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Campus Communication

date: May 12, 1976
to: Members of the Academic Council
from: Glenn Graham, Secretary, Steering Committee
subject: Agenda, Academic Council Meeting, Monday, May 17, 1976

Members of the Academic Council will reconvene to conclude the May 3, 1976 meeting at 3:10 P.M., Monday, May 17, 1976, in the Cafeteria of the University Center.

I. Call to Order.

II. New Business:

A. Approval of recommendation from College of Education conferring status of Professor Emeritus on Mary Harbage.

B. Academic Council - Calendar, June 1976-June 1977 (See Attachment A).

C. Election of Steering Committee.

III. Adjournment.
I. The meeting, a continuation of the May 3, 1976, meeting, was reconvened by Chairman Pro Tem Vice Provost Murray, at 3:15 P.M., in the cafeteria of the University Center.

Present:

Absent:

Mr. Murray reminded Council that the May 3, 1976 meeting had been suspended in order to allow for the student elections and the selection of the Deans to serve on the Council for the next academic year. He then asked the students who were in attendance to introduce themselves. Those in attendance were:

Joe Silver, Student at Large
Jim Baldwin, College of Business & Administration
Jayne Lynch, College of Liberal Arts
David Hamilton, Grad School

Those students who were elected but not in attendance are:

Joy Booghier, College of Education
Tom Hubbard, College of Science & Engineering
Nelda Martinez, School of Nursing

Mr. Murray then asked the new Deans to introduce themselves. The two in attendance were:

Eugene B. Cantelupe, College of Liberal Arts
Brian L. Hutchings, College of Science and Engineering

The other Dean, Roger G. Iddings, College of Education, was not in attendance.
II. New Business:

Mr. Murray stated that items under New Business could only be handled if the rules were suspended. It was agreed that each item would be handled separately.

A. Approval of recommendation from College of Education conferring status of Professor Emeritus on Mary Harbage.

It was moved and seconded that the rules be suspended in order for Council to act.

Motion to suspend rules passed unanimously by voice vote.

Mr. Graham then read a brief biography in support of the College of Education's recommendation.

It was moved and seconded that Council approve the recommendation to confer status of Professor Emeritus on Mary Harbage.

Motion passed unanimously by voice vote.


Moved and seconded to suspend the rules.

Motion passed unanimously by voice vote.

After some discussion, it was agreed that the meeting date listed for November 8, 1976, was probably a typographical error. It was agreed the date should read November 1, 1976.

Moved and seconded to approve schedule of meetings as corrected.

Motion passed unanimously by voice vote.

C. Election of Steering Committee.

Moved and seconded to suspend the rules, to elect a Steering Committee.

Motion passed by voice vote.
Mr. Murray reminded Council that this body requires one member from the School of Medicine, one member from the School of Nursing, one member from each of the other colleges, and one student, making a total membership of seven members on the Steering Committee.

Mr. Falkner stated this structure was approved at the February 24, 1976 general faculty meeting.

Mr. Graham stated that the Steering Committee actually consists of ten members, but seven come from the Academic Council.

Mr. Murray then asked Mr. Falkner to read the names of the representatives from the various colleges.

Mr. Falkner read the names and nominations were made, summarized as follows:

Mr. Brown nominated Mr. Castellano (College of Business & Administration).
Mr. Graham nominated Mr. Matczynski (College of Education).
Mr. Sutch nominated Mr. Pacernick (College of Liberal Arts).
Ms. Cummings nominated Mr. Fortman (College of Science & Engineering).
Mr. Wright as the only representative from the School of Medicine was approved.
Ms. Battigaglia as the only representative from the School of Nursing was approved.
Ms. Torres nominated Miss Martinez for student representative, followed by a nomination by Miss Lynch of Mr. Silver.

Prior to voting on the two persons nominated, discussion revealed Miss Martinez did not have voting rights on the Academic Council. The two nominations were rescinded.

Following each individual nomination, motions were made and seconded to close the nominations, with approval being given by voice vote.

The slate of nominees were approved by voice vote approval. There was only one abstaining vote, that being an objection by Ms. Torres to the exclusion of Miss Martinez.

Mr. Schmidt, who was in attendance, was asked to explain why Miss Martinez did not have voting rights. He stated that the Student Caucus had given the
School of Nursing a seat on the Caucus. However, in order for the School of Nursing to have voting rights on the Academic Council, the Council would have to expand its constitution to seat a School of Nursing representative.

It was moved and seconded to proceed with the elections and to elect a student representative from the other six persons.

Mr. Silver then nominated Miss Lynch.

Following the nomination of Miss Lynch, motion was made and seconded to close the student nominations. Approval was given by voice vote.

Motion was made and seconded to approve the slate of candidates.

All were approved and elected by voice vote approval.

Mr. Murray asked if there were any other items of new business.

Mr. Fortman stated the Council should remand to the appropriate body, probably the Constitutional Review Committee, the need for approval of a representative from the School of Nursing, in view of the difficulties encountered today. All agreed this should, indeed, be done.

III. There being no additional items of business introduced, a motion for adjournment was heard, seconded, and passed at 3:40 P.M.