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Abstract—Social media platforms facilitate the emergence of citizen communities that discuss real-world events. Their content reflects a variety of intent ranging from social good (e.g., volunteering to help) to commercial interest (e.g., criticizing product features). Hence, mining intent from social data can aid in filtering social media to support organizations, such as an emergency management unit for resource planning. However, effective intent mining is inherently challenging due to ambiguity in interpretation, and sparsity of relevant behaviors in social data. In this paper, we address the problem of multiclass classification of intent with a use-case of social data generated during crisis events. Our novel method exploits a hybrid feature representation created by combining top-down processing using knowledge-guided patterns with bottom-up processing using a bag-of-tokens model. We employ pattern-set creation from a variety of knowledge sources including psycholinguistics to tackle the ambiguity challenge, social behavior about conversations to enrich context, and contrast patterns to tackle the sparsity challenge. Our results show a significant absolute gain up to 7% in the F1 score relative to a baseline using bottom-up processing alone, within the popular multiclass frameworks of One-vs-One and One-vs-All. Intent mining can help design efficient cooperative information systems between citizens and organizations for serving organizational information needs.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Web 2.0 provides a natural platform for citizen communities to discuss real-world events. Using social media, citizens can readily generate massive amounts of data, share information and express opinions in discussions. As a result, organizations with limited resources are trying to incorporate information nuggets from citizen-generated data to enrich their decision making. For example, a crisis response organization requires relevant data to distribute resources effectively. Our premise is that intent mining provides insights that are not explicitly available in citizen-generated data.

Intent is defined as a purposeful action. We attribute intent to behaviors every day, from a user querying a search engine to buy a laptop to a user participating in a conversation to inform. Intent can help identify actionable information. Here we assess intent in social media regarding cooperation between citizens and organizations during real-world events.

Much prior work in intent mining addresses the challenge of understanding queries obtained from search engine logs [1], [2]. Search intent can be navigational, informational or transactional rather than for social communication. Our objective is to model intent related to cooperation in user-generated content. Therefore, our research question is “How can we mine relevant social intent from an ambiguous, unconstrained natural language short-text document?” By definition, the relevant intent classes meet actionable information needs of an organization in a given context, e.g., resource seeking during crisis response coordination [3], [4]. Table I shows examples of short-text documents and associated potential intent.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Short-text Document</th>
<th>Potential Intent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Text redcross to 90099 to donate $10 to help those people that were effected by hurricane sandy please donate $SandyHelp</td>
<td>Seeking help</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anyone know where the nearest #RedCross is? I wanna give blood today to help the victims of hurricane Sandy</td>
<td>Offering help</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@Zora wants to help @Network4Good with Hurricane Relief. Text SANDY to 80888 &amp; donate $10 to @redcross @AmeriCares &amp; @SalvationArmyUS #help</td>
<td>Seeking help</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Would like to urge all citizens to make the proper preparations for Hurricane Sandy prep is key - <a href="http://t.co/LyCSPrbkJ">http://t.co/LyCSPrbkJ</a> has valuable info!</td>
<td>Advising</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thx to all in Kettering who brought supplies for those affected by Hurricane Sandy. Visit <a href="http://t.co/IWSCvity">http://t.co/IWSCvity</a> to help. ...</td>
<td>Acknowledging</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE I  EXAMPLES OF SHORT-TEXT DOCUMENTS AND POTENTIAL INTENT

A variety of factors affect an individual’s expression of intentionality [5], [6], [7]. Multiple potential intentions complicate natural language interpretation in short-text documents. Therefore, to make the intent mining problem computationally tractable, we exploit a classification form of this problem for mining specific intent classes, and define a multiclass intent classification problem.

Intent classification (focused on future action) is a form of text classification. However it is different from the well-studied problems of topic classification [8] (focused on matter) as well as subjective text classification such as sentiment or emotion classification (focused on the current state of affairs) [9]. For instance, in a message “I wanna watch awesome Fast & Furious 7. Yah, Vin Diesel is COOLEst!!!”, topic classification focuses on the noun, the movie ‘Fast & Furious 7’; sentiment and emotion classification is focused on the positive feeling of the author’s message expressed with the adjective ‘awesome’. In contrast, intent classification concerns the author’s intended future action, i.e. going to watch the movie.

We observe two key challenges with intent classification
Our specific contributions are the following:

- **Thanks-but-no-thanks when post-disaster donations overwhelm information and transactional** [1], [2]. A major limitation of broadly identified content categories such as navigational, including clicks, click sequence graphs and query terms, with to mine intent in queries using data from user search logs,

- In Section IV . Section V describes the experimental setting, statement in Section III, and a description of our approach in Section VI discusses results, limitations and future work.

Our novel method for intent classification exploits a rich feature representation for learning, created by integrating top-down processing using knowledge-guided patterns with bottom-up processing using a bag-of-tokens model. We experiment with pattern sets from a variety of knowledge sources including psycholinguistics, social behavior in conversations, and contrast mining-guided patterns (see Section IV). Our experimental datasets focus on the context of cooperation during crisis. Therefore, the relevant intent classes for organizational tasks of resource prioritization are {‘seeking’, ‘offering’}, an abstraction known to help avoid a second crisis of resource management (as reported by NPR after the Hurricane Sandy event in 2012).

Furthermore, both intent classes of ‘seeking’ and ‘offering’ may co-occur within a single message.

Our method for intent classification exploits a rich feature representation for learning, created by integrating top-down processing using knowledge-guided patterns with bottom-up processing using a bag-of-tokens model. We experiment with pattern sets from a variety of knowledge sources including psycholinguistics, social behavior in conversations, and contrast mining-guided patterns (see Section IV). Our experimental datasets focus on the context of cooperation during crisis. Therefore, the relevant intent classes for organizational tasks of resource prioritization are {‘seeking’, ‘offering’}, an abstraction known to help avoid a second crisis of resource management (as reported by NPR after the Hurricane Sandy event in 2012).

Below we discuss related work in Section II, a problem statement in Section III, and a description of our approach in Section IV. Section V describes the experimental setting, and Section VI discusses results, limitations and future work.

**II. RELATED WORK**

Work related to intent classification amalgamates multiple issues involving data, domain and problem variants as follows:

- **Search log data.** Researchers have designed approaches to mine intent in queries using data from user search logs, including clicks, click sequence graphs and query terms, with broadly identified content categories such as navigational, informational and transactional [11], [2]. A major limitation of these approaches for our problem context is the dependence on (an unavailable) large data set of user behavior.

- **Well-formed text data.** Prior work focuses on mining transactional intent consistent with commercial interests [12], [13], [14]. The limited motives pertain to the transactional intent of buying and selling, which are different from the critical actions involved in our problem context of cooperation. Therefore, the nature of other kinds of complex intent (such as the broad intent class of helping) requires more thorough investigation. The closest works on crisis data analytics on Twitter have dealt with the identification of problems-aid report [3], and request-offer messages [4], [15] using only binary classifiers.

- **Classification problem variants.** Prior research on short-text social media has mainly focused on binary intent classification in both commercial and crisis domains due to the complexity of intent prediction from noisy text. Multiclass classification remains an open and challenging problem. Optimizing multiclass classification depends on the data and problem domain. Therefore, researchers have studied mainly two different learning schemes, a) A standalone multiclass learner, and b) Binarization to enable the use of multiple binary (base) learners, followed by their combination [16], [17]. In the standalone multiclass learner, the complexity of simultaneously learning decision boundaries for a large number of classes is a major challenge, while the binarization method simplifies learning due to only the two-class nature for the base learners. Furthermore, binarization can be parallelized for addressing scalability concerns. The most popular schemes for the binarization framework are decomposition based—OVO and OVA. OVO creates a base learner for each class pair (K learners for K classes). On the other hand, OVA creates a base learner for each class (K learners for K classes), by considering the target class instances in a positive training set, and instances of remaining classes in the negative set. Although these approaches have been investigated on the UCI gold standard datasets [18], [19], within the context of intent classification on social media, these schemes are yet to be examined.

**III. PROBLEM STATEMENT AND HYPOTHESES**

We define a Citizen Community CC as a group of users on social media who participate in discussions of a real world event by posting relevant short-text messages (documents). A relevant short-text document mi is defined as a social media message containing any event-related keyword. Event-related keywords are manually provided while crawling the dataset from social media.

Problem Statement:
Given a corpus $A$ of $n$ short-text documents $m_i$ generated in a citizen community $C$, $A = \{m_i | 1 \leq i \leq n\}$, and a set of $K$ intent classes, $C = \{C_j | 1 \leq j \leq K\}$; predict an intent class in $C$ for each $m_i \in A$.

Our experiments on the crisis event datasets study a class set of \{seeking, offering, none (neither seeking nor offering)\}. We propose to evaluate the following two hypotheses:

H1. Psycholinguistic knowledge can guide the design of semantic-syntactic patterns to enrich the expressivity of informational context for intent classification.

H2. Intent classification can be improved by fusing top-down knowledge-guided patterns with bottom-up frequency-based representation in noisy social data.

IV. APPROACH
We first discuss the data collection method, followed by rich feature design, class labeling process, and learning method.

A. Data Collection
Using a keyword-based crawling method, we collected a set of short-text documents as tweets from the Twitter Streaming API like prior studies [4]. For a real-world event, we defined a set of relevant keywords. For each keyword $k$, the Twitter API provided tweets containing any of the form: #k, #K, k, and $K$.

B. Rich Feature Design
We investigate three approaches for feature representation. Approach v1 is to represent the feature space using only bottom-up processing, which exploits the implicit semantics of the local content of a document via an unordered bag-of-tokens representation. On the other hand, approach v2 is to represent the feature space generated by top-down processing, which exploits the semantics acquired outside the context of the local content via a set-of-patterns derived using different knowledge sources. Lastly, approach v3 combines the power of the two processing paradigms to create a hybrid.

1) v1: Bottom-Up Processing: The prior literature for both binary and multiclass classification has employed a basic approach to text classification problems by exploiting local content to extract n-gram features [8], [12]. Therefore, we use them for a baseline.

   • (T) Textual Features:
   We use the bag-of-tokens model, a well-known content exploitation approach in text mining. Each short-text document $m_i$ is represented as,
   $$m_i = \{(w_{i1}, f(w_{i1})) | w_{i1} \in W, f(w_{i1}) \in [0,1]\},$$
   where $w_{i1}$ is an n-gram token, and $f(w_{i1})$ is a function for choice of the feature.

   We create features using a dictionary $W$ of n-gram tokens $w_{i1}$ that is acquired by tokenizing the documents of corpus $A$. We employ term frequency function as $f(w_{i1})$ for each n-gram token feature.

2) v2: Top-Down Processing: Approach v1 limits a learning algorithm in identifying and deriving relationships between features to the provided training data locally. Also, this process can be complex and time consuming, given that textual data can generate a large dictionary of n-gram features. Taking a top-down approach, rule-base and pattern-aided classification have been studied for text mining problems [10], [19], [15]. We use patterns (similar to rule antecedents) as binary features to classify intent. Therefore, we present three diverse ways to derive patterns a priori for informing the learning task of intent classification: Declarative Knowledge, Social Behavior Knowledge, and Contrast Mining-guided Patterns.

   • (DK) Declarative Knowledge-guided Patterns:
   Declarative knowledge includes facts, and in this context, knowledge about the expression of different intent classes. Using domain expertise, one can provide patterns for specific lexical rules to express intents. Another way is to rely on studies of human expression from linguistics and psychology, which can inform the design of domain independent rules.

   Within the crisis domain, our prior study of binary intent classification [4] created request-offer behavior patterns from search logs of domain experts at American Red Cross. In another study [15], we used characteristics of communication from psycholinguistics to define a pattern set of intent expressions. We leverage these pattern sets from the prior studies in the multiclass classification context for creating binary features.

   The pattern design relies on semantic-syntactic knowledge of intent expression. For example, a subject with the main verb “have” and any noun suggests an offering intent. However, the same text preceded by the auxiliary verb “do” and the pronoun “you” suggests a seeking intent. Similarly, word order such as verb-subject position also plays a crucial role in intent expression, and provides stark contrast to the unordered bag-of-tokens model for feature representation. Such patterns for expressing intent can help address the ambiguity challenge by endorsing the likelihood of an intent association for a short-text document.

   The pattern design leverages a lexicon of verbs, given that verbs imply a plan for action. Using Schank’s P-Trans primitive [20], which reflects the transfer of property, we acquire seeking-offering intent related verb classes. Specifically, our verb lexicon includes the Levin verb [21] categories of {give, future having, send, slide, carry, sending/carrying, put, removing, exerting force, change of possession, hold/keep, contact, combining/attaching, creation/transformation, perception, communication}. Our patterns also include classes of auxiliary verbs (e.g., ‘be’, ‘do’, ‘have’), the modals (e.g., ‘can’, ‘could’, ‘may’, ‘might’, ‘would’), question words (‘wh’-words and ‘how’), and the conditional (‘if’).

   We extend the seed patterns by an exhaustive representation of synonymous verbs preserving the tense, using the WordNet knowledge base [22] (we will provide a list of 29 seed patterns with datasets upon request). We create a binary feature for each pattern using pattern matching on $m_i$. 
Formally, we define a Sparse-Contrast-Strength\(P,C_j\) measure for selecting useful contrasting patterns \(P\) of (given intent class \(C_j\)) as features; this will involve several definitions.

a. An item is a token; a token is a word or an n-gram (depending on experiment setting). A pattern \(P\) is a finite set of items. A document \(d\) matches a pattern \(P\) if every item of \(P\) occurs in \(d\). The support of a pattern \(P\) in dataset \(A\), denoted by \(support(P,A)\), is

\[
support(P,A) = \frac{|T_P|}{|A|},
\]

where \(T_P = \{d \in A \mid d \text{ matches } P\}\).

Here \(|A|\) denotes the cardinality of \(A\).

b. Assume \(A\) and \(B\) are two datasets and \(P\) is a pattern. Then the support ratio of \(P\) from \(B\) to \(A\), also called growth rate, is

\[
gr(P,A,B) = \frac{support(P,A)}{support(P,B)}.
\]

c. Given a minimum support threshold \(minSup\) and a minimum support ratio threshold \(minGr\), a pattern \(P\) is a contrast pattern (a.k.a. emerging pattern) of \(C_i\) if \(support(P,C_i) \geq minSup\) and \(gr(P,C_i,C_i) \geq minGr\). Here, \(C_i\) denotes the complement of \(C_i\), i.e., \(C_i\) is the set of all documents of the application not in \(C_i\). A Jumping Emerging Pattern (JEP) is a contrast pattern that has an infinite \(gr\). That is, a JEP is present in \(C_i\) but not in its complement.

d. The contrast measure value of a pattern \(P\) for class \(C_j\) is

\[
Sparse-Contrast-Strength(P,C_j) = support(P,C_j) \times Contrast-Growth(P,C_j)
\]

where,

\[
Contrast-Growth(P,C_j) = \frac{1}{(|C_j| - 1)} \sum_{C_k \neq j} gr(P,C_j,C_k)/ (1 + gr(P,C_j,C_k))
\]

and Contrast-Growth\(P,C_j,C_k\) = 1 if \(gr(P,C_j,C_k)\) is infinite (a case of jumping emerging pattern).

We will use a ranking method to select patterns – we select the top-\(X\)% patterns (\(X\) is a parameter) ranked by the Sparse-Contrast-Strength\(P,C_j\) measure. Regarding computation, instead of computing all frequent patterns which can be costly, we compute per class frequent patterns with support threshold \(ST_j\) for an intent class \(C_j\). After computing frequent patterns, we prune for minimal patterns in each \(C_j\), and then find the contrast measure of the remaining patterns. (A pattern \(P\) is a minimal contrast pattern of \(C_j\) if it does not contain other contrast patterns of \(C_j\).)

We create a binary feature per selected pattern using pattern matching on \(m_i\). We denote the feature set as \(CTK\) when items are the text tokens for the patterns, and as \(CPK\) for the case when items are part of speech (POS) tokens.

3) v3: Hybrid Processing: Our hybrid approach combines bottom-up processing (v1), and top-down processing (v2) representations to obtain features for improving the expressivity.
of informational context. The feature set contains both bag-of-tokens as well as set-of-patterns. Therefore, this approach exploits a priori knowledge as patterns external to the bag-of-tokens representation for the learning process. It allows efficient learning of expressive and diverse patterns.

C. Class Labeling Process

Three human judges annotated each tweet using six labels for ground truth: 'Request to get, Offer to give, Both request and offer, Report of past donations, None of the above, Cannot judge’. We merged the labels to design the intent classes ‘seeking, offering, none (i.e. neither seeking nor offering)’. Hence, we excluded ‘Both request and offer’, and ‘Cannot judge’ labeled tweets, such that ‘Request to get’ represents the seeking intent class, ‘Offer to give’ represents the offering intent class, and ‘Report of past donations’ and ‘None of the above’ represents the none class.

D. Learning Method for Classification

We note two ways to address ambiguity, and sparsity in the learning process—first, by improving the expressivity for representing content (e.g., feature design), and second, via algorithmic choice for learning (e.g., boosting). We focus on improving the expressivity by a rich feature space obtained using our hybrid approach (v3) to reduce ambiguity in content interpretation. For the algorithmic choice, we use an ensemble learning approach for base learners of the multiclass binarization frameworks OVO and OVA. The ensemble approach helps in learning with imbalanced data to address the sparsity issue of the multiclass problem.

V. Experiments

Data. We collected two diverse crisis event datasets:

1) Dataset-1: 4.9 million tweets for Hurricane Sandy in the US in 2012 (October 27 to November 7), and
2) Dataset-2: 1.9 million tweets for Typhoon Yolanda in the Philippines in 2013 (November 7 to 17).

For Dataset-1, we borrowed the raw labeled data with similar labeling design from our prior study focused on binary intent classification [4], which resulted in a total of 3,135 unique labeled tweets (Levenshtein similarity < 0.75). It consisted of 52% seeking, 6% offering, and the remaining 42% none class labels. For Dataset-2, given sparsity in the data for intent classes observed in the Dataset-1, we created a biased sample for labeling to get more human judged labels. We selected 2,000 unique tweets with four diverse random samples of 500 tweets each: first from all the tweets in Dataset-2, and others from donation classified, seeking classified, and offering classified tweets of Dataset-2 using binary classifiers of the prior study [4]. Given the biased sampling, we used the strict criterion of ‘all agree’ on a label decision for the three human judges. Table [II] shows similar class label distribution (seeking more prevalent than offering) across the datasets.

Features. For feature set T, we used bi- and tri-grams for a bag-of-tokens model after text preprocessing on the corpus A as performed in related binary classification work [2], [4]. Text preprocessing steps include platform characteristics generalization (explained next), followed by removal of non-ASCII characters, stopword removal, stemming and string to word vectorization with default parameters using the WEKA API [28]. We also generalized platform specific characteristics by replacing retweets (“RT @user_name” by _RT_), user mentions (“@user_name” by _MENTION_) as well as numbers (by _NUM_) and hyperlinks (by _URL_). We employed a normalized term frequency function for bag-of-tokens features of T. For feature set CTK, we used the preprocessed text corpus A and employed the SPADE [29] algorithm for mining sequential frequent patterns per class. Minimum support (ST) per class was chosen equally to be 10% after examining various settings. The extreme setting of 2% leads to spurious patterns and the opposite extreme of 50% (a conventional choice) yields very few patterns due to noise. Similarly, the minimum gr was chosen as 1.5. In the case of the feature set CPK, we first extracted POS tags of the corpus A using the ARK-NLP tool [30]. Minimum support 50% works for POS tags given that they represent abstract syntactic classes (e.g., Adjective) that are frequent across multiple items sets. Similarly, gr was chosen as 2.0. We computed contrast strength for the patterns per class and observed a majority of emerging patterns, and therefore, chose X=100 for the ranked patterns. We created binary features for the extracted patterns of CTK and CPK by employing pattern matching (1 for the match, 0 otherwise). For the feature set DK also, we employed pattern matching for the exhaustive pattern set to create binary features. For the feature set SK, we used a term frequency function for the linguistic indicator categories listed in Table [II] (e.g., dialogue management) for each m,iεA.

The resultant hybrid feature space represented a rich representation of the relevant informational context. For example, in a message ‘My home state was hit hard by hurricane Sandy. To help with the hurricane victims in Brooklyn, Staten Island, NY., http://t.co/Vbfm0Mbl’, a diverse contrast pattern for seeking class was found, ‘help.* victim.* _URL_ *’. The pattern captured a long distant relationship between items, which could take complex processing time and effort to get identified with the n-gram tokens representation alone. Similarly, for the second example in Table I, despite having a partial question/seeking form, ‘I wanna give’ pattern associated with the offering help intent class from the declarative pattern set aids in resolving ambiguity, by strongly endorsing the likelihood of offering intent.

Learning. We experimented with the combination of all the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CLASS</th>
<th>Dataset-1</th>
<th>Dataset-2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>seeking</td>
<td>1,626 (52%)</td>
<td>197 (26%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>offering</td>
<td>183 (6%)</td>
<td>91 (12%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>none</td>
<td>1,326 (42%)</td>
<td>475 (62%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE III

LABELS FOR TWO REAL-WORLD CRISIS DATASETS
feature sets \((T, DK, SK, CTK, CPK)\) to design OVO and OVA based multiclass classifiers. We used the ensemble learning algorithm of Random Forest \([28]\) (with 10 trees, 100 features and depth level 5 nodes) for base learners. Using the Scikit-Learn python library\(^2\) for experiments, we performed 10-fold cross-validation (CV) to evaluate performance measures of accuracy and F1 score, following the evaluation in prior works on multiclass classification. Accuracy and F1 score reflect performance improvement across the classes including minority classes. To investigate our hypothesis of exploring the influence of knowledge-guided pattern features, we created bottom-up processing (approach v1) based feature set \(T\) as the baseline.

VI. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

10-fold cross-validation results in Figure 1 show a performance gain in both accuracy and F1 score attributable to addition of top-down processing feature set \((DK, SK, CTK, CPK)\) to the baseline feature set \((T)\). Therefore, we performed statistical significance test using two-tailed \(t\)-test for comparing results of the bottom-up processing feature representation \((T)\), and the proposed approach of hybrid representation \((T, DK, SK, CTK, CPK)\). We noted statistically significant \((p < 0.05)\) performance differences in F1 score and accuracy for both datasets and learning frameworks. The absolute gain in F1 score and accuracy for the hybrid approach are up to 7% and 6% respectively.

**Significance of Prior Knowledge.** We ranked features from the hybrid processing in both the datasets using a Chi-squared test with default parameters in the WEKA tool. More than half of the most discriminating, best ranked 1% features belong to the top-down processing representation. It informs about the value of knowledge guidance in learning the feature space for identifying newer and better statistical predictor-class relationships. Accuracy and F1 score improvements support our hypothesis H1. Dialog management and subject pronoun category features present social behavior knowledge that exist among the top features. They support our argument that knowledge of social behavior in conversations can improve context for identifying intent in the social setting.

**Performance in the Multiclass Classification Frameworks.** We observed significant improvement in F1 and accuracy scores in both frameworks, OVA and OVO. Interestingly the gain observed in both the cases is significant. OVA suffers from imbalance created by the framework design itself, and OVO suffers from the class dependence issue owing to the design of pairwise classification. Despite these challenges, classification performance improves in both frameworks using the hybrid approach, supporting our hypothesis H2.

**Limitations.** We have studied two diverse events related to the crisis domain. However, other domains can be explored using our multiclass framework in future that may be differently influenced by knowledge-guidance in intent classification.

We also note the varying performance of knowledge-guided feature sets between the Dataset-1 (Hurricane Sandy, US based) and Dataset-2 (Typhoon Yolanda, Philippines based), which may be due to socio-cultural differences of expressing intent in the diverse events. It requires further investigation on assessing the need for building socio-culture-region specific models of intent mining. We have shown the importance of contrast patterns using equal support thresholds for all classes, however, we shall explore the effects of varying class-wise thresholds. We did not show results for feature selection-based and modified data sampling-based (e.g., under/oversampling) learning schemes, given that it can be subjective. We prefer to first answer questions of any improvements of feature representation in a general setting for a learning task. We shall explore various algorithmic choices, including cost-sensitive learning combined with the ensemble framework in the future. Also, there can be multiple valid intent expressions within a message—an instance of multi(-intent) label classification problem, e.g., acknowledging appearing with seeking intent. We shall extend the multiclass framework for the multilabel setting. We also limited intent classes crucial to the crisis response coordination but future studies could incorporate more classes without scalability concerns, given the use of binarization frameworks.

VII. CONCLUSION

We presented a novel approach to classify intent of short-text on social media using a hybrid approach that combines knowledge-guided patterns with syntactic features based on bag of n-gram tokens. Specifically, we explored a variety of knowledge sources (declarative, social behavior about conversations and contrast patterns) to create pattern sets for examining improvement in the multiclass intent classification. Our experiments on the two crisis event datasets demonstrated a statistically significant gain in the F1 score and accuracy in both the popular multiclass frameworks of One-vs-One and One-vs-All. Application of the presented multiclass framework for intent classification on social media can help organizations efficiently filter content to meet their information needs as well as engage targeted citizens. For example, resource scarcity (seeking intent) and availability (offering intent) data collection can help decision making for resources during a crisis response.
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