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ABSTRACT

Background: Sentinel lymph node biopsy is widely used in the management of melanoma patients. Multiple markers are used to stain sentinel lymph node tissue including S100, HMB-45 and melan A with different success. We investigated, for the first time, the use of Microphthalmia transcription factor (Mitf) staining in a larger series of sentinel lymph nodes. Mitf is a transcription factor essential for the development and survival of melanocytes. It has been introduced recently as a sensitive and specific marker for melanomas. Methods: Thirty patients with cutaneous melanoma were included in our study: twenty patients underwent sentinel lymph node biopsy; ten patients underwent complete lymph node dissection for clinically positive disease. Results: Ten out of twenty sentinel lymph nodes were negative for tumor cells and showed no Mitf staining. Out of the ten positive sentinel lymph nodes, eight were also positive for Mitf. Only four out of the ten clinically positive lymph nodes stained for Mitf. Conclusions: We conclude that Mitf can be used as an additional marker for evaluating sentinel lymph nodes in patients with melanoma. In addition, our results imply that Mitf is involved in melanoma differentiation.
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1. Introduction

Trends in the management of patients with malignant melanoma are rapidly changing. Novel techniques for lymphatic mapping of regional lymph nodes with sentinel lymphadenectomy have greatly impacted the care of these patients eliminating the need for elective lymphadenectomy in approximately 80% of cases [1]. Pathologic sentinel lymph node (SLN) status in clinically node-negative patients is an important independent prognostic factor [2,3,4]. The number of metastatic nodes, the tumor burden at the time of staging, and the presence or absence of ulceration of the primary melanoma are the most significant predictors of outcome as shown in an multivariate analysis of 17,600 melanoma patients [3,4]. However, the presence of melanoma cells in SLNs can be difficult to detect, even when sections from the entire node are cut and examined thoroughly. All lymph nodes other than those that contain obvious tumor on visual inspection must be examined by immunohistochemistry. If immunohistochemistry is not routinely performed, approximately 12% of positive SLNs will be miscategorized as tumor negative [5]. Traditionally used immunohistochemical markers in melanoma have disadvantages. Monoclonal antibody to S100 protein, a calcium-binding protein originally isolated from cow brain, is a sensitive marker that reacts with more than 90% of melanomas. It remains the most sensitive marker for both neval cells and melanomas, including amelanotic and spindle cell variants, but unfortunately, cannot differentiate benign from malignant cells [5-8]. In addition, S100 lacks sufficient specificity and reacts with a wide range of tissues including dentritic cells, Schwann cells, adipocytes, chondrocytes and others [5-8]. The HMB-45 antibody recognizes the melanosome-specific glycoprotein gp100 and is specificity is described as high as 97% for detecting melanoma [6]. However, HMB-45 is detectable in only 50% to 75% of all melanomas [5,6,8]. Melan A protein is a melanocytic differentiation antigen and a product of the MART-1 gene. It is a new antibody which stains both benign and malignant melanocytic lesions in a very similar fashion to that of S100 and seems to be more reliable than HMB-45 [8].
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2. Patients and Methods

2.1. Patients

We randomly selected 30 patients from the tumor registry of the Department of Surgical Oncology at the University of Illinois in Chicago Medical Center. Twenty patients with primary melanomas greater that one millimeter thick and clinically negative lymph node basins underwent sentinel lymph node biopsy following injection of radio labeled colloid and isosulfan blue dye. An additional ten patients with clinically positive lymph nodes underwent complete lymph node dissection. All SLNs and clinically positive nodes were evaluated for Mitf staining by immunohistochemistry.

2.2. Histopathologic Examination of Lymph Node Specimens

Standard technique for preparation of SLNs was followed as previously described [16]. Briefly, the excised lymph nodes were examined in a routine fashion with visual inspection to detect tumor nodules. The nodes were bisected from hilum to periphery and then fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin, embedded in paraffin and sectioned. The nodal sections were then processed for routine hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining. Lymph nodes negative for metastasis on H&E staining were also processed for peroxidase immunostaining using antibodies to HMB-45 or melan-A protein (Dako, Carpinteria, CA, USA). Both negative and positive control stains were run simultaneously with the specimens to confirm the sensitivity and specificity of the immunohistochemical method. SLNs were level-sectioned for routine H&E three times, for HMB-45 and melan-A immunohistochemistry two times. All lymph nodes were processed for Mitf staining: Standard avidin-biotin-peroxidase immunochemistry was performed with the mouse monoclonal IgG1 microphthalmia antibody D5 (Neomarkers, Union City, CA, USA). Antigen retrieval was accomplished with 1mM EDTA buffer. We used 1% dried skim milk to block nonspecific binding before incubation with the primary antibody for two hours (1:25 dilution). Aminoethylcarbazine was used as a chromagen [9].

3. Results

Of the twenty SLNs, ten had metastatic disease noted by H&E staining. The remaining ten SLNs did not show evidence of metastatic disease either by H&E or by immunohistochemistry. All ten negative sentinel lymph nodes did not express Mitf. Of the positive SLNs eight (80%) stained for Mitf by immunohistochemistry. These SLNs were not stained for HMB-45 or Melan A and thus direct comparison with Mitf staining is not feasible. All ten clinically-positive lymph nodes showed evidence for metastatic disease by H&E staining. Only four (40%) stained positive for Mitf. These results are summarized in Table 1. Nuclear staining for Mitf is shown in Figure 1.

4. Discussion

Mitf, a bHLHZip (basic/helix-loop-helix/leucine zipper) transcription factor, is essential for the development and maintenance of the melanocyte lineage [12,17]. It can both activate and repress transcription. Several pigment cell-specific genes, including the tyrosinase (Tyr) and tyrosinase-related genes, TRP-1 and DCT/TRP-2 are regulated by Mitf [12,18]. In mice, the allele Mitf mi leads to complete absence of melanocytes in the homozygous state [12]. In contrast to various melanocytic markers, such as melanin or c-kit, whose expression may be lost or difficult to detect in malignant melanocytic lesions, Mitf expression is usually maintained in human melanoma specimens [7,9,10,11,13,14]. Different signaling molecules or transcription factors implicated genetically in melanocyte development are known to either affect Mitf expression or its function [19]. Mitf has emerged as a potentially important diagnostic marker for melanoma. King et al reported that Mitf is a sensitive and specific melanocyte marker for melanoma diagnosis [10]. They showed 100% nuclear staining for 76 primary melanomas using the monoclonal antibody D5 and in none of 60 non-melanoma tumors. In a follow-up study

Table 1. Nuclear staining for Mitf.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lymph node status</th>
<th>Mitf staininga</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Negative SLNs</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive SLNs</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinically-positive lymph</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nodes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a: 10 patients evaluated in each category. b: SLNs = sentinel lymph nodes.
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Figure 1. Positive sentinel lymph node of a melanoma patient, original magnification ×10. (a): H&E staining of lymph nodes showing metastatic melanoma. (b): Note nuclear staining of Mitf (arrow).

the authors demonstrated uniform conservation of Mitf antibody expression in both benign and malignant melanocytic lesion with the exception of desmoplastic malignant melanomas [7,11]. We previously reported positive Mitf staining in 83% of patients with intermediate thickness melanomas [9]. In the years following these initial studies, a multitude of manuscripts were published describing the utility of Mitf antibodies for the diagnosis of various types of melanomas and other cancers. Antibody-detected Mitf expression was also found in 100% of benign nevi and 100% of primary cutaneous melanomas with the exception of desmoplastic melanomas (1/14) [11]. The literature on the immunoreactivity of Mitf in melanocytic and non melanocytic spindle cell lesions remains confusing. Koch et al reported that 55% (11/20) of spindle cell and desmoplastic melanomas were immunoreactive for Mitf, but none of 12 malignant peripheral nerve tumors and only 2 of 10 neurofibromas were positive giving Mitf a higher sensitivity and specificity than that of HMB-45 [20]. Other investigators however were unable to confirm these findings. In 2 studies, one third to one half of non-melanocytic spindle cell tumors and only 30% of spindle cell and desmoplastic melanomas were positive for Mitf [21,22]. In addition 8% of 386 non-melanocytic tumors stained positive for Mitf including macrophages, fibroblasts, Schwann cells and smooth muscle cells [22]. On the other hand the nuclear staining of Mitf can allow for clearer distinction from pigmented cytoplasm, often a confounding issue during interpretation [15].

In our study, none of the 10 negative SLNs were upstaged with Mitf staining. In this small number of SLNs, Mitf demonstrates a specificity of 100%. This is comparable to a specificity of 97% as described by Sheffield et al. in 72 primary melanomas and 32 nonmelanocytic malignancies [6] and a specificity of 100% as found by Dorvault et al. [14]. Miettinen et al. suggest caution in the use of Mitf in the search for nodal micrometastasis as they found occasional Mitf-positive nuclei in histiocytes of germinal centers in 8 out of 20 lymph nodes and in sinus histiocytes in 7 out of 22 lymph nodes. Interpreting Mitf-positive histiocytes as melanoma cells should be avoided [7]. We did not encounter this problem in our 10 negative SLNs. Our study shows that 80% of SLNs which are positive by H&E staining also stain for Mitf by immunohistochemistry. In a small series of only 4 sentinel lymph nodes positive of metastatic melanoma strong nuclear staining was observed in all 4 lymph nodes [15]. Interestingly, only 40% of clinically-positive lymph nodes (all of which show metastatic melanoma by H&E) stain for Mitf. This is in contrast to the results described by Miettinen et al, who evaluated Mitf staining in 266 metastatic melanomas including 130 patients with lymph node metastases and found Mitf staining in 88% of these tumors [7]. It must be noted that in their study, an antibody cocktail of C5 + D5 was used, whereas we used pure D5 monoclonal Mitf antibody.

We propose that levels of Mitf expression imply a more differentiated melanoma and correlate with prognosis. In our previous study we found that mean overall survival and disease-free survival in patients whose melanomas did not express Mitf were 80 +/- 18 months and 71 +/- 20 months respectively. This compared with 187 +/- 13 and 186 +/- 14 months for patients whose melanomas expressed Mitf [9]. In addition, the pathologic status of the SLN remains the most important prognostic factor in patients with cutaneous melanoma [3,23]. The revised melanoma staging system concludes.
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that the number of metastatic lymph nodes and the “tumor burden” were the most dominant predictors of survival in patients with Stage III melanoma [3,4]. Patients with metastatic nodes detected by palpation had a shorter survival compared with patients whose nodal metastases were first detected by sentinel node biopsy. Taken together, the decreased Mitf staining in the macroscopically positive lymph nodes implies that Mitf is a differentiation marker, whose loss of expression correlates with advanced disease and poor prognosis. In other words, higher levels of antigen expression represent a more differentiated state, while lower levels represent a less differentiated state. Interestingly data supporting this hypothesis were reported in vitro and in a SCID mice model by Selzer et al. [24]. The authors nicely demonstrated that transfection of the melanocyte specific isoform of Mitf (Mitf-M) into a melanoma cell line (518A2) lacking the M-isoform and into a permanent cell line established from normal melanocytes (NMEL-II) resulted in slower tumor growth. In addition to the growth inhibitory effects, Mitf-M expression led to a change in the histopathological appearance of tumors from epitheloid toward a spindle-cell type in vivo. Similarly, we have shown that Mitf-M transfedcted into the aggressive UISO-Mel-6 melanoma cell lines abrogated liver and lung metastases and upregulated the markers of differentiation [25].

5. Conclusions

Our results show that Mitf can be used as an additional marker for evaluating sentinel lymph nodes in patients with melanoma. To confirm these preliminary results in a relative small number of patients, larger studies will be necessary. In addition, Mitf appears to be involved in melanoma differentiation and leads to a less aggressive phenotype.
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