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POSITIVE PERTURBATIONS OF UNBOUNDED OPERATORS

J. DOMBROWSKI

ABSTRACT. This work studies the spectral properties of certain unbounded selfadjoint operators by considering positive perturbations of such operators and the unitary equivalence of the perturbed and unperturbed transformations. Conditions are obtained on the unitary operators implementing this equivalence which guarantee that the selfadjoint operators have an absolutely continuous part.

1. Introduction. Let $J$ be a selfadjoint operator defined on a dense subset $D_J$ of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$. For $J = \int \lambda dE_\lambda$, denote by $\mathcal{K}_a(J)$ the set of elements $x$ in $\mathcal{K}$ for which $\|E_\lambda x\|^2$ is an absolutely continuous function of $\lambda$. It can be shown that $\mathcal{K}_a(J)$ is a subspace of $\mathcal{K}$ which reduces $J$. (See Halmos [1, p. 104]; Kato [2, p. 516].) The restriction of $J$ to $\mathcal{K}_a(J) \cap D_J$ is called the absolutely continuous part of $J$. If $\mathcal{K}_a(J) = \mathcal{K}$, the operator $J$ is said to be absolutely continuous. The absolutely continuous part of a unitary operator $U$, with spectral resolution $U = \int e^{i\lambda} dF_\lambda$, is defined in a similar way. Finally, for any operator $A$ on $\mathcal{K}$ let $\text{sp}(A)$ denote the spectrum of $A$.

The subject of this paper is a selfadjoint operator $J$ which for some bounded nonnegative operator $D$ and unitary operator $U$ satisfies an equation of the form

\begin{equation}
UJU^* = J + D \quad \text{with } \text{sp}(U) \neq \{z: |z| = 1\},
\end{equation}

so that $J$ is unitarily equivalent to $J + D$. Under the assumption that for some real constant $c$, $J \geqslant cI$ or $J \leqslant cI$, it has been shown by Putnam [3, Theorem 2.12.2, p. 38] that $J$ has an absolutely continuous part. Also, if $J$ is unbounded and $(-\infty, \infty) - \text{sp}(J)$ contains an open interval of length greater than $\|D\|$, then $J$ must have an absolutely continuous part (see Putnam [3]). It is the purpose of this paper to consider an unbounded selfadjoint operator $J$ satisfying (1.1) with no restrictions on the spectrum of $J$. Appropriate examples will be presented. This work was motivated by the questions posed in Putnam [4].

2. Main result. The main result to be established is as follows.

THEOREM. Let $J$ be selfadjoint with domain $D_J$ dense in $\mathcal{K}$. Suppose that there exists a unitary operator $U$ and a bounded operator $D \geqslant 0$, $D \neq 0$, satisfying
If for some real $\theta$ for which $e^{i\theta} \not\in \sp(U)$, $(I - e^{i\theta} U^*)^{-1}(D_f) \subset D_f$, then $J$ has an absolutely continuous part.

**Proof.** Let $U_{\theta} = e^{-i\theta} U$. Since $e^{i\theta} \not\in \sp(U)$, $1 \not\in \sp(U_{\theta})$. Therefore $U_{\theta}$ is the Cayley transform of a bounded selfadjoint operator $Q$. In particular,

$$U_{\theta} = (Q - iI)(Q + iI)^{-1} = [I - 2i(Q + iI)^{-1}].$$

For $x$ in $D_f$, $U_{\theta} J U_{\theta}^* x = Jx + Dx$ and so $J U_{\theta}^* x = U_{\theta}^* Jx + U_{\theta}^* Dx$. It then follows that

$$J[I + 2i(Q - iI)^{-1}]x = [I + 2i(Q - iI)^{-1}]Jx + U_{\theta}^* Dx,$$

or equivalently, that

$$J(Q - iI)^{-1}x = (Q - iI)^{-1}Jx - \frac{1}{2} i U_{\theta}^* Dx.$$

If $C$ is defined by $D = 2(Q + iI)^{-1} C(Q - iI)^{-1}$, then

$$J(Q - iI)^{-1}x = (Q - iI)^{-1}Jx - i(Q - iI)^{-1} C(Q - iI)^{-1}x.$$

For $x$ in $D_f$, let $y = (Q - iI)^{-1}x$. Then $x = (Q - iI)y$ and

$$(Q - iI)Jy = J(Q - iI)y - iCy.$$

It follows immediately that

$$QJ = JQ - iC \quad \text{on } (Q - iI)^{-1}(D_f).$$

Note that since $U_{\theta}^* = I + 2i(Q - iI)^{-1}$ and $U_{\theta}^*(D_f) \subset D_f$, $(Q - iI)^{-1}(D_f) \subset D_f$.

For $y$ in $(Q - iI)^{-1}(D_f)$ let $z = (J - iI)y$. Then $y = (J - iI)^{-1}z$ and

$$(J - iI)^{-1}Qz = Q(J - iI)^{-1}z - i(J - iI)^{-1}C(J - iI)^{-1}z.$$

It then follows that

$$[I + 2i(J - iI)^{-1}]Qz = Q[I + 2i(J - iI)^{-1}]z + 2(J - iI)^{-1}C(J - iI)^{-1}z.$$

Let $V$ denote the Cayley transform of $J$ so that

$$V = (J - iI)(J + iI)^{-1} = [I - 2i(J + iI)^{-1}].$$

Then

$$V^* Qz = QV^* z + 2(J - iI)^{-1} C(J - iI)^{-1}z.$$

Hence

$$VQV^* = -2(J + iI)^{-1} C(J - iI)^{-1} \quad \text{on } (J - iI)(Q - iI)^{-1}(D_f).$$
Since, as noted above, \((Q - iI)^{-1}(D_J) \subseteq D_J\) it follows that \((Q - iI)(D_J) \supset D_J\). But

\[
Q = i(I + U\theta)(I - U\theta)^{-1} = -i(I + U\theta^*)(I - U\theta^*)^{-1}
\]

and, by hypothesis, \(D_J\) is invariant under \(U\theta^*\) and \((I - U\theta^*)^{-1}\). Therefore \(D_J\) is invariant under \(Q\) and hence under \((Q - iI)\). Thus \((Q - iI)D_J = D_J\) and \((J - iI)(Q - iI)^{-1}D_J = \mathcal{K}\).

It has been shown, therefore, that the equation

\[
VQV^* - Q = -2(J + iI)^{-1}C(J - iI)^{-1}
\]

is valid on the entire space \(\mathcal{K}\). It now follows from [3, Theorem 2.3.21] that \(\mathcal{K}_a(V) = \mathcal{K}_a(J)\) contains the least subspace of \(\mathcal{K}\) reducing \(V\) and containing the range of \(-2(J + iI)^{-1}C(J - iI)^{-1}\), which completes the proof.

**Corollary.** If, in addition to the conditions imposed on \(J\), \(U\) and \(D\) in the above Theorem, 0 is not in the point spectrum of \(D\), then \(J\) is absolutely continuous.

**Remark.** The condition \((I - e^{i\theta}U^*)^{-1}(D_J) \subseteq D_J\) is used to show that the set \((J - iI)(Q - iI)^{-1}(D_J)\) in (2.1) is dense in \(\mathcal{K}\), a fact which is not obvious if \(J\) is unbounded. That the condition is not that restrictive will be illustrated by the following example.

3. **An example.** Consider \(\mathcal{K} = L^2(-\infty, \infty)\). Let \(P = -id/dx\) and choose \(m(x)\) to be a real valued measurable function on \((-\infty, \infty)\) with the property that \(J = P + m\) on \(D_J = \{f \in L^2(-\infty, \infty): f\ absolutely\ continuous, -if' + mf(x) \in L^2(-\infty, \infty)\}\) is selfadjoint. [It is sufficient, for example, that \(m(x)\) be bounded.]

Let \(q(x)\) be a real valued, positive, measurable function on \((-\infty, \infty)\) which is bounded above. If \(D\) denotes the selfadjoint operator on \(\mathcal{K}\) corresponding to multiplication by \(q(x)\) then \(D\) is nonnegative and bounded. Let \(U\) denote the unitary operator on \(\mathcal{K}\) corresponding to multiplication by \(e^{-i\theta(x)}\) where \(\phi(x) = \int_0^x q(t) dt\). Then \(UU^* = J + D\). (See Kato [2, pp. 528–529].) Also, as noted in Putnam [4], a similar argument shows that for any real constant \(c, P + m + c\) is unitarily equivalent to \(P + m\). Hence \(\text{sp}(J) = (-\infty, \infty)\). Finally, if \(q(x)\) is chosen so that \(\int_{-\infty}^{-\infty} q(t) dt < \pi\), then \(\text{sp}(U) \neq \{z: |z| = 1\}\). The aim now is to show that \(J\) is absolutely continuous.

For some real \(\theta, e^{i\theta} \notin \text{sp}(U)\). Hence \((I - e^{i\theta}U^*)^{-1}\) exists and, in fact, corresponds to multiplication by \([1 - e^{i[\theta + \phi(x)]}]^{-1}\) where, as defined above, \(\phi(x) = \int_0^x q(t) dt\). To show that \((I - e^{i\theta}U^*)^{-1}(D_J) \subseteq D_J\), choose \(f\) in \(D_J\) and let \(g = (I - e^{i\theta}U^*)^{-1}f\). Since \((I - e^{i\theta}U^*)^{-1}\) is a bounded operator on \(\mathcal{K}\) it is clear that \(g\) is in \(L^2(-\infty, \infty)\). Furthermore, \(g(x) = \chi(x)f(x)\) where \(\chi(x) = (1 - e^{i[\theta + \phi(x)]})^{-1}\). Thus \(g\) is a product of absolutely continuous functions and hence is itself absolutely continuous. Finally, a direct computation shows that \(-ig' + mg = [-if' + mf] - ix\text{f}\). Since \(f\) is in \(D_J\) and \(\chi\) and \(\chi'\) are bounded, it follows that \(-ig' + mg\) is in \(L^2(-\infty, \infty)\) and hence that \(g\) is in \(D_J\).
The Theorem of §2 shows, therefore, that if $J = P + m$ is selfadjoint, then $J$ has an absolutely continuous part. Moreover, since 0 is not in the point spectrum of $D$, $J$ is absolutely continuous.

**Remarks.** That $J = P + m$ is absolutely continuous can also be established as follows. If $m$ is locally integrable on $(-\infty, \infty)$ it is a consequence of [5, Theorem 10.6] that $J$ is unitarily equivalent to $P$ and hence absolutely continuous. Moreover, as observed by the referee, it can be shown that $m$ must be locally integrable on $(-\infty, \infty)$ for $J$ to be selfadjoint.

Finally, it is perhaps worth noting that operator equations of the form $U_t A U_t^* = A + D (-\infty < t < \infty)$ with $A$ selfadjoint, $D$ nonnegative, and $U_t = e^{itH}$ for some fixed selfadjoint operator $H$, do occur in quantum mechanics. Here $A$ represents an observable on a quantum mechanical system with Hamiltonian $H$, which does not explicitly depend on time. In case $A$ is bounded or half-bounded several results are given in Putnam [3, pp. 40–41]. (See also the references cited there.) It seems that further investigations in this direction could lead to some interesting applications.
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