, there is a greater variety, which leads to a better model. The procedure for dividing a node in a random forest only assumes a random subset of the parameters.



Figure 4.7: Steps in Random Forest Algorithm

4.7 Cloud Computing

The on-demand, pay-as-you-go delivery of IT resources through the Internet is known as cloud computing. Instead of purchasing, owning, and operating conventional data centers and servers, we can use a cloud platform like Amazon Web Services (AWS) to access technology services such as computing power, memory, and databases on an on-demand basis. Companies of all sizes and industries utilize the cloud for a wide range of use cases, including data backup, disaster recovery, email, virtual desktops, software development and testing, big data analytics, and customer-facing web apps.

4.7.1 AWS Cloud Platform

Amazon has a big market share among cloud computing service providers because of its low-cost structure and flexible toolsets. It offers services ranging from infrastructure technologies like computation, storage, and databases to emerging technologies like artificial intelligence, machine learning, and the Internet of Things. It has been designed as a flexible and safe cloud computing environment. The central infrastructure has been constructed to meet the security standards of the military, significant banks, and other susceptible organizations. We use the Amazon SageMaker Service to deploy our machine-learning models from all the provided services. Individuals without much knowledge of machine learning can also use this service to execute their models, which is a significant advantage.

4.7.2 Amazon SageMaker

Amazon SageMaker is a cloud machine-learning platform that allows developers to build, train, and deploy machine-learning models in the cloud. SageMaker delivers pre-trained machine learning algorithms that may be deployed as-is at the highest degree of abstraction [50]. Furthermore, SageMaker includes a number of built-in machine learning techniques that programmers can use on their data. Moreover, SageMaker offers managed instances of Tensor Flow and Apache MXNet so that programmers can build custom machine learning algorithms from scratch. We don't need to manage servers because it includes an integrated Jupyter Notebook instance for quick access to the datasets for analysis and exploration. It also contains standard machine learning methods that have been designed to perform efficiently on massive datasets in a distributed context.

Amazon SageMaker Studio is a machine learning integrated development environment (IDE) that allows you to construct, train, debug, deploy, and monitor machine learning models [51]. SageMaker Studio has everything users need to move their models from data pre-processing to experimenting to deployment while increasing productivity. Amazon SageMaker Studio expands JupyterLab's capabilities with custom resources that can enhance the machine learning operation by leveraging AWS compute capacity. JupyterLab 1 and JupyterLab 3 are now supported by Studio, where JupyterLab 3 is the default JupyterLab version in Studio.

4.7.3 Benefits of SageMaker

Fully Managed

Amazon SageMaker handles user needs, so users don't have to deal with the technical aspects of operating a machine learning platform [52]. Through its fully managed services, users can rapidly and effectively incorporate models based on machine learning into their applications. Everything is handled by Amazon SageMaker, including the underlying infrastructure, machine learning, and user interface.

Wide range of algorithms and frameworks

Amazon SageMaker methods and frameworks have the advantage of mapping real-world use-case challenges to machine learning-based approaches. Each built-in algorithm addresses a specific type of use case.

Integration with other AWS services

SageMaker can be readily connected with the other AWS services, giving a unified interface and making it simple to create comprehensive machine learning workflows.

Notebook instances

Fully managed Jupyter notebooks called Amazon SageMaker Notebook Instances offer an interactive setting for building and evaluating models based on machine learning. SageMaker can help organizations save up to 90 percent on machine training costs. SageMaker Notebook instances are pre-installed with standard data analysis and machine learning libraries.

Secure and Compliant

SageMaker includes security elements that users can utilize according to their requirements. SageMaker delivers the security capabilities required to keep sensitive data and models secure, whether dealing with confidential data or legal standards. To keep your data and models private, SageMaker includes encryption, role-based access control, Virtual Private Cloud (VPC) support, network isolation, and audit logging.

Highly Scalable

SageMaker efficiently scales facilities based on workload requirements. When the workload rises, auto-scaling activates new instances. When the workload drops, it removes unwanted instances, so users are not required to pay for provided instances that aren't being utilized. With 256 GPUs, it is possible to attain 90 percent scaling efficiency.

4.7.4 SageMaker Architecture

The architecture of Amazon SageMaker includes data gathering and storage, data pre-processing, training the model, and model deployment for air quality prediction using machine learning, as shown in Figure 4.8. This technology's built-in features and abilities make it a highly flexible and adaptable platform for creating and implementing machine learning models.



Figure 4.8: SageMaker Architecture

The following aspects would be included in the architecture for predicting air quality in smart cities using Amazon SageMaker:

- The datasets collected from kaggle which are air quality data from India from 2015 to 2022 can be stored in Amazon S3 bucket.
- We use the SageMaker's Jupyter Notebook to build the models.
- To make the collected data suitable for machine learning, pre-processing is required. For this purpose, it is necessary to clean the data and prepare it for applying the machine learning techniques.
- After pre-processing the data, we apply our four models on the training set.
- We evaluate these models using the testing set.

5 EVALUATION METRICS

The concept of developing machine learning or artificial intelligence models is based on the principle of constructive feedback. We develop a model, get insights from metrics, improve, and repeat until we obtain the needed accuracy. Evaluation standards explain the model's performance. The capability of evaluation metrics to distinguish between model outputs is an important segment. In this field, we evaluate machine learning instances using a variety of measures. The evaluation metric chosen is entirely dependent on the kind of model and the model's implementation strategy. When we speak of predictive models, we are referring to either a classification approach (binary result) or a regression standard (continuous outcome). Each of these measures uses a different set of evaluation metrics.

5.1 Regression

When estimating a numeric value, such as a length or a sales price, we are not interested in knowing if the model anticipated the value precisely (this may be impractical in practice); rather, we would like to determine how closely the predictions matched the actual data values. Error handles this directly and highlights, in general, how close forecasts were to their predicted values. There are four evaluation measures that are commonly used for assessing and presenting the performance of a regression algorithm as listed below. Though these are the four most frequently utilized regression metrics, there are many others.

- Mean Absolute Error
- Mean Squared Error
- Root Mean Squared Error
- R2 Score

5.1.1 Mean Absolute Error (MAE)

Mean absolute error is a simple and direct metric that computes the fundamental difference between the actual and estimated measures. Now we must determine our standard's mean absolute error, a misconception caused by the method and regarded as an error. Now, we will estimate the difference between the actual and estimated measures; this would be an absolute mistake, though we must determine the mean absolute of the whole testing set. Therefore, add each error and split those by the complete number of instances. Since this is a loss, we must get the lowest mean fundamental error possible.

$$MAE = \frac{1}{N} \sum |y - \hat{y}| \tag{5.1}$$

Where,

y = actual values.

 $\hat{y} =$ predicted values.

N = total data values.

from sklearn.metrics import mean_absolute_error

 $mae = mean_absolute_error(y_test,y_pred)$

The MAE value you received belongs to the identical type as the result parameter. It is the most invulnerable to outliers, but the chart of mean absolute error cannot be distinguishable, so we must utilize different techniques, such as gradient descent, which is distinguishable.

5.1.2 Mean Square Error

MSE is a prominent error measure for regression challenges. Additionally, it is a significant loss function for techniques that fit or optimize regression problems that use the least squares framework. In this context, least squares reduce the mean square error between estimated and actual values. The average or mean of the squared differences between a dataset's calculated and actual numerical values is used to calculate the MSE. It is a regularly used and extremely simple statistic that changes the mean absolute error. Calculating the squared difference between the true and estimated weight is necessary according to the mean squared error. Hence, we discovered the fundamental difference above and the squared difference here. It denotes the squared difference between the real and expected measurements. To the benefit of MSE, we square terms in order to prevent the cancellation of negative words. The graph of mean square error is distinguishable; hence, it can be utilized as a loss function.

$$MSE = \frac{1}{n} \sum (y - \hat{y})^2$$
 (5.2)

Where,

y = actual values.

 $\hat{y} =$ predicted values.

n = total data values.

from sklearn.metrics import mean_squared_error mse = mean_squared_error(y_test , y_pred)

The result of calculating the mean square error is a squared measure of output. If the collected data includes outliers, they are penalized more than the null values, and the evaluated mean square error is high. To outline, the model is not potent to outliers that were advantageous in mean absolute error.

5.1.3 Root Mean Squared Error

As the name suggests, it is simply the square root of the mean squared error. It is simple to comprehend a failure since the outcome we receive falls into the identical unit as the required outcome feature. In contrast to the mean absolute error, it is less robust to outliers. Root mean squared error is commonly employed as a testing measure.

$$RMSE = \sqrt{MSE} \tag{5.3}$$

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum (y - \hat{y})^2}$$
(5.4)

 $rmse = np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(y_test,y_pred))$

5.1.4 R2 Score

The R2 value is a measure that indicates the effectiveness of the prototype rather than the complete loss. As seen above, MSE and MAE are dependent on context, while the R2 value is independent of context. Hence, by employing R2, we can resemble baseline models, something that neither of the other measures enables. In classification problems, we have what is known as a fixed threshold of 0.5. This evaluation metric estimates how considerably better the line of regression stands than a mean line. It is hence correspondingly referred to as the coefficient of determination or, alternatively, as the goodness of fit.

$$R2 \ Score = 1 - \frac{SSr}{SSm} \tag{5.5}$$

Where,

SSr = Squared sum error of regression line.

SSm = Squared sum error of mean line.

The R2 score increases as the regression line approaches perfection and the prototype's implementation enhances. The common situation exists when the R2 score is between zero and one, like 0.8, implying that the instance can justify 80 percent of the variance in the information.

from sklearn.metrics import r2_score

 $r2 = r2_score(y_test,y_pred)$

5.2 Classification

The primary measures used to examine a classification model are F1 score, accuracy, recall, and precision. The percentage of accurate predictions for the testing data is known as accuracy. It is simple to calculate by splitting the number of correct forecasts by the entire number of forecasts. The accuracy measure contains no information on false positives or false negatives. As a result, there is a significant loss of data, as some may help evaluate and improve our model.

5.2.1 Confusion Matrix

This matrix measures the effectiveness of a classification model and is just a N x N matrix (here, N refers to the numeral of labels). For a confusion matrix, every row signifies a real category, whereas every column signifies an anticipated category. The confusion matrix() method from the SkLearn library can be used to create this matrix instantly. A confusion matrix is depicted in Figure 5.1 below.

Predicted Values								
		Negative	Positive					
Values	Negative	True Negative	False Posivite					
Actual	Positive	False Negative	True Positive					

Figure 5.1: Confusion Matrix

From the above confusion matrix:

- True positive (TP) denotes that the real and forecasted values are correct.
- False positive (FP) means that the true value must be false whereas the predicted value is true, indicating an error.

- True negative (TN) implies that both true and predicted values, are untrue.
- False negative (FN) signifies that the real value must be true, while the predicted value is false.

5.2.2 Precision

Precision is described as the ratio of the technique's true positive value and its overall true positive value. Precision could be simply computed using the SkLearn library's precision score() function. Precision will not be enough because a model can only make one true positive prediction while returning the others as negative. As a result, the precision would be 1/(1+0) = 1. Precision, in combination with another measure known as "recall," is required.

$$Precision (P) = \frac{TP}{(TP + FP)}$$
(5.6)

5.2.3 Recall

This metric is referred to as the proportion of the digit of true positives to the complete number of actual positives. The terms "true positive rate" and "sensitivity" are other names for recall. The recall score() procedure in the SkLearn library makes it simple to compute recall.

$$Recall(R) = \frac{TP}{(TP + FN)}$$
(5.7)

5.2.4 F1 Score

Another classification measure that combines recall and accuracy is the recallprecision metric which is known as the f1 score. It is the harmonic average of recall and precision. The harmonic average is much more vulnerable to low values, so the f1 score will be great only after precision and recall are both high. The SkLearn library's f1 score() function makes it simple to compute the f1 score.

$$F_1 Score (R) = \frac{PR}{P+R}$$
(5.8)

Where,

P = Precision.

 $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{Recall}.$

6 RESULTS AND CONCLUSION

6.1 Results

We use regression and classification models to forecast air quality in Indian smart cities. The f1 score, recall, accuracy, and precision are used to assess the SVM and random forest algorithms. The mean square error, R2 score, mean absolute error, and root mean square error are used to assess linear regression and Lasso regression models. We are also calculating the execution time for each model separately. The process is repeated on the Amazon SageMaker service, and the results are compared in order to determine which method predicts air quality in smart cities better.

6.1.1 Regression

The outcomes of air quality prediction employing a regression algorithm would be determined by the model used and the data collected. The regression analysis results could provide insight into the elements most strongly connected with fluctuations in air quality. This research could inform decisions on public policy and other actions that enhance air quality. In general, the outcomes of regression-based air quality prediction can offer valuable data for monitoring and improving air quality, in addition to guiding public health decision-making and policy. The results for all three datasets are provided in the section below. We are presenting the outputs in the form of graphs and tables.



Figure 6.1: Actual vs Predicted graph for Air Quality in India(2015-2019) dataset using linear regression



Figure 6.2: Actual vs Predicted graph for Air Quality in India (2015-2019) dataset using lasso regression

The actual vs. predicted graph for the Air Quality in India(2015-2019) dataset using linear regression is depicted in Figure 6.1, and the same graph for lasso regression is shown in Figure 6.2. In these scatter plots, the actual values in the dataset are graphed against the model's predicted values. These graphs are considered one of the richest forms of data visualization. The diagonal blue line is the line of regression, and the yellow and red dots are the data points. The line of regression is determined from the regression function. This dataset has more data than the other two datasets. Hence, we can see more points in these two graphs.

Comparing these two figures demonstrates that the data points in the lasso model are closer to the regression line than the linear model. These illustrations mean the lasso model has better performance in our work. The R2 value is higher for the lasso method because the nearer the points are to the diagonal line, the greater is the R2 score.

The actual vs. predicted graph for the Air Quality in India(2020) dataset using linear regression is depicted in Figure 6.3, and the same graph for lasso regression is shown in Figure 6.4. We plot the true values that we have in the dataset against the predictions made by our model. The blue line denotes the regression line, and the orange and brown dots represent the data points. The regression function determines the line of regression. The points in these two graphs are fewer when compared to the above two graphs. The reason for this is the amount of data we have in the dataset.

With the lasso model, the points are located nearer the line of regression than in the linear model, as seen when we analyze these two graphs. This analysis implies that the lasso method operates better in our work. The R2 score for the lasso model is higher since the points are nearer to the regression line.

For all three datasets, the depictions are similar, and the graphs 6.5 and 6.6 depict



Figure 6.3: Actual vs Predicted graph for Air Quality in India(2020) dataset using linear regression



Figure 6.4: Actual vs Predicted graph for Air Quality in India(2020) dataset using lasso regression



Figure 6.5: Actual vs Predicted graph for Air Quality in India(2021-2022) dataset using linear regression



Figure 6.6: Actual vs Predicted graph for Air Quality in India(2021-2022) dataset using lasso regression

the actual vs predicted charts for Air quality in India(2021-2022) dataset using linear and lasso regression respectively. Purple and green dots are the data points, and the blue diagonal line represents the regression line. This dataset has very few values displayed in the figures above. In the linear model, the points are almost in the form of a horizontal line. These demonstrations can be because the model is under-fitting. The results of this dataset are the same as the other two datasets. That is, lasso regression outshines linear regression.

The evaluation measures used for all three datasets for testing regression models are listed in three tables. Lasso regression performs better than linear regression according to the evaluation metrics also. Among all the metrics, we consider the R2 score in most cases. For all our datasets, the R2 scores for lasso models are higher than for linear models. All the other measure values should be low for a good model, and in most situations, lasso regression does that; hence it is considered a better approach for estimating air quality in smart cities.

Table 6.1: Evaluation of Regression models for India(2015-2019) dataset.

Evaluation Metrics	MAE	MSE	RMSE	R2 Score
Lasso Regression	0.2218	0.0866	0.2944	80.66
Linear Regression	0.2502	0.1110	0.3332	75.22

The first dataset's evaluation results, the Indian Air Quality from 2015-2019 dataset, are given in Table 6.1. We are getting an R2 score of around 80 percent for the lasso model, more significant than the value obtained for linear regression. All the other measures are higher for the linear model than the lasso model. These measurements indicate that for this dataset, lasso regression does well than linear regression.

Evaluation Metrics	MAE	MSE	RMSE	R2 Score
Lasso Regression	0.1462	0.0343	0.1854	87.56
Linear Regression	0.1806	0.0522	0.2285	81.09

Table 6.2: Evaluation of Regression models for India(2020) dataset.

Above Table 6.2 lists the evaluation metrics for the Air Quality in India(2020) dataset. In this table, the values have less difference for lasso and linear approaches. These results are similar to the dataset above.

Table 6.3: Evaluation of Regression models for India(2021-2022) dataset.

Evaluation Metrics	MAE	MSE	RMSE	R2 Score
Lasso Regression	0.2730	0.1292	0.3595	74.25
Linear Regression	0.3458	0.1158	0.3403	71.42

The evaluation metrics for the Air quality in India(2021-2022) dataset are given in Table 6.3. Here, the R2 score for the lasso algorithm is greater than the linear algorithm, though the values have a minimal difference. But we can see that the mean square error is more impressive for lasso regression along with the root mean square error, which differs from the other two datasets. This difference is due to the amount of data that we have in the dataset.

Lasso regression is a linear regression variant that integrates regularization to avoid over-fitting and enhance the model's predictive performance. Employing lasso regression is particularly beneficial when there are several variables, and a few of them might not be significant. While predicting air quality, numerous possible variables, including all air pollutants, can influence the results. In this case, lasso regression is preferable to simple linear regression. The reasons for this are:

- The most significant variables can be found using lasso regression, whereas irrelevant or minor factors can be disregarded. This can result in a more compact and interpretable model.
- Regularization is used in Lasso regression to reduce over-fitting and enhance model generalization ability by shrinking the coefficients of less significant variables towards zero.
- The accuracy of the model can be increased by adding polynomial or interaction variables in lasso regression to identify non-linear interactions between variables and outcomes.



Figure 6.7: Comparison of R2 Scores for all Datasets

In Figure 6.7, we use a bar graph to compare the R2 scores obtained by linear regression and lasso regression techniques for all three datasets. The green bars 57

indicate linear model values, and the pink bars indicate lasso model scores. The graph shows that for all the datasets, the lasso model surpasses the linear approach.

6.1.2 Classification

Classification is a different way to predict air quality than regression analysis. Classification is the process of categorizing or classifying data based on its characteristics, whereas regression includes estimating a set of continuous numeric The classification model's accuracy would be measured using evaluation values. measures such as f1 score, recall, accuracy, and precision. Here, the model's accuracy is computed as the amount of correct forecasts divided by the complete amount of estimations. In contrast, other metrics are computed using the values from the confusion matrix. These measurements indicate how effectively the model can correctly classify air quality into various categories. However, it is crucial to note that the classification algorithm's accuracy relies on the data quality utilized to construct the model, the features used for classification, and the classification algorithm utilized. Generally, from all the measures we have, the F1 score is preferable. The results are listed in the form of a table for each dataset separately. Additionally, a bar graph is generated, which compares the F1 scores of all datasets.

A confusion matrix gives a prediction summary for a model. It shows the amount of incorrect and correct predictions for every class separately. The precision, F1 scores, and recall are measured using the values obtained from the confusion matrix. Figure 6.8 depicts the confusion matrix of the random forest approach. Here, we have six columns and six rows because the classes we have in our work are six. As we can see in this diagram, the y label illustrates the true or actual values in the dataset. In contrast, the x label represents the values the random forest model predicted.



Figure 6.8: Confusion Matrix of Random forest model



Figure 6.9: Confusion Matrix of Support vector machine model
Figure 6.9 shows the confusion matrix of the support vector machine model. In this, we have six columns and six rows because the classes we have in our work are six. As depicted in this diagram, the y label represents the true or actual values in the dataset, whereas the x label represents the values predicted by the random forest model.

The evaluation results for the first dataset, the Indian Air Quality from 2015-2019 dataset, are given in Table 6.4. The random forest algorithm outperforms the SVM algorithm, as seen in the table. All four measures used for testing the model give higher values for the random forest method than for the support vector machine method. We have more relevant results for this dataset than the other two datasets because the amount of data here is more, which helps the models understand the trends in the dataset more and, in turn, helps them generate accurate predictions.

Evaluation Metrics	Accuracy	Precision	Recall	F1 Score
Random Forest	99.8595	99.8604	99.8672	99.8638
Support Vector Machine	95.4262	91.5197	97.2247	93.6382

Table 6.4: Evaluation of Classification models for India(2015-2019) dataset.

Table 6.5 lists the results for the Air Quality in India(2020) dataset where classification models are evaluated using some metrics. The results are similar to the above dataset, which means the random forest approach has better values than the support vector machine approach. The difference in the values for both techniques is noticeable in this dataset. The accuracy and precision values are very high for the random forest algorithm compared to the recall and F1 scores, but this is not considered problematic as the difference is inadequate.

Evaluation Metrics	Accuracy	Precision	Recall	F1 Score
Random Forest	98.8580	99.0174	90.7186	93.4667
Support Vector Machine	91.3539	88.1005	87.5075	85.1661

Table 6.5: Evaluation of Classification models for India(2020) dataset.

Evaluation results of the classification models for the Air quality in India (2021-2022) dataset are given in Table 6.6. The outcomes are similar to the other two datasets, but the values are lower here because of the data we have. This dataset has fewer records than the other two datasets; therefore, the models get to learn less. The accuracy is not calculated using the values obtained from the confusion matrix. Hence, it is much higher than the support vector model's recall, precision, and F1 score values.

Table 6.6: Evaluation of Classification models for India(2021-2022) dataset.

Evaluation Metrics	Accuracy	Precision	Recall	F1 Score
Random Forest	93.5483	91.4814	94.4421	92.4836
Support Vector Machine	93.5483	72.2214	70.8334	71.5079

The ability of random forests to handle high-dimensional records, like datasets with numerous attributes, is advantageous for predicting air quality. In contrast, SVMs may encounter difficulties with high-dimensional data. For predicting air quality, there can be complicated relationships between the attributes and the target variables, which a random forest algorithm can handle better than an SVM model. Compared to SVMs, random forests are less vulnerable to outliers in the dataset, which can be helpful when there are sometimes extreme values for specific pollutant concentrations that might affect the data.

In Figure 6.10, we compare the F1 scores obtained by the random forest and the SVM algorithms for the three datasets using a bar graph. The green bars indicate random forest model scores, and the brown bars indicate the values of the SVM model. Observations reveal that random forest outshines the support vector machine technique for all the datasets.



Figure 6.10: Comparison of F1 Scores for all Datasets

6.1.3 Cloud Platform

The above-mentioned four regression and classification models are deployed on the cloud platform. We are using Amazon SageMaker service to deploy the models on the cloud. The Amazon SageMaker provides a Jupyter Notebook where we can execute the same Python code. The results reveal that the cloud platform helps reduce the execution time for all four models, and the time required for data pre-processing can also be reduced. The execution times using personal computer Jupyter Notebook and SageMaker's Jupyter Notebook are given in Table 6.7.

Execution time in secs	Personal Computer	Amazon SageMaker
Linear Regression	0.0877	0.0301
Lasso Regression	0.0649	0.0228
Regression with EDA	15.9758	5.6914
Random Forest	2.0584	1.7560
Support Vector Machine	22.3402	17.8313
Classification with EDA	33.6759	21.3631

Table 6.7: Execution Times.

Amazon SageMaker offers high scalability, which means it can efficiently address large datasets and modeling techniques. As a result, it is possible to process vast amounts of data more efficiently, which can drastically decrease the processing time. For projects related to machine learning, Amazon SageMaker offers high-performance computing instances. These instances are equipped with powerful CPUs and GPUs, which can considerably speed the implementation of machine learning models, resulting in rapid predictions.

Prediction time can be significantly decreased by running inference on large datasets parallelly using Amazon SageMaker batch transform. This method is especially beneficial when estimating air quality in smart cities, where a massive amounts of information must be analysed. Overall, Amazon SageMaker delivers a number of capabilities and features that can help reduce the execution time for estimating air quality in smart cities. By utilizing these capabilities, we can produce precise air quality predictions quickly and economically.



Figure 6.11: Execution Time in seconds

A graph is plotted for the execution times in seconds of all the models as shown in Figure 6.11. The x-axis represents the machine learning models, and the y-axis represents the time in seconds. The blue line portrays the execution on the personal computer, and the pink defines execution in Amazon SageMaker. We can see that the execution times are reduced while using a cloud platform which is the key finding of this work. Air quality data is a time series, meaning the values change hourly. A reduced runtime will be beneficial for this type of data. It is also challenging to manage the time series data collected from smart cities because smart cities generate numerous data that require processing time. Cloud computing plays a significant role in situations like this, as it shortens the runtime and aids in storing the vast amounts of data acquired.

6.2 Discussion

This thesis focuses on implementing machine learning algorithms in the cloud to reduce the processing time of the process. We are working on predicting air quality in smart cities to prove the above work. Four models are designed in order to forecast air quality using Indian smart cities datasets for three different periods: 2015–2019, 2020, and 2021–2022. The predictions are tested using evaluation measures, and the results are shown in the form of tables and figures. We are comparing the models according to these evaluation metrics. The core idea behind this work is to implement these models on a cloud platform. For this, we are using Amazon SageMaker services to execute all four models and track their runtime. Results reveal that the execution times for all four models and the pre-processing techniques (EDA) are comparatively low when using the cloud platform. Air quality data is time series data, which means that the values change on an hourly basis. Reducing the runtime will be helpful for this type of data. Smart cities generate a massive amount of data, which requires time for processing. Therefore, using the cloud can be beneficial for handling the large amounts of time series data acquired from these smart cities.

6.3 Conclusion

Smart cities aim to improve our lifestyles and solve many problems for different applications. For example, smart cities have emerged to tackle many critical issues that can thwart the overwhelming urbanization process, such as traffic jams, environmental pollution, expensive health care, and increasing energy demand.

The primary goal of this thesis is to design a model that can forecast air quality in smart cities utilizing cloud computing and machine learning. To determine air quality, regression, and classification techniques are employed. As regression models, both linear regression and lasso regression are used. We apply the support vector machine and random forest techniques as classification models.

We also compute the execution timings for each of the four models and compare them to the times for their cloud-deployed versions. The results demonstrate that lasso regression outshines linear regression among regression techniques, and the random forest algorithm outperforms the support vector machine approach among classification models.

Furthermore, these findings show that when models are executed on the Amazon SageMaker rather than a desktop computer, run-time is reduced. Additionally, accuracy is maintained while execution time is reduced. Amazon SageMaker offers a distributed computing architecture that includes many compute instances that collaborate in a distributed manner, which enables faster processing of large datasets than personal computers. For example, to improve performance and shorten execution times, use a cloud-based solution, Amazon SageMaker, that can dynamically scale the computing resources utilized according to the size of the dataset.

In conclusion, ML could provide an efficient and flexible solution to easily modify the machine learning framework and match their specific requirements despite having pre-defined algorithms and built-in tools. Also, instance type selection and the development of custom training algorithms are easily attainable. We can minimize execution time and improve quality by adapting distributed cloud-based infrastructure for a safe and sustainable smart cities environment.

REFERENCES

- H. Gupta, D. Bhardwaj, H. Agrawal, V. A. Tikkiwal, and A. Kumar, "An iot based air pollution monitoring system for smart cities," in 2019 IEEE International Conference on Sustainable Energy Technologies and Systems (ICSETS), 2019, pp. 173–177.
- [2] H. Chourabi, S. Walker, J. R. Gil-Garcia, and H. J. Scholl, "Understanding smart cities: An integrative framework," 2012 45th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences, pp. 2289–2297, 2012.
- [3] T. Singh, A. Solanki, S. K. Sharma, A. Nayyar, and A. Paul, "A decade review on smart cities: Paradigms, challenges and opportunities," *IEEE Access*, vol. 10, pp. 68319–68364, 2022.
- [4] S. R. Garzon, S. Walther, S. Pang, B. Deva, and A. Küpper, "Urban air pollution alert service for smart cities," in *Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on the Internet of Things*, ser. IOT '18. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 2018. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1145/3277593.3277599
- [5] T. M. Ghazal, M. K. Hasan, M. T. Alshurideh, H. M. Alzoubi, M. Ahmad, S. S. Akbar, B. Al Kurdi, and I. A. Akour, "Iot for smart cities: Machine learning approaches in smart healthcare—a review," *Future Internet*, vol. 13, no. 8, 2021. [Online]. Available: https://www.mdpi.com/1999-5903/13/8/218

- [6] D. Iskandaryan, F. Ramos, and S. Trilles, "Air quality prediction in smart cities using machine learning technologies based on sensor data: A review," *Applied Sciences*, vol. 10, no. 7, 2020. [Online]. Available: https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3417/10/7/2401
- [7] L. Zhihan, C. Dongliang, L. Ranran, and W. Qingjun, "Intelligent edge computing based on machine learning for smart city," *Future Generation Computer Systems*, vol. 115, pp. 90–99, 2021. [Online]. Available: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0167739X20306889
- [8] N. Shahid, M. A. Shah, A. Khan, C. Maple, and G. Jeon, "Towards greener smart cities and road traffic forecasting using air pollution data," *Sustainable Cities and Society*, vol. 72, p. 103062, 2021. [Online]. Available: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2210670721003462
- [9] X. Jiang, R. Wang, T. Chang, Y. Zhang, K. Zheng, R. Wan, and X. Wang, "Effect of short-term air pollution exposure on migraine: A protocol for systematic review and meta-analysis on human observational studies," *Environment International*, p. 107892, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0160412023001654
- [10] K. Mehmood, Y. Bao, Saifullah, W. Cheng, M. A. Khan, N. Siddique, M. M. Abrar, A. Soban, S. Fahad, and R. Naidu, "Predicting the quality of air with machine learning approaches: Current research priorities and future perspectives," *Journal of Cleaner Production*, vol. 379, p. 134656, 2022. [Online]. Available: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/ S0959652622042287
- [11] H. Samih, "Smart cities and internet of things," Journal of Information Technology Case and Application Research, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 3–12, 2019.

[Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1080/15228053.2019.1587572

- [12] M. Y. Thu, W. Htun, Y. L. Aung, P. E. E. Shwe, and N. M. Tun, "Smart air quality monitoring system with lorawan," in 2018 IEEE International Conference on Internet of Things and Intelligence System (IOTAIS), 2018, pp. 10–15.
- [13] N. M. Kumar, S. Goel, and P. K. Mallick, "Smart cities in india: Features, policies, current status, and challenges," in 2018 Technologies for Smart-City Energy Security and Power (ICSESP), 2018, pp. 1–4.
- [14] S. Duangsuwan, A. Takarn, R. Nujankaew, and P. Jamjareegulgarn, "A study of air pollution smart sensors lpwan via nb-iot for thailand smart cities 4.0," in 2018 10th International Conference on Knowledge and Smart Technology (KST), 2018, pp. 206–209.
- [15] L. Bai, J. Wang, X. Ma, and H. Lu, "Air pollution forecasts: An overview," *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, vol. 15, no. 4, 2018. [Online]. Available: https://www.mdpi.com/1660-4601/15/4/780
- [16] W. contributors, "Air quality index Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia," 2023.
 [Online]. Available: https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Air_quality__index
- [17] M. Bisht and K. R. Seeja, "Air pollution prediction using extreme learning machine: A case study on delhi (india)," in *Proceedings of First International Conference on Smart System, Innovations and Computing.* Singapore: Springer Singapore, 2018, pp. 181–189.
- T. E. Karakasidis, F. Sofos, and C. Tsonos, "The electrical conductivity of ionic liquids: Numerical and analytical machine learning approaches," *Fluids*, vol. 7, no. 10, 2022. [Online]. Available: https://www.mdpi.com/2311-5521/7/10/321

- [19] Y. Rybarczyk and R. Zalakeviciute, "Machine learning approaches for outdoor air quality modelling: A systematic review," *Applied Sciences*, vol. 8, no. 12, 2018. [Online]. Available: https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3417/8/12/2570
- [20] Z. Qi, T. Wang, G. Song, W. Hu, X. Li, and Z. Zhang, "Deep air learning: Interpolation, prediction, and feature analysis of fine-grained air quality," *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, vol. 30, no. 12, pp. 2285–2297, 2018.
- [21] E. Gambhir, R. Jain, A. Gupta, and U. Tomer, "Regression analysis of covid-19 using machine learning algorithms," in 2020 International Conference on Smart Electronics and Communication (ICOSEC), 2020, pp. 65–71.
- [22] G. E. Kulkarni, A. A. Muley, N. K. Deshmukh, and P. U. Bhalchandra, "Autoregressive integrated moving average time series model for forecasting air pollution in nanded city, maharashtra, india," 2018, pp. 1435–1444. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1007/s40808-018-0493-2
- [23] S. Kavitha, S. Varuna, and R. Ramya, "A comparative analysis on linear regression and support vector regression," in 2016 Online International Conference on Green Engineering and Technologies (IC-GET), 2016, pp. 1–5.
- [24] M. Carney, B. Webster, I. Alvarado, K. Phillips, N. Howell, J. Griffith, J. Jongejan, A. Pitaru, and A. Chen, "Teachable machine: Approachable web-based tool for exploring machine learning classification," in *Extended Abstracts of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, ser. CHI EA '20. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 2020, p. 1–8. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1145/3334480.3382839
- [25] P. C. Sen, M. Hajra, and M. Ghosh, "Supervised classification algorithms in machine learning: A survey and review," in *Emerging Technology in Modelling*

and Graphics, J. K. Mandal and D. Bhattacharya, Eds. Singapore: Springer Singapore, 2020, pp. 99–111.

- [26] J. Wiley and L. Sons, Introduction to Machine Learning, 2019, ch. 1, pp. 1–25. [Online]. Available: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/ 9781119556749.ch1
- [27] Rubal and D. Kumar, "Evolving differential evolution method with random forest for prediction of air pollution," *Procedia Computer Science*, vol. 132, pp. 824–833, 2018, international Conference on Computational Intelligence and Data Science. [Online]. Available: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/ article/pii/S1877050918308263
- [28] N. U. Khan, M. A. Shah, C. Maple, E. Ahmed, and N. Asghar, "Traffic flow prediction: An intelligent scheme for forecasting traffic flow using air pollution data in smart cities with bagging ensemble," *Sustainability*, vol. 14, no. 7, 2022.
 [Online]. Available: https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/14/7/4164
- [29] Q. Liu, J. Gu, J. Yang, Y. Li, D. Sha, M. Xu, I. Shams, M. Yu, and C. Yang, *Cloud, Edge, and Mobile Computing for Smart Cities*. Springer Singapore, 2021, pp. 757–795. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1007/ 978-981-15-8983-6_41
- [30] Y.-S. Chang, H.-T. Chiao, S. Abimannan, Y.-P. Huang, Y.-T. Tsai, and K.-M. Lin, "An lstm-based aggregated model for air pollution forecasting," *Atmospheric Pollution Research*, vol. 11, no. 8, pp. 1451–1463, 2020. [Online]. Available: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1309104220301215
- [31] D. Schürholz, S. Kubler, and A. Zaslavsky, "Artificial intelligence-enabled context-aware air quality prediction for smart cities," *Journal of Cleaner*

Production, vol. 271, p. 121941, 2020. [Online]. Available: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0959652620319880

- [32] Y. Qian, D. Wu, W. Bao, and P. Lorenz, "The internet of things for smart cities: Technologies and applications," *IEEE Network*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 4–5, 2019.
- [33] S. Mehta, B. Bhushan, and R. Kumar, Machine Learning Approaches for Smart City Applications: Emergence, Challenges and Opportunities.
 Springer International Publishing, 2022, pp. 147–163. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-90119-6_12
- [34] W. contributors, "Deep learning Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia," 2023,
 [Online; accessed 22-March-2023]. [Online]. Available: https://en.wikipedia. org/w/index.php?title=Deep_learning&oldid=1145954968
- [35] B. S. Freeman, G. Taylor, B. Gharabaghi, and J. Thé, "Forecasting air quality time series using deep learning," *Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association*, vol. 68, no. 8, pp. 866–886, 2018. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1080/10962247.2018.1459956
- [36] S. Du, T. Li, Y. Yang, and S.-J. Horng, "Deep air quality forecasting using hybrid deep learning framework," *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, vol. 33, no. 6, pp. 2412–2424, 2021.
- [37] S. M. Cabaneros, J. K. Calautit, and B. R. Hughes, "A review of artificial neural network models for ambient air pollution prediction," *Environmental Modelling Software*, vol. 119, pp. 285–304, 2019. [Online]. Available: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1364815218306352
- [38] S. Ameer, M. A. Shah, A. Khan, H. Song, C. Maple, S. U. Islam, and M. N. Asghar, "Comparative analysis of machine learning techniques for predicting air quality in smart cities," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 128325–128338, 2019.

- [39] R. Murugan and N. Palanichamy, "Smart city air quality prediction using machine learning," in 2021 5th International Conference on Intelligent Computing and Control Systems (ICICCS), 2021, pp. 1048–1054.
- [40] M. Castelli, F. M. Clemente, A. Popovič, S. Silva, and L. Vanneschi, "A machine learning approach to predict air quality in california," p. 8049504, 2020. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/8049504
- [41] U. Mahalingam, K. Elangovan, H. Dobhal, C. Valliappa, S. Shrestha, and G. Kedam, "A machine learning model for air quality prediction for smart cities," in 2019 International Conference on Wireless Communications Signal Processing and Networking (WiSPNET), 2019, pp. 452–457.
- [42] T. W. Ayele and R. Mehta, "Air pollution monitoring and prediction using iot," pp. 1741–1745, 2018.
- [43] B. Ghose and Z. Rehena, "A deep learning approach for predicting air pollution in smart cities," in *Computational Intelligence and Machine Learning*. Singapore: Springer Singapore, 2021, pp. 29–38.
- [44] A. Bekkar, B. Hssina, S. Douzi, and K. Douzi, "Air-pollution prediction in smart city, deep learning approach," *Journal of Big Data*, vol. 8, p. 161, 2021.
 [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1186/s40537-021-00548-1
- [45] C.-J. Huang and P.-H. Kuo, "A deep cnn-lstm model for particulate matter (pm2.5) forecasting in smart cities," *Sensors*, vol. 18, no. 7, 2018. [Online]. Available: https://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/18/7/2220
- [46] I. Kök, M. U. Şimşek, and S. Ozdemir, "A deep learning model for air quality prediction in smart cities," in 2017 IEEE International Conference on Big Data (Big Data), 2017, pp. 1983–1990.

- [47] Y. Mehta, M. Manohara Pai, S. Mallissery, and S. Singh, "Cloud enabled air quality detection, analysis and prediction - a smart city application for smart health," in 2016 3rd MEC International Conference on Big Data and Smart City (ICBDSC), 2016, pp. 1–7.
- [48] S. Al-Janabi, M. Mohammad, and A. Al-Sultan, "A new method for prediction of air pollution based on intelligent computation," *Soft Computing*, vol. 24, pp. 661–680, 2020. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00500-019-04495-1
- [49] D. Zhu, C. Cai, T. Yang, and X. Zhou, "A machine learning approach for air quality prediction: Model regularization and optimization," *Big Data and Cognitive Computing*, vol. 2, no. 1, 2018. [Online]. Available: https://www.mdpi.com/2504-2289/2/1/5
- [50] A. V. Joshi, Amazon's Machine Learning Toolkit: Sagemaker. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2020, pp. 233–243. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-26622-6_24
- [51] N. Rauschmayr, V. Kumar, R. Huilgol, A. Olgiati, S. Bhattacharjee, N. Harish, V. Kannan, A. Lele, A. Acharya, J. Nielsen, L. Ramakrishnan, I. Bhatt, K. Chia, N. Dodda, Z. Li, J. Gu, M. Choi, B. Nagarajan, J. Geevarghese, D. Davydenko, S. Li, L. Huang, E. Kim, T. Hill, and K. Kenthapadi, "Amazon sagemaker debugger: A system for real-time insights into machine learning model training," in *Proceedings of Machine Learning and Systems*, A. Smola, A. Dimakis, and I. Stoica, Eds., vol. 3, 2021, pp. 770–782.
- [52] E. Liberty, Z. Karnin, B. Xiang, L. Rouesnel, B. Coskun, R. Nallapati,
 J. Delgado, A. Sadoughi, Y. Astashonok, P. Das, C. Balioglu, S. Chakravarty,
 M. Jha, P. Gautier, D. Arpin, T. Januschowski, V. Flunkert, Y. Wang,
 J. Gasthaus, L. Stella, S. Rangapuram, D. Salinas, S. Schelter, and A. Smola,

"Elastic machine learning algorithms in amazon sagemaker," in *Proceedings of the 2020 ACM SIGMOD International Conference on Management of Data*, ser. SIGMOD '20. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 2020, p. 731–737. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1145/3318464.3386126

[53] M. Zia Ur Rahman, B. V. Vardhan, L. Jenith, V. Rakesh Reddy, S. Surekha, and P. Srinivasareddy, "Adaptive exon prediction using maximum error normalized algorithms," in *Proceedings of 2nd International Conference on Artificial Intelligence: Advances and Applications*. Springer Nature Singapore, 2022, pp. 511–523. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-6332-1

A Appendix

Python Code for Data Pre-processing

Dataset:

https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/rohanrao/air-quality-data-in-india
https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/cpluzshrijayan/air-qualityprediction-harbor

import time

start = time.perf_counter()

#import statements

import pandas as pd import numpy as np import matplotlib.pyplot as plt import seaborn as sns from sklearn.impute import SimpleImputer from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split from imblearn.over_sampling import SMOTE

#importing dataset

df = pd.read_csv("India_2015_2020.csv")
print(df)

```
#dividing the dataset
```

```
df['Date'] = pd.to_datetime(df['Date'], errors='coerce')
df['Year'] = df['Date'].dt.year
#print(df['Year'])
year = df[ (df['Year']>= 2020) ].index
df.drop(year , inplace=True)
#print(df)
```

```
#dropping the unnecessary columns
df = df.drop(columns=['City','Date','Year'])
```

```
def remove_rows(data, column):
```

```
return data[data[column].isna()==False]
```

for i in null_rows:

#null values

df = remove_rows(df, i)

imputer = SimpleImputer()

```
df[impute_columns] = imputer.fit_transform(df[impute_columns])
```

```
df.isna().sum()/df.shape[0]
```

#correlation matrix

```
dataset_corr_matrix=df[[i for i in df.columns if i!="AQI_Bucket"]]
plt.figure(figsize=(12,10))
corr_matrix = dataset_corr_matrix.corr()
sns.heatmap(corr_matrix, annot=True, cmap=plt.cm.Reds)
plt.show()
```

```
#bar plot for AQI Range
```

```
plt.figure(figsize=(10,8))
dataset["AQI_Bucket"].value_counts().plot(kind='bar',color='salmon')
plt.xticks(rotation=30)
plt.savefig("AQI_bucket bar plot")
plt.show()
```

```
#splitting the dataset for regression
X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(
    df[[i for i in df.columns if i not in ["AQI","AQI_Bucket"]]],
    df["AQI"],
    test_size=0.2,
    random_state=100
    )
#splitting the dataset for classification
y = dataset["AQI_Bucket"]
X = dataset[['PM2.5', 'PM10', 'NO', 'NO2', 'NOx', 'NH3', 'CO', 'SO2',
```

```
'O3', 'Benzene', 'Toluene', 'Xylene', 'AQI']]
```

```
X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(
    X, y, test_size = 0.2, random_state = 0
)
```

#SMOTE technique

samples = SMOTE()
X_train,y_train = samples.fit_resample(X_train,y_train)
print('After SMOTE:',Counter(y_train))

B Appendix

Python Code for Regression

Linear Regression

#execution time for linear regression

lr_start = time.perf_counter()

from sklearn.linear_model import LinearRegression

model_lr = LinearRegression()
model_lr.fit(X_train, y_train)

from sklearn.metrics import mean_squared_error,

mean_absolute_error, r2_score

#predictions using linear regression

lr_pred = model_lr.predict(X_test)

```
#evaluation metrics
print('mae: {}'.format( mean_absolute_error((y_test), (lr_pred))))
print('mse: {}'.format(mean_squared_error((y_test), (lr_pred))))
print('rmse: {}'.format(mean_squared_error((y_test), (lr_pred),
```

```
squared=False)))
```

print('r2: {}'.format(r2_score((y_test), (lr_pred))))

```
lr_end = time.perf_counter()
```

print("\nExecution time : {:.4f}".format(lr_end - lr_start), "seconds")

```
#actual vs predicted values graph
plt.figure(figsize=(8,8))
plt.scatter(y_test, lr_pred, c='yellow')
p1 = max(max(lr_pred), max(y_test))
p2 = min(min(lr_pred), min(y_test))
plt.plot([p1, p2], [p1, p2])
plt.xlabel('Actual Values', fontsize = 20)
plt.ylabel('Predicted Values', fontsize = 20)
plt.axis('equal')
plt.savefig("act vs pred lr")
plt.show()
```

Lasso Regression

```
#execution time for lasso regression
lasso_start = time.perf_counter()
```

from sklearn.linear_model import Lasso

model = Lasso(alpha=0.1)

model fit(X_train, y_train)

#predictions using lasso regression

lasso_pred = model.predict(X_test)

```
#evaluation metrics
```

```
print('mae: {}'.format( mean_absolute_error((y_test), (lasso_pred))))
print('mse: {}'.format(mean_squared_error((y_test), (lasso_pred))))
```

print('rmse: {}'.format(mean_squared_error((y_test), (lasso_pred),

squared=False)))

```
print('r2: {}'.format(r2_score((y_test), (lasso_pred))))
```

```
lasso_end = time.perf_counter()
```

```
#actual vs predicted values graph
plt.figure(figsize=(8,8))
plt.scatter(y_test, lasso_pred, c='red')
p1 = max(max(lasso_pred), max(y_test))
p2 = min(min(lasso_pred), min(y_test))
plt.plot([p1, p2], [p1, p2])
plt.xlabel('Actual Values', fontsize = 20)
```

```
plt.ylabel('Predicted Values', fontsize = 20)
plt.axis('equal')
plt.savefig("act vs pred lasso")
plt.show()
```

```
end = time.perf_counter()
```

print("\nExecution time : {:.4f}".format(end - start), "seconds")

C Appendix

Python Code for Classification

Support Vector Machine

#execution time for support vector machine model

svm_start = time.perf_counter()

from sklearn.svm import SVC

svm=SVC()

svm.fit(X_train,y_train)

svmpred=svm.predict(X_test)

#evaluation metrics

#confusion matrix

confusion_matrix_svm = confusion_matrix(y_test,svmpred,

labels=svm.classes_)

#print("Confusion Matrix\n {}".format(confusion_matrix_sum))

```
accuracy=accuracy_score(y_test,svmpred)
print("\nAccuracy",accuracy*100)
prec = precision_score(y_test,svmpred,average='macro')
rec = recall_score(y_test,svmpred,average='macro')
f1 = f1_score(y_test,svmpred, average='macro')
```

```
print("Precision",prec*100)
print("Recall",rec*100)
print("F1 score",f1*100)
```

```
svm_end = time.perf_counter()
```

cmd = ConfusionMatrixDisplay(confusion_matrix_svm,

```
display_labels=svm.classes_)
```

```
cmd.plot(xticks_rotation=32)
```

```
fig = cmd.ax_.get_figure()
```

```
fig.set_figwidth(9)
```

```
fig.set_figheight(7)
```

```
plt.xlabel("Predicted", fontsize=15)
```

```
plt.ylabel("True", fontsize=15)
```

plt.savefig("confusion matrix svm")

plt.show()

Random Forest

```
#execution time for random forest
```

rf_start = time.perf_counter()

from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestClassifier

```
rf = RandomForestClassifier(n_estimators=20, random_state=23)
rf.fit(X_train, y_train)
rfpredict=rf.predict(X_test)
```

#print("Confusion Matrix\n {}".format(confusion_matrix_rf))

accuracy_accuracy_score(y_test,rfpredict)
print("\nAccuracy",accuracy*100)

```
prec = precision_score(y_test, rfpredict,average='macro')
rec = recall_score(y_test, rfpredict,average='macro')
f1 = f1_score(y_test, rfpredict, average='macro')
print("Precision",prec*100)
print("Recall",rec*100)
```

```
print("F1 score",f1*100)
```

```
rf_end = time.perf_counter()
```

```
print("\nExecution time : {:.4f}".format(rf_end - rf_start),
```

```
"seconds")
```

```
cmd1 = ConfusionMatrixDisplay(confusion_matrix_rf,
```

```
display_labels=rf.classes_)
```

```
cmd1.plot(xticks_rotation=32)
```

```
fig = cmd1.ax_.get_figure()
```

```
fig.set_figwidth(9)
```

```
fig.set_figheight(7)
```

```
plt.xlabel("Predicted",fontsize=15)
```

```
plt.ylabel("True",fontsize=15)
```

```
plt.savefig("confusion matrix rf")
```

```
plt.show()
```

```
end = time.perf_counter()
```

print("\nExecution time : {:.4f}".format(end - start), "seconds")